COPYRIGHT

Translation and other rights reserved by the Publishers

THE TWELVE PRINCIPAL UPANISADS

(IN THRUE VOLUMES)

Text in Perint and a I Translation with Net 4 in In the form the Commentation of Saukaricarya and the Olive of Acontegins

VOLUME II IIRII ADARA' YAKA VI AYISAD

10

DR L RÖIR

1131

A PREFACE BY TROP MANILAL II DVIVEDI

THEOSOPHICAL PUBLISHING HOUSE ADVAN MADRAS INDIA

PRECACE

iii chronology of the Old lestament has influence

ed almost all the speculations of Oriental scholars in regard to the possible date of the Vedas and the Upanisads It is very difficult to say anything with positive confidence on this subject, but this much is certain that the Upanisads at least the ten principal ones are not in any way posterior to the Vedas. The argument that a philosophy like that embodied in the Upanisade connet exist side by side with the nature worship of the Vedas loses its force when the Sul tas of the Vela nre regarded no symbolic only of those esoteric truths which were taught through the Upanisads to the select few Symbology helps the solution of many a riddle over which philology has either sat in despair or which it has understood only to misunderstand. The history of all the great religions of the world shows that there is nothing inconsistent in the possible existence of a sublime philosophy with the empty formalities of popular religion. And this circumstance in itself is an indirect argument against the later origin of the Vedas and the Upanisads

Veda means knowledge, and Upanisad means both the exoteric philosophy of Brahman, the Advaita, and sittings for the discussion of that philosophy. The Upanisads are aptly called the Vedanta, the end of the Veda, that which is reserved for those who have freed themselves from the useless bonds of formal religion. The Veda consists of three parts. Mantra, Brāhmana and Upanisad, including the Aranyakas The Mantra portion contains hymns addressed to various powers, all symbolic of important truths intended, on the one hand, to teach the religion of faith and forms to the ignorant, and the philosophy of sublime unity to the initiated, on the other The one is the Karmamarga, the religion of forms, and the other is the Jnāna-mārga, the religion of philosophy or knowledge. There is a way between the two, leading from Karma to Ināna, called Upāsanā, or the invoking of any particular power of nature. This is different from the general Karma-mārga, masmuch as Karma does not mean anything performed with a special aim and for a special purpose Karma-mārga is only the general religion of faith which leads to Upāsanā and Ināna. The word Karma should in this place not be confounded with that philosophical law of necessity which is called by that name.

The *Upanisads* teach the philosophy of absolute unity. By unity is meant the oneness of the subject, all experience of objective existence being regarded as in and of it Experience implies consciousness, and

eonsciousness, npperception or pure reason is the only reliable self illimined, absolute factor of our knowledge. All else is but mere representation in and through the mode of this consciousness. The world of being is nothing, considered apart from consciousness which, in its turn is entirely independent of experience. It cannot in any manner be negative for the very negative implies its existence. This is the realm of the absolute, ever existence. This is the realm of the absolute, ever existence. Brahman in abstraction appropriately expressing this idea of unity in duality heing a term expressive of the whole of that which can be none other than a compound of Thought and Boing

This Absolute is not the Unknewable of Agnosticism nor the mexplicable atom of Mnterialism Mnterialism is in fact out of question, in sminch as the Absolute of the Unanisad is not a result of organisation nor a proporty of matter It is something always indopendent of overything and self illumined, self subsistent. It is everywhere, but for it the world of experience is But the Agnestic who stands half way between Materialism and Transcendentalism identifies the Absolute with the Unknownble and makes it the source of all that translates itself into experience. This doetrine is entirely untenable for that which is Unknowable is not the Absolute but that which produces the various modifications and representations of it through experience That something which is and is not known per se by the very law of consciousness not permitting such knowledge is the Unknowable par excellence But to describe the fountain of knowledge, absolute consciousness, which is seen in daily individual experience, as the Unknowable or even the unknown would, at least, be a strange perversion of metaphysical language

The effect of this philosophy on practical ethics is immense. Any system of ethics not based on some clearly demonstrated world-idea falls short of practical use, and is pro tanto a deviation from the path of adequately fulfilling the object of existence The variety of experience is not real, nay even experience itself is nowhere from the point of the Absolute To lead that life wherein the variety of experience does not affect either for weal or woe is the highest practical rule of conduct in accordance with the proper aim of existence This does not imply entire inertia as some would understand it. The variety of experience creates distinction, and sets up false limits where there exist Pain and pleasure, good and evil, virtue and vice. merit and sin, are all conventions based on this variety experience, and admitted for the sake of that But in the Absolute no such distinctions experience are possible, and the highest bliss which cannot be described in words other than those implying negation of everything positive known to us, consists in forgetting the source of separateness and realising that unity which is the very being and nature of the cosmos And more than this philosophy holds that the individual is a copy of the great cosmos and that through the unity

of the two in the Absolute there is always possible an easy way from the one to the other. When the sense of separateness is killed out the Absolute in the individual, and the microcosm is at once understood as the macrocosm Pain and pleasure, evil and good aro all merged in the unity of the Absolute where all is that indescribable something which is neither pleasure nor pain but something supremely sublime and happy so to speak. This process of killing out this idea of separateness is no inertia so far as ordinary language is understood and though it may not be that blind sub mission to the will of an anthrepomorphic deity, it is certainly the highest possible activity and energy on a superior plane It is not neglect of duty nor reauncia tion of the world either it is more forgetting of self and its environments. This is molea. Molea in the popular sease is a something to be achieved in the Upanisad it is already achieved every being is one with the Absolute is in fact the Absolute what is to be achieved is destruction of the sense of separateness which being accomplished mol sa is easily realised. The disregard of this vital difference between the two ideas has led many Orientalists to regard the mel sa of the Vedanta, and the nirvana of the Buddhists, as some thing berdering on pure mortin or entire annihilation

The illusion theory is another puzzle in the way of many. Some try to set it down to later interpolation. That this is not so, will be evident from the text of the Upanisads, but a suggestion by the way may be

usefully given. Experience is endless, and this endless variety creates distinctions which lead to the sense of separateness. All that is illusion in the phenomenal, is only these distinctions or limitations and the sense of separateness created by them. The basis or substratum of the illusion cannot be said to be nothing nor something. It is as indescribable as the Absolute and is known to BE, though never apart from or outside of the Absolute

Many students of Indian philosophy enamoured of the idea of moksa taught by it seek to achieve it by various processes, physical or mental, generally, known as Yoga Those who do so without being fully saturated with the spirit and substance of the Upanisads merely take a leap in the dark and court certain death, spiritual as well as physical The first requisite is proper familiarity with the first principles of Advaita philosophy (Sravana), and entire love with them (Manana) Then follows that sublime state wherein the sense of separateness is being slowly forgotten. But even here nothing but strict practice of the noble virtues and perfect altruism will be necessarily required of the student.

M N. DVIVEDI

CONTENTS

Preface

Introduction

Fifth Chapter

Sixth Chapter

PAGE

v

384

406

First Chapter	14
Second Chapter	218
Third Chapter	258
Fourth Chapter	311

First Edition, 1906

Second Edition, 1931

॥ बृहदारण्यकोपनिपत् ॥

BRHADĀRANYAKA-UPANISAD



INTRODUCTION

By SRIMAT SANKAR (CARYA

OM 1 Salutation to Brahman and the other Brahman is perfect in the traditional knowledge of Brahman whose names are enumerated in the lineage from teacher to teacher. Salutation to the religious instructors.

This brief commentary of the Vajasancyi Brahma Upanisad which commences with the words. The dawn is the head of the sacrificial horse is composed for the sake of those who wish to liberate themselves from the world in order that they may require the knowledge that Britiman and the soul are the same a knowledge by which the liberatium from the cause of

ges who in continuous succession from thin etc taught the knowledge of the numerated in the Vainga Br dimane a

The plural is here the plural majestatis meaning the preceptor of Sankaricarya whose name was Govinda

² Brief it is called in comparison with the more voluminous commentary called the Bhartprapancahhasya

Brahman the universal soul

The individual soul According to the Vedantis the soul independent of the body is the substance which is pure existence knowled, a and bliss and which must therefore not be confounded with its faculties the senses the mind intellect at

the world 1 is accomplished. This knowledge of Brahman is called Upanisad because it completely annihilates the world, together with its cause (ignorance) in such as possess this knowledge for this is the meaning of the word Sad, 2 preceded by Upani A work which treats on the same knowledge, is also called Upanisad The above-mentioned Upanisad, which contains six chapters, is called Aranyaka, as being spoken in a desert, and Bihad (great) from its extent 4

The connexion of this Upanisad with the ceremonial part 5 of the Vedas will now be mentioned. The whole Veda has the design to explain by what means objects of desire and aversion that cannot be proved by perception or inference, may be obtained or removed, for every man has naturally the wish to obtain objects of desire, and remove objects of aversion. The Veda has not to investigate objects of the senses, because the knowledge of what satisfies desires, or removes objects of aversion, is produced by perception and inference of

¹ The cause of the world is ignorance.

² Sad means to destroy, or to go

^a Upa means near, N1 expresses certainty

⁴ This Upanisad, besides the names of Vājasaneyi-Brahma—Upanisad and Brhad-Āranyaka-Upanisad, bears also the denomination of Kānva-Upanisad

⁵ The Vedas are divided in two parts, the first is the Karma-kānda, the ceremonial part, also Pūrva-kānda, and treats on ceremonies, the second is the Jūānakānda, the part which contains knowledge, also named Uttara-kānda or posterior part and unfolds the knowledge of Brahman or the universal soul

⁶ The Mîmāmsakas and Vedāntis asseit five kinds of evidence, viz, perception, inference, verbal communication, comparison and presumption. Some add also privation. The Cārvākas recognise

Lurther, unless there is a knowledge of tho existence of the soul in another life there einnot be a wish to obtain or remove objects of desire or aversion in a subsequent life, this is clear from the conduct of those who I do not admit any other desires but those prompted by one sown nature. In confequence the Vedic science is founded on the Inouledge of the existence of the soul relative to a former life and upon the special means by which objects of de ire and aversion in another life may be obtained or removed. The existence of the soul as independent of the body is evident from positive declarations of the Vedas as shown by the following presizes. This is the investigation whether after the death of man the soul exists some as ert the soul exists the soul does not exist assert others. This is the commencement of one Upan ad and it concludes with the words at oxists !

Another his age says. Some souls after death are born (again) to obtain a body like animals others

but one 11. perception The followers of kauids and those of Sugata (Buddha) acknowledge two perception and inference. The Sukhyas reckon three including affirmation The Nalyytkas or followers of Gotama count four 11, the furgoing together with compari on The Iribh karas as first observed admit five. And the rest of the Mimulisakas in both schools prior and later Mimulisa enumerate six Col M I \ \text{Not I by 303 304 In our pas age perception and inference include the other kinds of oxidence.

^{&#}x27;Tho Lokeyatikus are here meant according to Anandagiri They do not acknowledge the existence of the soul independent of the body and accordingly do not perform any actions relative to a future life

See hatha Upanisad I 20

³ k U VI 13

are changed into the condition of a trunk, according to their works, according to their knowledge of the Vedas."1

Another passage commences "It (the soul) is itself light, its knowledge and ceremonial works follow it (in another life). man becomes holy by holy work."

Another passage which commences "I will declare" concludes with the words the soul is knowledge

The assertion, that the existence of the soul as independent of the body, can be proved by perception, is not conject, for there is contradictory assertion of such who argue the contrary

For, if from perception a knowledge of the existence of the soul in connexion with another body, could be derived, we should not be opposed to the Lokayatikas and Bauddhas, who assert that the soul does not exist

¹ K. U, V, 6-7.

The Cārvākas (or Sūnyavādis) so named from one of their teachers, the Muni Cārvāka From Brhaspati they are called also Bārhaspatyas The appellation Sūnyavādis, implies the asserter of the unreality and emptiness of the universe, and another designation, Lokāyata, expresses their adoption of the tenet, that this being is the Be-all of existence they were, in short, the advocates of materialism, and atheism, and have existed from a very iemote period, and still exist, as we shall hereafter see Wilson's Sects of the Hindus, p 15

They (the Cārvākas) restrict to perception the only means of proof and source of knowledge Others, however, admit probability and tradition Col M, E Vol I, p 403 Sadānanda in the Vedānta Sāra, calls up for refutation no less than four followers of Cārvāka, one maintaining, that the gross corporeal frame is identical with the soul, another that the corporeal organs constitute the soul, a third affirming that the vital functions do so, a fourth insisting, that the mind and the soul are the same C M E, pp 403, 404

³ Bauddhas There are four sects among the followers of Buddha 1 The Madhyamicas, who maintain, that all is void

For with recard to objects of the senses as for instance a not no contradiction takes place as if one vould as ort the non existence of a pot although it is perceived by the senses. If you hold such contridiction may exist by referring to the well known topic of the trunk and the man if the same object is taken by some for a trunk by others for a man we remind you of the fact that all dispute ceases when the nature of the object has been a certained. For there is no dispute about the nature of an object of the senses for instance of a trunk when it has been a certained by perception. The Vainasikas 1 on the other hand although acknow ledging the I yet reject the opinion of the existence of the soul independent of the internal body Accordingly since the soul is different from any

Hence these Buddhists (the Soutr ntikes and baible ikas who

Vol I pp 393 394

² The logicaras who assert that except internal seneration ar intelligence (lift and all else is void 3 Sautratikas They affirm the actual extlence of external objects no less than of internal seneations. The exterior objects to be known by immediate perception 4 latibusikas who agree with the latter except that they contend for a mediate apprehension of exterior of jets through images of re-embling forms represented to the intellect O M L Vol 1 pp 390 391

believe that objects cease to exhave but a brief duration like loager than the perception of it tary) are by their adversaries Parna—or Sarva—Vana siles ara, followers of Kanada who acknow etermal and invariable and re changeable and who insist that identity ceases with any veriation in the composition of the bod nutriment and discharging and consequent early loss of called Ardhavoingskos are

object of the senses, its existence cannot be proved by perception

Nor can it be proved by inference. If you say, that the Sruti applies arguments in proving the existence of the soul, and that these arguments are based upon perception, we do not admit this on the ground, that there is no perception of the soul, relative to a former birth. When, however, the existence of the soul by the Veda is acknowledged, and also by common arguments, according to the meaning of the Veda, the followers of the Veda, the Mīmāmsakas and Tārkikas (or logicians), will substitute the fiction, as if the perception of the I and the arguments in accordance with the Vedas, were produced by their own intellect, and hence say, that the soul is proved by perception and inference

The ceremonial part of the Vedas is intended \mathbf{for} special instruction of him, who with cerknows that the soul exists, subject to tainty transmigration, and who in consequence is anxious to avail himself of special means to obtain and remove objects of desire and aversion in connexion with another The ignorance, however, with regard to the soul, which produces the wish to obtain what is desired, and to remove what is not desired, and which by its nature prides itself in the feelings of self, dominion and not annihilated by ceremonial works, possession 18 this can only be effected by the opposite knowledge of the identity of Brahman with the individual soul

ns long as this ignorance is not annihilated so long the ignorant soul owing to prison hatred and other natural faults, resulting from works and owing to the transgression of commands and prohibitions of the Sastra continues to accumulate by thoughts words and by the body works which are called unholy and which are the cause of evil concerning events that fill within the senses as well as that which do not fall within them. All this is the result of the greater power of natural faults. Hence in proportion to the foults transmigration ensures from the highest state downwards to the lowest state of inanimate matter.

Sometimes the purification by means of the Sastra has a greater power Hence the soul acquires by actions of mind speech and body a state which is called virtue and which is the cluse of good. This virtue is ty ofold-virtue resulting from I nowledge and mero virtue. The revered of the latter is the obtaining of the world of the mines (Pitre) and of other life worlds The reward of virtue resulting from knowledge is the obtaining of the world of the Dovas and of other worlds upwards to the world of Bruhman. Thus it is said in the Sastra sacrificial works directed to Brahman are preferable to works directed to the Devis. Also the Smrti 1 teaches work necording to the Vedas is twofold, (such as concurns active life and such as rofers to contemplation the former satisfies desires the latter abstains from them through knowledge)

¹ Manu 19 88

If lastly, virtue and vice are equally balanced in a person, he obtains the condition of man. Thus by the power of ignorance and other innate faults, a soul has to transmigrate, in accordance with its virtue or vice, into worldly forms, different in name, shape and works from the state of the world of Brahman downwards to the condition of inanimate matter.

Thus this manifested world, subject to the connexion between cause and effect, was not manifested before its creation "This world which, like a sprout springs from seed, proceeds from ignorance, which is placed as the result from works, from the performer and effects upon the soul, is without beginning, is without end, is without reality" To remove the ignorance of a person who shows by reflections, as just described, his dissatisfaction with the world, the following Upanisad is commenced, in order that he may obtain the knowledge of Brahman, which is opposite to that ignorance.

The knowledge, however, of the ceremonies regarding the sacrifice of a horse, is required that those who are not fit to perform the horse-sacrifice may obtain by this knowledge the same reward ¹

If one by such passages from the Sruti as the following "By knowledge or by holy actions the mentioned reward may be obtained," and "He who

As'vamedha or horse-sacrifice, which does not properly belong to it, is given for the object, that Biāhmanas and others may obtain by knowledge the reward of this sacrifice, which can in reality only be performed by kings

overcomes even this world, would conclude that the knowledge was only a kind of work he would be in error, first because passages as such who offers the sacrificial horse and who knows! him thus leave it optional to perform that sacrifice either by knowledge or in reality secondly because it (this knowledge) is also mentioned in the second part of the Sruti which treats on I nowledge thirdly because also by other ceremomies a similar representation is made. Hence it follows that from knowledge alone the reward of the horse-sacrifice is derived. The chief of all ceremonies however is the Asymmedha because its reward includes the universal and special state of Hiranya Garbha.

Further at this commencement of the knowledge of Brahman the ecremony of the horse sacrifice is described for the purpose to show the worldly tendency of all sacrifices—for its roward is shown as the devourer which is death.

If it be said that the regular eeromonies have no worldly effect wo dony this on the authority of the Sruti which enumerates the offects of all works. For every eeromony requires the assistance of n wife

That is to say who knows the sacrifice of the horse to be an emblem of Prajapati

The universal state is Hiranyagarbha as soul of the universe the transparent in every individual. Here the special state means of course the state of a special divinity

Rebular ceremonies are such which if not performed are cause of sin, and if performed are no cause of merit ceremonies which every Br.hmana is bound to perform as for instance the regular ceremonies called Sandhyavandana Vide Vodantas..ra

When by such wishes as the following, "may I have a wife," which are based on our very nature, the connection of all ceremonies with objects of desire moreover, as the reward of the ceremonies to be performed by a son, the world of the Rsis or Devas, have been pointed out, the state of Hiranyagarbha will be shown as reward of the Asvamedha at the end of this chapter by the words "This world is three-fold by name, form and work"

Thus the world is the manifest effect from all actions These three (names, forms and works) then were before the origin of the world not manifested Again they become manifest from the effects of the works of all living creatures as a tree spring from This world, which is at the same tune manifest and not manifest, the object of ignorance, which is morpheus and amorpheus. is for the sake of the ceremony, the performer and the effect, placed through ignorance upon the soul by its semblance of it Therefore the soul, although it is separate from the world, although in its nature it is without the distinction of name, form and work, although it is without duality, essentially eternal, pure, intelligent and blissful, is yet manifested by the difference of ceremony, performer, effect, and by other distinctions Therefore as the erioneous conception of a rope as a snake is i emoved by a coirect apprehension, so the knowledge of Brahman is commenced to annihilate the ignorance, the cause of desire and other faults, and also of works, of a person who is dissatisfied with this

world which naturally represents the distinctions of ceronicinal act of the performer and of the effect and which naturally applies causes and effects by such sentences as this is so or so. In this the part commencing with "The dawn is the head act is designed for the knowledge of the horse sacrifice. The significant represented under the emblem of a horse on account of the eminence of the horse. The eminence again is derived from the significance bearing its name and from its being the representation of Prijapati.

The Asymedia and Puril anedia colounted in the manuse directed by this Veda (white Yajur Veda) are not really secrifices of burses and men. In the first mentioned ceremony six hindred and nine animals of various prescribed kinds domestic and wild including, birds fish and reptiles ar made first the time ones to twenty one posts and the wild in the intervals between the pillars and after certain prayers have been re ited the victims are let loo o without injury. This mode of performing the Asymmetha and Partusamedha as omb ematic ceremonies not as real secrifices is taught in this Veda and the interpretation is fully confirmed by the rituals and by commentators on the Sauthat and Brahmana. The borse which is the subject of the religious ceremony called Asymmetha is also avowedly an amblom of Viraj or the primoval and universal manifested being. Col M. E. Vol. I pp. 61–62

FIRST CHAPTER

FIRST BRAHMANA

ॐ पूर्णमदः पूर्णमिद पूर्णात्पूर्णमुदच्यते । पूर्णस्य पूर्णमाठाय पूर्णमेवावशिष्यते ॥

ॐ शान्ति शान्तिः शान्ति ॥

Om! whole is that, whole (too) is this, from whole, cometh the whole, take whole from whole, (yet) whole remains

Om! Peace, Peace, Peace, Harih, Om.

1 ॐ उपा वा अश्वस्य मेध्यस्य शिर । सूर्यश्चक्षुर्वातः प्राणो व्यक्ति मिस्निंश्वानरः सवत्सर आत्माश्वस्य मेध्यस्य । द्यौः पृष्ठमन्तिरक्ष- सुदर पृथिवी पाजस्थम् । दिशः पार्श्व अवान्तरिहराः पृशिव अतावीऽद्गानि मासाश्चाधमासाश्च पूर्वाण्यहोरात्राणि प्रतिष्ठा नक्षत्राण्यस्थीनि नमो मारसानि । ऊवध्यरसिकताः सिन्धवो गुदा यकुच छोमानश्च पर्वता ओषध्यश्च वनस्पत्यश्च छोमानि उद्यन पूर्वाधी निम्स्रोच्छ्यनाधो तिह्नजूम्भते यिह्नधोत्तते योह्नधूनुते तत्स्त्वन्यति यनमेहति तर्ह्यति वागेवास्य वाक् ॥

OMI The dawn in truth is the head of the sperificial horse. The sun is the eye the wind the breath the fire under the name Valsannra, the open mouth, the year the body of the sperificial horse. The heaven is the back the atmosphere the belly the earth the footstool (hoof) the guarters the sides the intermediate quarters the bones of the sides, the scisons tho members the months and the half months are the joints day and night the feet the constellations the bones the sky the museled the half disested food the sand the rivers prieries and veins the liver and spleen the mountains the herb and trees the various kinds of hair. The sun as long as he rises is the fore part of the bedy the sun as long as he descends is the hind part of the body. The lightning is like yawning the shaking of the members is like the relling of the thunder the passing of urine is like the rnin of the clouds ats voice is like speech

The dawn is in truth oto The dawn means here the hour of Brahman In truth is said for the sake of reminding and reminds of a well known time. Is the head from its being the principal time for the head is the principal part of the bedy. For the object of purifying the animal representing in its members the various parts of the economies time and other conditions of the sacrifice are typified by the head and other parts. It is represented as Prajapati by giving it the emblems of Prajapati for the representation of the animal by time the worlds and the divinities is its representation as Prajapati for this is the nature of Prajapati as Visnu and other detties are represented under the form of an image. The sun is the eye as nearest to the head and as being

the tutelary doity of the oye "The wind is the breath." from its identity with it "The mouth is fire, under the name of Vaisvanara", Vaisvanara is the special name of Agni, meaning, whose mouth is wide open. Agni is also the deity of the mouth. "The year is the body," viz, the year, as containing twelve or thirteen months. The year is the body of the members of time, for the body is in the midst of the members, as the Sruti says "Of the sacrificial horse", this is here repeated for the sake of connection, "The heaven is the back," both being placed alike above "The atmosphere the belly," both being hollow The earth the footstool The quarters, although four, are the two sides, by the similarity of both. This comparison is not improper for the reason, that the number of both is different, for as the two sides of the horse are turned to all quarters, there is no fault in this comparison. "The intermediate quarters," 112, Agneyi (regent of the south-east), etc., the bones of the sides "The seasons are the members," from the similarity of the parts of the year with the members of the body. "The months and half months the joints," from their similarity. Days and nights the feet." in plural number from the difference of days, as days of Plajapati, of the Devas, of the Pitrs, and of men "Feet," because they proceed for the time as body proceeds with the days and nights as with the feet of the horse "The constellations the bones," from their similar white colour "The sky," meaning here the clouds of the sky, because the sky was formerly mentioned as the belly, are the muscles, from the similarity of both in oozing either water or blood "The half digested food the sand," from the similarity of the separate parts. The riveis, "arteries and veins," because both ooze liver and the spleen," the mountains, viz, the two pieces of flesh to the right and left under the heart, they are cailed "mountains" from their haidness and height. The herbs, small plants, the hair of the body, the "trees," the hair of the head, according to their likeness. The sun rising

As the eye is nearest to the head, so the sun is nearest to the dawn. This is the point of their resemblance—Anandagin i

until noon is the forepart of the horse above the navel the sun descending from the mildst of his course is the other half the hips and loins from their respective similarity. The lightning is life the sawning from the likeness of the mouth when it suddenly opens with the rending of a cloud. The shaking of the members is life the rolling of thunder from the imilarity of the sound. The pa inp of the urine is like the raining of the clouds—both sprinkle alike. The voice is like the neighing of the horse in this there is a natural likeness.

2 अह्वा अथ पुगन्तानिकान्य तान्य प्रमानुत्र योगी गतिस्य पुधान्त्रतिमान्य ताना गान्यप्र मुद्धे योगिस्त्री या अश्च मित्यानावित्त नैत्रमृत्तु । त्या भृत्या देवाच बानी गन्यप्रान् नवानुगत्त्रपा स्तुत्वा मुद्धा प्रमान्य प्रसुत्व सुत्रा याति ॥

The day is the Mahima placed before the liorse its birth place is the eastern seather night the other Mahima which is placed behind the horse its birth place is the western seathese Mahimas are placed around the horse. The horse under the name of Haya earried the gods under the name of Van the Gandhar vas, under the name of Arva Asuras under the name of Asva mea. The sea is the companion the sea its birthplace.

The day oto this is said in illustrations of the golden and silver cups called Mahima which are placed before and behind the here. The day is the golden cup from the similar splendour of both. How is the day placed as the cup before the borse? By its being an emblem of Prajapati for Prajapati, whe is represented by Aditya (the sun) etc. is designed by the day. In naming the herse Prajapati. Is meant as the lightning is manifest by

manifesting a tree The birthplace of the golden cup means here the place where it is kept. Thus the night represents the silver cup, from the likeness of the colour, or of the hind-part of the horse Behind this horse, the cup, by name Mahima, is placed Its birthplace is the western sea Mahima means gleatness, for it shows the opulence of the hoise, that the golden and silver cups are placed on either These cups, under the name of Mahima, are placed round the horse The repetition is here made for the sake of praise, viz, the Mahimas, which have those distinctions, and the horse gifted with gleatness The same praise is intended by the words "The hoise under the name of Haya" Haya is derived from the root Hinoti, the action of going, going in an eminent manner, unless it means a peculiar race "It carries the gods," means either it gained the condition of a god by its being the representation of Prajapati, or it became the carrier of the gods. But is not the office of carrying a blame? By no means, for carrying is the nature of the horse, and as by this nature it acquires an eminence, as being connected with the gods and other superior beings, it is rather a praise. In the same manner the word Van and the other terms of the horse denote different laces The horse, under the name of Vall, carried the Gandhaivas under the name of Aiva, the Asuras, under the name of Asva men The sea, which represents here the universal soul, its companion, there is its dwellingplace The sea, its birth-place, the cause of its production In this way the purified birth-place, or the purified dwellingplace is praised. In the waters is the birth-place of the horse, says the Sruti, thus the sea is its well-known origin

SECOND BRAHMANA

नैवेह किचनाप्र आसीन्मृत्युनैवेदमावृतमासीत् । अश्वनाययाज्ञनाया हि
मृत्युस्तन्मनोऽकुरुतात्मन्वी स्यामिति सोऽर्चन्नचरत्तस्यार्चत

आपाऽ नायन्ताचतः वं में कमभूतिः तदेवायस्यायत्वम् क्र× हैं वा अर्म्म भवति य एवमेतद्वस्यायत्वे वेट ॥

There was not any thing here before this was indeed enveloped by death who is voracity

For vorneity is death. He created this mind desiring. May I have a soul. He went forth worshipping. From him when worshipping, the waters were produced. Ho then reflected. To me when worshipping water (km) was produced. For the time reason fire obtained the manne of Arka. Happiness is indeed produced for him who in this manner knows the nature of Arka.

The origin of the fire required for the performance of the hore escribes will now be explained. As it is intended to describe the perticuler of the fire with regard to the horse sacrifice the birth of the fire is here introduced for its praise.

There was not eny thing here before Here in this universe there was not any thing that could be distinguished by differences of name or shope Before it. before the creation of the mind and other productions

Then was there not the universel void? For this fellows from the passage of the Sruti. There was not any thing here—which means there was nother an effect nor a cause. It fellows also from the idea of production—for suppose a pet be produced consequently there is no existence of the pet before its production.

But hence does not follow the non existence of the cause as is evident from the lump of earth (from which the

¹ This is the opinion of the Madhynmicas one of the four sects of the Bauddhas

pot is produced), let it be granted, that there is no existence of a thing, that is not perceived, let therefore the effect not exist, this, however, does not affect the existence of the cause, as it can be perceived (before it produces the effect)

'No, before the production there is no perception whatever, either of cause or effect If then non-perception, which is a negation, is the cause of the whole world, neither cause nor effect can be perceived before its creation. therefore the negation of all (cause and effect) must be admitted'

We deny this First, because the Sruti declares "By death was this indeed enveloped", for if there was nothing to envelope, and nothing to be enveloped, the Sruti could not say this was indeed enveloped, for there is no such a conception as the son of a barren woman, adorned with a sky-flower And it is said, by death indeed was this enveloped, therefore, by the evidence of the Siuti, it follows both, that before the creation of the world there was a cause which was enveloping, and that there was an effect which was enveloped We deny it secondly, by argument, for we may know by inference the existence of cause and effect before the production, for if a real effect takes place, it must be produced by a real cause, and cannot be produced by an unreal cause

The existence of a cause of the world before its creation is argued in the same manner as the existence of the cause of a pot and of other productions If you argue the non-existence of the cause of the pot, etc, from the reason, that the pot could not be produced, unless the lump of earth 3 and other similar things disappear,4 we cannot

As of an effect, which is not perceived, before it is produced by its cause

The opponent of course

³ From which the pot is produced

Viz, the opponent reasons in this way If the effect (for instance, the pot) has no actual existence, the cause (the lump of earth) does also not exist. If the effect has actual existence, the cause (the lump of earth) has disappeared, ie, exists no more

agree since earth and other substance are the real causes for earth gold etc are the cau es in the production of a net and not the peculiar shape of the lump since if there is not the fermer (carth) there is not the latter (the pot) Namely even if there is not a neculiar shape of the lump the production of a pot and similar effects takes place from such substances alone as earth gold and similar causes Therefore not the neculiar shape of the lump is the cause in the production of a pet On the other hand if there do not exist earth hold and similar substances the production of a net ctc does not tale place consequently earth gold etc are the cause not the occuliar shape of the lump for every cause when producing an effect preduces this effect only by concealing (making disappear) its former effects because it is impossible that from one and the same cause at one and the same time many effects should be derived 1

Nor does the cause disappear with its former effect theorefore the production of the pot by the disappearance of the lump (which you say is the cause) shows that the lump is not the cause. If you say that before the production a cause does not exist because independent of the lump of earth carth and other substances have no existence we object for earth and other substances do not disappear with their former effects but are yet found in the last productions as in a pot ote.

If you maintain that without the lump the pet occearth and ether causes are not perceived we dony this also because whon a pot occ is produced the lump etchas disappeared while the earth and other real causes are there still remaining If you say that the connection (between cause and offect) depends upon comparison and

^{&#}x27;For instance The shape of a lump of earth from which a pot is to be made must disappear in order that the production be possible. This shape however is not the cause but it is merely a former effect of the same cause earth

² Sankara has omitted to give the argument of the opponent which is based upon the supposition that the relation of cause and offect can only be apprehended by comprison Arabacian

not upon the permanence of the cause (in the effect)', we deny this also, for as the parts of earth that compose a sump, are found in a pot by mere perception, there is only a semblance of inference and therefore comparison and other similar actions do not take place

Moreover the different mode of operation in perception and in inference is not incompatible because inference, which is always preceded by perception, would otherwise have nowhere a place to depend upon

If it be supposed, that 'all has only a momentary existence, and accordingly in a sentence, that is this, both terms refer only to a momentary existence,' it follows that the notion of "that" is dependent on the notion of another "that" which is again dependent on another, so that there is no stability. It further follows, that the notion "that is like this," is also impossible, (the term "this" being dependent on a similar series) hence the conclusion is unavoidable there is no dependence whatsoever connection between the two notions of that and this is also impossible, because there is no subject to perceive them If you say, there is a connection between them by comparison,' we object, because the mutual connection of the notions of that and this cannot be understood. And if there be no connection between one object and another object, the idea of comparison cannot take place

Granted, that there is no comparison, the notion of that is possible. No, because, like the notion from comparison, the notions of that and this have no reference to any real object. Granted that all notions have no real object, what then? You must then admit that true as well as false notions refer to objects which are objects of nothing

supplies this as follows. All that exists, is only momentary, but these modes (cause and effect) exist, therefore they are momentary. Is thus the momentary existence of all that exists, has been shown, and the relation of cause and effect depends upon comparison, delusion is unavoidable.

¹ No sentient subject, no reasonable being, because it would be involved in the same eternal change

If you lastly say this may be so we contradict because if all notions are without object you cannot form the idea of a false notion. Hence your opinion that the notion of that depends upon comparison is not true and we therefore conclude that the real existence of the cause before the production is proved.

This is also true with regard to the effect on the ground of its manife takin. How is the existence of the effect before it production proved on the ground of its manifestation?

Manifestation is the ground of this (offeet)—hence manife tation means a perception depo ident on a knowledge which is present for as in common life a pot and similar things enveloped by darkue s became an object of knowledge when the cause of enveloping has been removed by means of light of and do therefore not disapprive their former existence so also we argue the existence of this world before its production for unless a pot exists it cannot be perceived even if the sun rices

It certainly is not perceived by you unless it exists. For if production as a not atc do at any time not exist they are not perceived by you if the sun even rises. If the lump of earth is not at hand and no enveloping cause as darkness are present the effect will not be per ceived on the ground that it exists.

follows If you say the how does the existence for from the existence of again you maintain that manifestition. Thus is a fall a circle. The answer of the Vedantis is The provious existence of an effect which is not preceived is proved by its manifestition which is precived. There is therefore no false circle. The originated for the provious existence of an effect is based on this precise. All that is manifested exists before its manifestion of a pot enveloped by dorkness.

The objection of t

Reference is here made to the past and future time for if is to be did

We do not admit this, because there is a twofold kind of enveloping, for things that envelop an effect, as a pot, etc., are twofold, first, things which scieen, as darkness, etc., when the earth and other substances are already manifested, secondly, the modification of the parts, earth and other substances in the state of another effect as of a lump, etc., before the manifestation of the earth in the effect of a pot, etc. Accordingly, the perception of an effect, as a pot, although existing before its production, cannot take place, because it is enveloped (in another effect). The distinction, however, according to the common ideas of destroyed, produced, existing, not existing, is merely the twofold distinction of the ideas of manifestation and disappearance.

This is improper, because the lump, the two halves of the pot, 'etc, are different from any thing that envelops, for any screen, as darkness, which envelops any production, as a pot, etc, appears something different from the pot and similar things while the lump or the two halves do not appear different from the pot. Therefore your assertion, that a pot, which is in the state of a lump or of the two halves, is not perceived because it is enveloped, is improper, because it is different from the idea of enveloping '

¹ By the disappearance of the half, a pot is destroyed, by iemoving the lump and other enveloping things it is manifested, its manifestation by means of a light, etc, is its existence, its disappearance by means of the lump, etc, is its non existence

To understand the following, it is necessary to know that the usual way among the Hindus to make a pot is, first to form two separate parts, the Kapālas, the halves of the pot, and to join them afterwards in the middle

This objection is based upon the supposition, that the thing, which is enveloping, is not identical with the thing that is enveloped by it, as for instance, darkness is not identical with any thing that is enveloped by it. Therefore, the opponent argues, the lump of earth cannot envelop a pot or any other production, because both (the lump and the pot) are identical. The refutation of this is twofold, according to a distinction in the term identical, viz, the assertion that the enveloping thing cannot be identical with the thing enveloped, means either, that it cannot be identical as to its appearance in space, etc., or not identical as to its cause. The first is

We do not agree for on the one hand with and water (when mixed) and similar things appear in an identical state although the water and umilar things are enveloped by milk etc. On the other hand if you say that there is nothing which envelops because the parts of a half that is ground to dust are contained in the pot etc we done this for if the different parts are in another effect there is ovidently an enveloping. There must however be an offert to remove what envelop because the pot and other officets which are in the tate of the mmp or of not perceived in consequence of their the balses are being enveloped and accordingly a per on who is do irous of any production a of a pot must direct his offert to de tros what envolup it and not to the production of the not. The however does not exist and it is there fore improper to av that there is no perception of a thing which exits because it i enveloped We deny this hecause it is against the rule in practice for the manifestation of a put ote does not take place only by an effort to destroy obstacles as is oxident from the effort to produce a light if a not and other productions are enveloped in darkness If you say that this is done to destroy darkne s 14 that the effort made to produce a gight is merely mede to let darl ness disappear and if the da kness is destroyed the pot is manifested by itself for there is nothing (no obstacle to the sight) in the pot we do not grant that because the perception takes place when the pot is manife ted for as the manifested pot is perceived be means of a light so it is not before the light is employed Therefore the light 1 not for the mean to destroy the darkness but rather for the means of manifesting the not

refitted by the fact that the contrary takes place for instance when mill and water are may d water which is enveloped by mill as perceived as one and the same with the mill which could not be the case if the thing that envelops must be different from the thing that seed of the form of the thing that one and the ground that different effects an one and the same cause are separated from each other and do therefore not destroy each other -X G

That is to say when the screen darkness is removed there is no obstacle to its manifestation

because the perception takes place by manifestation Sometimes there may be an effort also to destroy what envelops, as in the destruction of a scieen, etc., but on this account it is not the rule. A person who wishes the manifestation of any thing, must make an effort to destroy all that envelops

This follows also from the success of a work, if done in accordance with its rule. We said, that an effect, which abides in the cause, is an obstacle to another effect. If then an effort is made to destroy an effect, which was before manifested, for instance, a lump, or the two halves which were before concealed by the lump, then is produced an effect which is called splitting or grinding, etc. A pot, which is enveloped by such effects, is not perceived, and requires therefore another effort, hence a person who wishes the manifestation of a pot, can only be successful, if the needful action of producing a pot is used by him. Therefore, the effect exists even before its production

This follows also from the distinction of the ideas of past and future It is only reasonable, that the ideas of "there was a pot," and "there will be a pot," like the idea of "there is a pot" do not refer to an object that does not It also follows from the action of any one, who is desnous of a future production, for nobody would act with regard to a desire of things that have no existence follows also from the truth of the knowledge of the Yogis, with regard to past and future events If a future pot were not an existing thing, divine knowledge, from immediate perception with regard to a future pot, would be a false We do not take notice here of an effect, which is perceived at the present time, for we granted before inference for the existence of an effect which has been, or which is to be — This also follows from the impossibility of the country When potters are engaged in fabrication of a pot, it is evident by this proof that the pot is to be, for if with any time the future existence of the pot is connected, then to connect with that time,

¹ That is to say, which were before in the state of the lump, for this is a previous effect of the same cause (earth)

a not exiting put is a contradiction for a pot that is to exist does not exi t means it is not to exist it is the same as if it be said. This not new before us does not exit -Further let potters be ongaged in the fabrication of a not and let it be said the not does not exl t before its production. If then the meaning of the word not existing 14 tha the pot does not exist in the same manner as potters and other artisans who are oncared in their then there is no contradiction Why ex15t not? Because the pet oxists in its own form which is to ext t for neither the existence of the sump nor of the half is the existence of the pet. Taken in this view when notter are engared in maling a 1 if there is no contradic tion in the idea of a pot which does not exi t before it production If you however prohibit the idea of the condition of the pot as a future production there is a contradiction but you did not prohibit this for with every action is not only existence or futurity connected (but also the past) Further among the four privation I the mutual privation with regard to the pot 1 perceived as something different from the pot as the nogation of the pot may be for example cloth but it never can become the negation of a pot itself for the negation of a pot for instance cioth which oxists is nover something negative but semething po itive If this is the case then all the other negations antecedent negation de truction and absolute negation which may take place with regard to the pet are something positive different from the not it elf because they have the same connection with it as the mutual negation. In this manner refer all negations to something positive. If this 15 the case antecedent negation of the pot does not mean a negation of the pot itself before its preduction. Therefore

¹ Negation or privation is of two sorts universal and mutual Universal negation compreh and absolute Antecedent which at a future time wil or ce sation of an eff et times past present and fut is reciprocal accation of identity essence or respective peculiarity

⁽Col M E Vol I pp 288 989)

if you say, "the antecedent negation of a pot," you must acknowledge the (existence of the) pot itself, or you could not connect it with the genitive case (of the pot). If you say, it is connected with it by rhetorical figure, as if one speaks of the body of a stone figure, yet, if you pronounce such a sentence as the negation of a pot, the connection of the rhetorical negation is with the pot, and not with the nature of a pot (then the negation is only artificial negation and not the real negation of the pot). If you say, 'that the negation of a pot is something different from the pot,' then we must refer you to the answer we have given before

Further, before the production of a pot, there cannot be a connection of the pot which does not exist with its cause which exists, which is as if you would give horns to a hare, because the connection is always mutual. If you say, 'there is no fault in our case, because the connection is only an accidental one,' we object, because even an accidental connection cannot take place for elements, of which the one exists, the other not exists, for with regard to two elements that exist, either the necessary or accidental connection may take place, but it does not take place for elements, of which the one exists, the other does not exist or for elements, of which either does not exist. It is therefore proved that the effect exists before its production. The Upanisad now explains the nature of death, by whom this (universe) was enveloped by the words

"Who is voiacity" The desire to devour is voiacity this is death, for voracity is the true definition of death. By death, then, whose nature is voracity, this universe was enveloped. How is voiacity death? The answer is "For voracity is death." The meaning of the word "for" is, that the reason is well known, for he who desires to devour, kills according to his voracity the living creatures. Consequently death is represented by voracity, Voracity as a desire is a quality of the soul in its modification as intelligence, therefore this Hiranyagarbha, in his modification as intelligence, is called death. By this death was this effect enveloped, as a pot and other

productions are enveloped by earth in its modification as a He created this mind the above mentioned death by the desire to create the productions afterwards to be pecified made the so called mind capable to reflect on his creation is the internal sense which is no sessed with the faculty to ascertain knowledge and with other facultie For what purpose did he create the mind? The answer is May I have a soul may by the soul in po sessed with mind by the manifestation of mind having adored himself said I have succeeded I rom him from Prairpati when worshipping the waters the element whose quality is taste were produced as the ombodied parts of his devotion that is to ay he created the water after the production of the sky and the other two elements as it accords with the authority of another pas ago of the Sruti and the improbability of a reverse order of the orention He then reflected when wer hip ping (Arcato) water (ka) was produced for mo For the same reason that death was called Arka Arka or the fire in its connection with the sacrifice of Asyamedha obtained the name of Arla for the second appollation of fire is Arka. The name of Arka as derived from Arcana, which means to worship for ones welfare or as derived from its connection with water denotes a quality of fire To him who in this manner as has been mentioned knows the nature of Arka water or happiness (the word Ka is used for both) is indeed of a cortainty produced

> 2 आपो वा अन्मत्यद्वपाण द्वार आस्त्रात्तम न्यन सा पृथित्रमत्रतस्याम प्राप्यसन्य प्रान्तस्य ग्रास्य तेनो रसो निकाताणि ॥

Or the waters are Arka. The froth of the waters which was there, became consistent. This became the earth. On the creation of this he became fatigued

¹ Air and fire -Taittareya Upanisad I 2

The splendour, the exudation of him, when he was fatigued, heated, was poured out as fire

- "Or the waters are Arka" Who is again called Aika? The waters, the embodied parts of the devotion, are Arka, as produced from Arka or file. As it is said in the Sruti And in the waters is placed the fire But they are not directly called Arka because this is the proper place to describe the fire, but not the water And afterwards it is also said This fire is Arka, "The froth of the waters, which was there," like the cream of curdled milk, "became consistent", the froth of the waters, heated from within and without by the generative splendour, became consistent, "became the earth" The meaning is, that from these waters the mundane egg sprang forth "On this," on the production of the earth, "he, death, Prajapati" became tatigued", for everybody, after the performance of work, gets fatigued, and it is the great work of Piajapati to create the earth What does it mean that he got fatigued? From the body of Prajapati, when fatigued, heated, distressed, his creative splendour as exudation, his substance was poured out Which is the fire that was poured out? It is Prajāpati as the Viiāj (who is throughout splendour) within the egg, the first-born, containing within himself the whole organism of productions He is the first embodied soul, as it is said in the Smiti
 - 3. स त्रेधात्मान व्यकुरुतादित्य तृतीय वायु तृतीय स एप प्राणस्त्रेधा विहितः । तस्य प्राची दिविछरोऽसी चासी चेमी । अधास्य प्रतीची दिक् पुच्छमसी चासी च सक्श्रयो दक्षिणा चोदीची च पार्थे चौ: पृष्टमन्ति सिमुदिमियमुर: स एषी प्रतिष्ठितो यत्र क चैति तदेव प्रतिविष्ठत्येवविद्वान् ॥

He made himself threefold, Aditya as the third, Vayu as the third This life parted threefold. His eastern quarter is the head. The quarters on

rither eide are the arms. Then his western quarter to the trill the quarters on either side are the thin, so the south and north the flink, the sky the back the almosphere the belly the eight the breast. This has a placed in the wives for where oever one repair there he is placed. This is alts from the knowledge of the wive.

This I rajapati when born divide I his own self the organism of all projections threef id How threefol !? Beside Arni and Vaju he made Viltya a toe third to complete the number of three in the same manner beside Agni and Aditya 1330 a the think and allo beside Vava and Aditya Ayril a the thir' according to their equal power to fill up the number of three This Praispati the life of every individual in the world was divided threefold according to the nature of Agnl Vaju and Aditya especially according to hi own nature as death without slvins up the nature of Viras This first born fire as Arka in its connection with the ceremon, of the Asyamedha this hre as Virai which is purified according to holy rate is also represented under the symbol of a horse for we said the whole origin a above mentioned is narrated for his prolet. Thus a his sacred ongin His eastern quarter is the head both being alike by their excellence The quarter on other side to the marter of Ist and of Agnesi are the arms

Then the western quarier of the fire is the tail (1) the part of the hip and loins as being opposite to the front part. The quarters on either side 1. Vayu and the sea ons are the thighs being like to the corner of the back south and north the flanks being his by their connection with the two former quarters. The heaven the back the atmosphere the beily as in the former description this carth is the breast both being alike by their position downwards. This fire being alike Prajapati or the fire as the substance of the worlds and of

the other created beings, "is placed in the waters" As another passage of the Sruti says, thus are all these worlds within the waters "For wheresoever anybody repairs, there he is placed" there he gains his abode. Who? He who thus knows the nature of the fire, which in the manner described is placed in the waters, for this is the fruit of his knowledge

4 सोऽक्रामयत द्वितीयो म आत्मा जायेतेति स मनसा वाचं मिथुनप् समभवदशनाया मृत्युस्तद्यदेत आसीत्स संवत्सरोऽभवत् । न ह पुरा ततः सवत्सर आस तयेतावन्त काळमंबिमः । यावान्सं-वत्सरस्त्रमेतावतः काळस्य परस्तादसृजत तं जातमिमन्याददात्स भाणकरोत्सेव वागभवत् ॥

He desired Let a second self of me be produced. By his mind he created speech, created union, viz, the devourer, death. The seed which there sprang forth became the year. For the year was not before him, he was conceived for the space of such time. After the time, which is contained within the space of a year, he created him. When he was born, he opened his mouth against him. He cried. Thus was speech produced.

"He desired" Who? Death He by himself, according to the successive order of creation of the water and the other elements created himself within the egg, as the Viral, as Agni containing the organism of all productions He also made himself threefold, as it was said. How was his activity, while he created? The answer is "He," death, "desired" What? "Let a second self of me," a body, by which I am possessed with a body, "be produced" Having thus desired, he created, by his before-created mind, speech, viz., speech representing the three Vedas, he created the union of speech with the mind, that is to say,

le reflected in his mind on the creation of the three Vedas in their accessive order Who? Death represented his voracity a i is aid death is represented by the de ire to devour Thi is for r peated in order that it be not connected with another. The se d the renerally seed both of knowledge and coremonic the cau e of all produc tions of Prairnatt the bet embolied soul which (reed) he perceived by his contemplation of the three Velos which there in that union aprung forth became theing concerned in the form of an en after he had outered with the ger rative cel the water which he had created in accordance with his recollection if it [the water a] pro due ion in a forme birtil the year. The means I rais pati the framer of the period called a year became the year for the ver we not before him before Prajapate the of a year is a lim a time wa Irajapati the framer of the annual period energied What did he which mean he broke the egs. When the babe fire the first embodied soul was thus born death In accordance with hit voracity spened his mouth against him to devour him upon which the babe according to hi natural ignorance got afraid and cried Thus was speech produced the sound of speech produced

5 स पक्षा परि वा ज्यानियस्य विशेषात्रत्र सिन्ध इति स तवा वाचा तत्ता म १ १ वर्ग १ परित ति म में प्रमुखि सामापि जन्म १ ति पत्ता प्रमा प १६ । स पर्य वास्त्रत्व तत्त्वरत्त्वप्रियत प । वा अतीति तारितारितव्य १ सम्भव वाचा भाति सव-व्यास भाति प प्रकार रितारितव्य वेट ॥

He reflected If I kill him I shall have only little food. On this reflection he created by that word in union with that soul all this whatsoever the Rk the

Yajus, the Sāma Vedas, the metres, the sacrifices, mankind, the animals

He resolved to devour all that he had created in this manner, for he eats all, therefore he has the name of Aditi. He is the eater of this whole universe, this whole universe is his food. He who thus knows him in his nature as Aditi, will obtain the reward of this knowledge

"He reflected." When death saw the terrified babe crying in such a manner, he reflected "If I," however desirous of eating, kill at any time this babe, "I shall have only little food" On this reflection he abstained from eating him, for much food is required for eating a long time, not a little, for by eating this boy, there will be only little food, as by the eating of the seed there will be want of corn. After having thus reflected on the necessity of abundant food, he created by the union of the before-mentioned threefold speech with the soul, the mind, the whole moveable and immoveable universe, "all this whatsoever," viz, the Rk, Yajus, Sāma Vedas, the seven metres, viz, the Gāyatrī and others, the three kinds of Mantras, which are the parts of the body of the Stotra Sastra with their Gayatri and other metres, the sacrifices to be performed by Mantras, mankind, as the performer of sacrifices, and all the wild and domestic animals, necessary for the performance of the sacrifices "How is it possible, that he created by the threefold speech in its union with the mind, the Rk and the other Vedas when it was said before, that he created them in this manner?" There is no fault in this. The union, which the mind has with the three Vedas, does not exist as manifested, and the creation is the manifestation of the existing Vedas by their application to ceremonies 'He,' Prajapati, being thus aware of the increase of food, "resolved to devour all that he had created," all the actions, the causes of actions and the effects of actions Death is therefore called Aditi, because he eats all, for Adıtı is derived from Attı, he

cats -Thus it is he a Mantra Adlit is tho sky he is the atmosphere he is mother and father. He is the docourer of the whole world considered as his food by being the universal soul otherwise there would be a contradiction for no individual can be the eater of the universe. There fore he is the universal soul. This whole universe is his food. Therefore it is consistent that all becomes his food because he as the universal soul is the exter—he who in the eforesaid manner knows this state of Prajapati death or Adli is this state according to which he eats every thing will have such a roward.

- 6 त क्या क्या व्यान व्या क्या क्या वाज्यान्य क तपाजाव्यत तत्त्र ध्यानस्य ततन्य यथा वायपुरकामत् प्राणा व यशा वीय त प्राणा क्यान्यप्र ज्ञा विकायितुत्तिक्यतः रुस्य वर्गार प्रमान जाताप्ताः
- He desired Let me perform again the great sacrifice. He became fatigued. He performed penance. When he was fatigued when he had performed penance glory and power departed. Life is glory power, there for when life had departed from his body his body assumed a swellen appearance, there was not mind within his body.

He desired this is said for the purpose to give the description of the horse and the horse sacrifice. Let me perform again the great sacrifice. The vord again refers to his former birth Prayapati made the sacrifice of a horse in his former birth. With this recollection he came again at the commencement of the present Kalpa! Boing impressed with the recollection of the cormonies the performer and the reward of the horse sacrifice he

¹ kalpa a reaccation of the universe one of the great periods from the renovation to the destruction of the world

desired "Let me again perform the great sacrifice,' and after he had thus desired the great work, he became fatigued like all other people "He performed penance' When he was fatigued, when he had performed penance, as in the former description, glory and power departed The explanation of the words glory and power is given in the Upanisad itself, life, viz, the organs of sense are glory, as being the cause of glory, for by the means of them renown is gained. In the same manner is power in this body, for if life is extinguished, no glory, no power is possible Therefore the orgnas of sense are like glory and power in this body, thus that glory and power of life departed from his body When the organs of sense, the cause of glory and power, had departed from the body of Prajapati, it presented the appearance of turgescence, and was unpurified "There was yet mind in his body," although deserted by Prajapati, in the same manner as the mind dwells on a beloved object, however distant it may be When he thus remained in the body as mind, what did he do?

7 सोडकामयत मेध्यं म इदप स्थादारमन्त्र्यनंत स्थामिति ततोडवः सममवंद्यद्ववत्तन्मेव्यमभूदिति तदेवाश्वमेधस्याश्वमेधत्वम् । एष ह वा अश्वमेवं वेद च एनमेव वेद तमनवरुद्वयवामन्यत तप् संवत्सरस्य परस्तादात्मन आलमत प्रशून्देवतास्यः प्रत्योहत् तस्मात्सवदेवत्य प्रोक्षित प्राजापत्यमालमन्त । एष ह वा अश्वमेवो च एष तपित तस्य सवत्सर आत्मायमीग्नर्कस्तस्येमे लोका आत्मानस्तावेतावक्तिभिनेवौ सोपुनरेकेच देवता मवति धत्युरेवापपुनर्मृत्युं जयित नैन भृत्युराप्नोति मृत्युरस्यात्मा मवत्येनतासा देवनानामेको मवित ॥

He desired. Let this my body be pure. Let me have a self by this body. Hence it became a horse,

¹ Vide p 35

that a state that a section of the second or the conthe committee the nor coffth. a van dure. He bo this I no the A vinedha l) alaba

He Inox a the Axia this Making him in the concer Haven left it one mind he on to I he off me the home. After over he In the of frim or nealed except be much to the pid. Therefore they book or the pinh d and all reasons in the end of a Proposal deiter Historian historian Illiberiate sear This are is Art : There cells are particular off The in sich a manne are Arla and A sanedha They are no an en divinity death. He thus conjuin the second doubt. Death doe no obtain him. Death be on In soil. He becomes one of those deities

The active is the defined. What for this man, holds be pure weaths of the american terms have a relificial time have a both this body. This becomes defined by the content of the body and the thi bod, Because this this body by my separation from 19 de titute of ther and power beams willen (A vall for the reason it became a home (Ar a) Hence the name of hore (148a) is evidently intended for the pral c of Praispate in this ceremony I arthur because that which was before impure (Amelhya) without prory and power by her ent ring again b came pure (M dhea) worths of sacrifice therefore the ceremons bearing the name of Assamedha obtained the name of Assamedha for the nature of the sacrifice is to have ceremonies a performer and effects. This I life Prainpati. That the horse b, which the sacrifice is accomplished i to represent Prajapati is evident from the words of the Sruti The day'n is the head of the sacrificial horse etc

The present passage, however "He knows the Asvamedha," is commenced, in order that the worship of the sacrificial horse, as an emblem of Prajapati and also of the fire, as it has been described, both of which are equal as being the sacrifice and effect, should be established This view is obtained first, because in the former passage no verb, enjoining the action, is met with, and secondly because the rite (of the Asvamedha) requires such a verb " "He knows the Asvamedha, who knows him," viz, the horse and Arka in his nature as fire, in this manner, he knows the Asvamedha, none beside, which means, that it should The Upanisad now therefore be known in this manner explains, why Prajāpati is represented under the symbol of an animal When Prajāpati had desired "Let me again perform the great sacrifice," and had represented himself under the emblem of a sacrificial animal, he considered himself as the hoise which he left unrestrained, viz, without rein After the completion of a year, "he slaughtered it for his own sake," by his nature as Prajapati representing all deities "He gave up the animals," all the other animals, wild and domestic, according to the deities which they represent, "to the gods" Because Prajapati considered himself as the horse, "therefore 'any other person, who, according to the beforementioned manner, represents himself under the emblem of an animal, of the sacrificial horse, will thus think. "Let me as the representative of all derties, being purified, slaughtered, be the divinity of myself Let the other wild and domestic animals, according to the deities of which they are symbols, be slaughtered for the other divinities which are my paits" In accordance with this, the pilests slaughter at present the purified animal, which in its nature as Piajapati represents all deities "He is the Asvamedha who shines" He who is thus the sacrifice

Anandagiri supplies here the defect in the argument, for says he a verb enjoining the action, has been mentioned in the former passage by the words 'He who knows the nature of Aditi' The rite or action which is by this established, he continues, refers, however, only to a worship of secondary order, here, on the contrary, is the rule of the chief worship established

which is performed by the means of animals is also described as the visible reward in the words. He is the Assamedia Wha? Ho who shine it Savity fthe sunl who manl fe to the world by his splendour. His body is the boly of him wha is at the same time the reward and the is the year because his revolution is performed within a year 1 And because the acrifice which corresents him is only performed by means af fire the reward is described by the symbol of the sacrifice. This terrestrial fire Arka is the cause of perferring the sacrifice worlds the othree worlds are parts of his olf of the body of this Arka which is called City whon applied at the sacrifice thus it was before said. He eastern quarter They the fire and Adltsa in such a manner as ctc they are described are Arka and Assamedha the sacrifice and the roward Arka the torrestrial fire as the visible action is accomplished by fire And because the reward (Savitr) is the offect of the sacrifice It (the reward) is des cribed by the emblem of sacrifice. Therefore it is said Adity a 18 the Asyamedha They cause and effect sacrifice and reward Agni and Aditya are again one divinity This is death Being before also one he was divided to correspond to the division into sacrifice performer and offeet as it was said He divided himself threefold becomes again in the time when the ceremonies are ac complished one divinity air death representing the reward Whosover again knows blm the Astamedha as one derty in this manner -I am this death the Assamellia one derty the state of this is gained by me as being like the horse and the fire - he conquers the second death that is to say one having died he is not born again for the second doath Doubting whother death although conquored would still not get him again it is said. Doath does not obtain him Why? Doath becomes his soul the soul of him who knows death in this manner Or death being thus the

¹ The sacrifice is also performed in the space of a year

Life according to Anandagiri

neward, "he becomes one of those deities" 1. This is his reward

THIRD BRAHMANA UDGITHAT PRAMINA

1 द्वया ह प्राजापया देवाश्चासुराश्च ततः कर्नायमा एव देवा ज्यागसा असुगस्त एए छोक्तेत्र्यस्पर्धना ते ह देवा अधुहैनतासुगन्यत उहीयेनात्ययामेति॥

Twofold indeed is the offspring of Prajapati, the gods and the demons. Therefore the gods are thus few in number, the demons many. They rivalled in these worlds. The gods of a truth spoke. Let us now in this sacrifice overcome the demons by the Udgitha.

What is the connection of the words: "Two-fold indeed is the off-pring of Prajapati?" The highest reward of ceremonial works, accompanied with knowledge, has been mentioned, viz, the state of death is the reward of the Asyamedha Therefore the Udgitha Brahmana is now commenced to expound, from whence the origin of

¹ Savitr and Arka (sun and fire)

The Udgītha, a part of the Sama Veda (second chapter) is a kind of song, commencing with the mystic syllable Om, which the priest called Udgātā, sings at the Soma Yāga. The Soma Yāga is the general name for seven distinct rites, viz, Agni Stoma, Atyazni Stoma, Ukthya, Şorası, Vajapeya, Atiratra and Aptoryama, where the Soma, or moon-plant juice is offered. 6th Chap of As'valayana's

The same narrative, which here is given at the commencement of the Udgītha to show the power of life and its unity with the supreme soul, occurs in the chāndogya Upanisad, first Adhy, ya, first Prapāthaka, where is also used as an introduction to the Udgītha

This source of all ceremonies and knowledge is the all-pervading life, as described in this Brāhmana

coromonial worls and knowledge which lead to a state identical with death is dorived

If it should be said. The state of death has been before declared as the reward of the before mentioned know ledge and coremonies. Now it will be asserted that the reward of the knowledge and the ceremonies of the Udgitha is to overcome the condition of death. Therefore since the reward is different it is of no use to explain the origin of the former coremonies and knowledge

We answer there is no fault in this for as the roward of the Udgitha is the condition of Agni or Aditya it is the same revard which has been mentioned for the former coremonies and knowledge in the words becomes one of those detties Is it then not contradictory to say that he overcomes death? 'No because the over coming of death means here to be liberated from the contact of innate sin

To explain the meaning of the question. Who is that death which is the contact with innate sin? Whence is his origin? By whom is he overcome and how? A narrative is given commencing with the words. Two fold The word indeed is used to remind of a former state viz it reminds of the present Prajapati in his former The off-pring of Prajapati means his offspring in a former birth Who are the gods and the demons ? The organs of Prajapati speech and the others How again is the divine and demonical nature of the offspring? The answer is The gods (Deva) who derive their name

As reward of the As vamedha performed either symbolically or in reality

Viz he who performs the Udgitha overcomes death while the reward of the As vamedha has been stated as death

Remind here that the number of organs according to the Vedanta is eleven viz the five organs of sense eight etc five organs of action speech the hand t mind Here however the organs of emell sight hearing and mind viz

the performance of the Udatha π 6

from manifesting (Dyotana) are such as are dedicated to knowledge and works, in accordance with the Sastras, the demons (Asura) as are dedicated to work, and knowledge, the necessity of which is visible, in accordance with natural perception and inference. They are different from the gods or Suras because they are satisfied within their own life! and also because the knowledge and works of the demon-are directed to visible wants. Therefore the gods are thus few in number, the demons many , for the de ire of the organs to act in accordance with natural knowledge and works, is stronger than the desire to act in accordance with natural knowledge and works derived from the Sastias, because the necessity of the former is evident Therefore the number of the gods is less, because the desire to act in accordance with the Sastras is less strong, for it can only be accomplished by excessive exertion "They," the gods and the demons, being alike the parts of the body of Prajapati, rivalled with each other for the sake of the enjoyment of these worlds, which may be obtained by actions and knowledge either in accordance with one's own nature, or in accordance with the Su-tra-The contest is for victory or defeat of the nature of the gods or demons Sometimes the nature of the organ- in accordance with knowledge and works derived from the S'astras, is victorious When it has the ascendancy, then the demonical power of the organs which refers to visible wants, and proceeds from knowledge and works in accordance with perception and inference, is defeated. This is the victory of the gods, and the defeat of the demons. Sometimes the levelse takes place, the nature of the gods is defeated, that of the demons is victorious gods are victorious, then, by the prevalence of virtue, an ascension ensues up to the obtaining of the state of Prajapati When the demons are victorious, then, by the pievalence of vice, a descension ensues down to the state of manimate matter When both are alike, then the state of man is obtained "The gods," who by their own small

^{&#}x27;Asu here in the sense of vital air, life, it means also fire,

number and the greater number of the demons were defeated by them of a truth spoke. Is the sum this sacrifice rize the Jyeti Stema! evercome the demons by the Udgitha by taking refuge to the agent of the rite called Udgatha By defeating the demons we shall obtain our own divine nature as manifested by the Sastra. Thus they spoke to each other.

To take refuge to the nature of the agent of the rite called Udgatha knowledge and works are required. The work consists in the recital of some (afterwards to be mentioned) Mantras and will be established by such passage of the Yajus a Lot him recite these Mantra. The knowledge will new be determined.

But is this not rather Arthavada as concluding the rite of recting the Abhraroba that an attempt to define knowledge? No because it is said in the Sputi. He who thus knows But then it has the object to tablish the rite of Udgitha because in the topic of Udgitha it is authorized by the anoient narrative ecommencing with the words. Two fold indeed is the off-spring of Prajapati. No

The Agaistoma is a modification of the Jyotistoma a scenifico offered by a person who is desirons of obtaining the engyment of heaven. The time of the scenifice is the spring senson. The per former is a hackman who has read the Vedas and entertains the scared tire. The offering, is the soma (moon plant) juice and the delites to whom the offering is made are Indra Vi yn ote. The number of priests required to perform the rites is system vize four Hots (who read the Mantras of the Ry Daylir Veda) of Brahm is (who superlated and direct the rites of the scenifice) and of Udg tas (who sing the Mantras of the Sama Veda). Each of the priests of the four divisious has again a separate annual office.

The cere momes continue for five days—Sabdala lpadruma.

A kind of praise The epponent reasons in this way The Udgitha is Arthuadh a kind of praise and accordingly not know ledge for praise of the detites does not contain a true knowledge of their nature and qualities because it is merely offered for the pur pose to make them propitious—AG

Some Mutrus of the Yapur Veda recited by a person who is desirous of obtaining a divine state as Anandagiri explains Devahhavam anena crobati its Abby-croba

because it does not belong to this subject, further because Udgitha is established in another place, ' further, rite of reciting the Abhyaroha is applied because the in two ceremonies 2 and is therefore not invariable, further, because the knowledge must be applied by a person who is perfect in his knowledge, and is declared invariable. further because the Stuti says "He who overcomes this world," etc., further, because life is declared pure, speech and the other organs impure for if life were no object of worship, it could not have been declared pure, nor speech, and the other organs which are mentioned in the same passage with life, impure, for by the reproof of speech, etc. the praise of the principal life is evident, as it was also intended Lastly, because such and similar newards are He who overcomes death, gets resplendent, declared as for a state which resembles that life, for instance the state of the goddess of speech, when like Agni, is the reward

'Let then the worship of life be gianted, but no pulity and similar qualities. If you say, this pulity etc, follows also from the Sruti, we reply it does not, because, as assigned in the act of worship, it is evidently intended as praise' (Arthavada)

We do not admit this, for, as in common life, by acquiring an object that is not contiary to our wishes, we acquire happiness, for in common life a person who obtains an object that is not contrary to his wishes, gets his desire or he turns from the undesired object, but this is not the case by obtaining an object, contrary to our desires, thus in

¹ In the Karma Kanda —A -G.

² There are two classes of ceremonies, the Havii Yāga, (Havis, a kind of oblation, usually clarified butter — Wilson Diet) including seven distinct ceremonies, viz, Agñyādhan, Dars'apurnamāsa, Cāturmāsya, Pas'ubandhana, etc, and Soma Yaga also including seven classes of rites which have been mentioned before (page 40). The rite of the Udgātha, which is performed by the Udgātha, is only performed in the Soma Yāga, while the Abhyāroba is included in either of the two classes. On this account it is said, the Abhyāroba, as occurring in two places, is variable, and is therefore no object of knowledge, as the Udgātha is

our oaso also by obtaining an object in accordance with the words of the Sruti wo evidently obtain happiness not otherwise. Moreover there is no proof against the truth of the object of knowledge arising from the word which is mentioned for the sale of wor hip. Nor is there stated any impediment of the said knowledge. Therefore by proving that we have acquired happiness we also acquire the truth of the object of Incaledge otherwise we evidently acquire an unreal object for he who in common info acquires an object contrary to his idea for instance a trunk instead of a man or an enemy instead of a friend his evidently obtained semething unreal. If in this way the notions of the soul of Island's and of the gods in accordance with the Sruti were not true then we should obtain by the Sastra something illusive. If this were the ease it would be known as in common life but this is not admitted

This is not true because Brahman is ropro onted by differences of name form etc. but such distinctions are evidently overluded from the nature of Brahman. In this perception of Brahman the Sastra is guilty of the same contradiction as if a man is perceived as a trunk. There fore we dony that with your obtaining the truth by the Sastra, you also obtain happiness.

We do not admit this argument on the ground that hore is the same distinction as it is with regard to an image. For your assertion that the perception of Brahman by such distinctions as name form which are excluded from the nature of Brahman ote is in the same way contradictory as if a man i perceived as a trunk is not right. Why not? Because by the distinctions of Brahman who cannot be perceived by name form and other properties the perception of Brahman by name form ote

1 Is vara the supreme ruler. The soul in which the univer sality of ignorance or of unconsciousness. Is inherent and which has the attributes of commetence omnipotence which is the cause of the world is the universal ruler.—Vedüntasüra

That is to say if Brahman is perceived or comprehended by such distinctions

is, established in the same way, as the perception of Visnu by an image and similar things. The perception by name, form, etc., is, like the image, a mere appliance, for Brahman is by no means name, form, etc. The perception of Brahman by such distinction, as name, form, etc., by no means in the same way contradictory, as if a trunk, before it is ascertained as such, is perceived as a man

If you say, 'that there is only a perception of Brahman, but Brahman himself does not exist, for the perception of Brahman by name, form, etc., is just like the presentation of Visnu and other gods by an image, or of the forefathers by a Brāhmana,' we object for the Rk and the other Vedas are represented under the form of the earth and other substances, that is to say, they are represented under the form of substances that really exist. Consequently, as the representation of Brahman, Isvara, etc., under name, form, etc., is the same with that just mentioned, the reality of Brahman, Isvara, etc., is proved. Hence also follows the objective reality of all those modes of perception, by which Visnu and other gods are represented by images or the forefathers by Brāhmanas.

This (the existence of Brahman, Isvaia, etc.) follows also from the necessity, that every thing that is derived, depends upon the thing from which it is derived, for as in the five fires, the fire is only something derived, and hence proves the existence of an underived fire, so is the nature of Brahman in its distinctions of name form, etc., only something derived, and hence proves the existence of an underived Brahman

This follows also from the fact, that there is no difference between the passages of Sruti referring to ceremonies, and those referring to knowledge, for as the ceremonies of the Darsapurnamasa have their peculiar

¹ The Brahmana who eats at Staddha the food intended for the manes, is a representative of them

Here the Vedic fires, by which the ceremonies the householder has to perform, are accomplished

roward their special ritos and a disposal of their parts in a cortain order and as by means of this a transcendent thing which cannot be proved by perception or inference is taught in its true nature by sentences of the Veda e a so such beings as the suprome soul Isvara the gods one who by their nature exclude the idea of corporeal composition who expressed dath and who have the cand other distinctions are taught by sentences of the Veda that is to ay by proof different from perception and inference and it is therefore right that they should be true

Nor is there a difference in passage of the Sruti referring to coremonies and in such referring to knowledge as to the formation of the true notion of them

Likewi e is the notion (Buddhi) whose object i the supreme soul and the like substance notifier indefinite nor contradictory. If you say. This is improper on the ground that knowledge is no object of ceremonial practice. We may grant that any rite which has the three parts above mentioned 'although it is beyond common evidence may be communicated by ceremonial practice through sentences explaining the ceremonies but it i not so with the know ledge of the supreme soul Isvara and other substances in which there is no possible object of ceremonial practice. Therefore the part of the Sruti explaining ceremonies and the part referring to knowledge have nothing in common

We do not admit this on the ground that knowledge has objective reality for the truth of that knowledge does not depend on its possibility to become an object of ceremonial practice the rites of which have those three parts and can be performed - but on its possibility to be obtained by proof. Nor las a notion whose object is that knowledge reality because it can become an object of practice but because it can be derived from sentences of the Vedas.

If you say Admitting the truth of the substance (Brahman) obtained by sentences of the Vedas it is either

¹ These three parts are special reward special rites and special arrangement

an object of ceremonial practice, or it is not so. If it is such an object, it can be plactised, if otherwise, it cannot be practised As an object of practice, however, it is not proved by the evidence of sentences, for there is no connection of words with a sentence, unless there is a ceremonial practice On the other hand, if it is an object of practice, there is also connection of words for the sake of the ceremonies Therefore, a sentence, dependent on practice, may be proved, as for instance This, in this manner, is by this person to be performed, but words, as this by this, thus, how many so ever you may string together, would never form a sentence, unless they be connected with such as let do, may be done, etc Therefore the supreme soul, Isvara, etc, cannot be proved by sentences And if you say, it can be proved by the meaning of the words, we reply, that in this case another kind of proof is necessary 1 Therefore this Brahman does not exist'

We do not admit this argument, on the ground that there are sentences which do not require any actions to be intelligible, as for instance, there is the four-coloured Meru, for if this sentence is pronounced, there arises no notion referring to any possible practice with regard to Meru. If this is the case, how is it possible to prevent a connection of words in a sentence, expressing the idea of the supreme soul, Isvara, etc., with the word "exists," in the usual mode of connection between subject and predicate. If you say, this is inadmissible, because the knowledge of the supreme soul has no final end, as the knowledge of Meru, etc., has,' we deny this, for the reward is mentioned in such passages of the Sruti, as "He who knows Brahman, obtains liberation," and "The bonds of the heart are broken." etc

¹ I believe this to be the correct translation of the above passage, and the sense would be as follows. If you assume, that the supreme substance can be proved by the meaning of the words (Padatha, the single words, in distinction from the whole sentence, V. kya) you have abandoned your argument, which was, that it should be proved by the Vedas, for the meaning of words or ideas does not depend upon the Vedas, but upon their own contents, and requires therefore an investigation, different from the present

The same is evident from the ceasing of the ignorance and other faults which are the origin of the world. And since the knowledge of Brahman does not depend upon any other Inowledge it cannot be considered as Arthavada' (praile) as for instance a special roward is declered by the use of the Juhu.

Moreover the connection of prohibitions with punt lin ont is learnt from the Veda which is also no object of practice. Nor is there with regard to a forbidden object any thing required but to refrain from an ection fer prohibitory regulations depend in reality upon the know ledge that a certein thing is not to be done. If a hungry man whose mind is impressed with the nation of food forbidden on account of its nature or of accidental cir cumstances falls in with poisoned in cat or with impure rice and the idea arises in him that the one is catable and the other not impure he is restrained from eating by the recollection that feed of the or that kind is forbidden to be The same is the ca o with regard to thirst when a mirare p educes the appearance of water. When by the kne yledge of the real nature of a thing the natural know ledge that is opposed to the former has been removed there ne longer remains the injuriou de ire to eat what is forbidden by it nature or accidental circumstances There is a co sation from the desire which is caused by such an on po ito knowledge and if that desire doe not exist no effort is again to be made. Hence it is evident that the prohibitory regulations depend upon the knowledge of the nature of thing and not in any way upon the practice of a per on If this is true then all o in our case the regulations

 $^{^{\}rm I}$ Every prof a dep ads upon a regulation to which it supplies the motive

² Juhu means a spoon of leaves to the use of which in cere monie in preference to an, other kind of spoon a special reward is attached

Loisoned ment is the meat of an animal wounded by a poisoned ι erpon

^{*}Rice etc is impure by the touch of a person who has committed the marder of a Brahmana er similar crimes

respecting the true knowledge of the supreme soul, etc, are founded solely upon the knowledge of the supreme soul itself. In this manner, when the worldly knowledge, produced by one's own nature, is removed by the recollection of the true knowledge of the supreme soul and of similar substances, a person, whose mind impressed with the knowledge of Brahman, has not any desire, caused by a knowledge, contrary to that Brahman, because he knows that those desires have no real object.

'The eating of poisoned meat having an undesitable (unreal) object, and there being at the same time a recollection of the knowledge of the real nature of a thing we may grant, that the natural knowledge, contrary to the former, which refers to its eating, is abolished, yet we must contend, that the absence of a desire to perform what is commanded by the Sastra, is not admissible, because there is no object of prohibition, as there is such an absence of a desire to eat meat as before described'

We deny this, for there is in reality no difference between the cause of the contrary knowledge and of the cause of the desire of an undesirable object. As the desire to eat poisoned meat, etc., is caused by a false knowledge and is the cause of danger, so it is also with legard to the desires of what is commanded by the Sastras. Accordingly, a person who perfectly knows the reality of the supreme soul has consistently no desire of any actions commended by the Sastras, because all such desires are the causes of false ideas and of danger, and because by the knowledge of the supreme soul, the contrary knowledge is abolished

'This may be granted for the above mentioned prohibitions and commands, but it cannot be granted for the regular ceremonies, for these are solely produced by the Sastra, and not directed to an unreal object'

We do not agree, for the regular ceremonies are merely commanded on behalf of such persons who are tainted by ignorance, passion, hatred and other faults, for, as ceremonies whose object is a special desire, as those of

the Darantenamasa are commanded on behalf of a per on who a tain'el by the fault of desiring beaven etc o ar ther gular cremone enjoint lon behalf of a per on who is drain I by the fault of ignorance the cau out all unrealit tained by love and hatred etc in bia ning the cool and a ndime the evil in acc clance with the impressions of it no aree and who is anxiou to brain the good and avoid the evil of his in liseriminate le ire can ed by ove and ha red house the, (he re utar coremonies) do not the charter to the Sa trus Nor can it be a c stained thether the Apnilio ra Darcaphryamisa (Murmi va Paruhandha and Soma are b their own nature resu ar coremonic or ecromonic whose object is a presal de ire for those reference to desire only are a when they have found an agent who is tainted with the de ire of heaven etc. The efore the rerular cen minies behalf to one who is trinted with the blame of ignorance etc and hors desiring to oh air the said and to avoid the ovil pointed out to him by the primpting of his own nature. On the other hand for one who has the true knowledge of the suprema soul no action is found to be commanded except the subluing of his de ires for by the aunitulation of the knowledge concerning all other matrice as coremonies causes distinction etc the knowledge of the soul is established. And the knowledge with regard to actions performer etc beings once annihilated there does not ari o a doure of any action becau o this only take place if preceded by a knowledge of a special action a special motive etc. for there is no time to engage into actions for him who has the firm idea of Brahman removed as it is from all notions of space time extension duality etc

If you say there is the same time as if one is about to eat we deny this there is no necessity to engage in eating etc all such acts are only nece sary in consequence of ignorance and other faults for if an action i ometimes performed and sometimes not it cannot be called a regular action that has been fixed according to a rule. Because eating and other actions are morely done in consequence of faults there is no certain rule for them as there is no

certain rule for desires and their objects, faults being sometimes prevalent, sometimes subdued. Hence, however, does not follow any uncertainty for legular actions, because time and other circumstances have been fixed for them by the S'astra

If you lastly say, 'even granted, that faults, etc, are the mediate cause of actions, (as eating, etc.), still there may have been fixed a time to engage in such actions, as eating, as according to the command of the Sastra for ceremonies like the Agnihotra, times of morning and evening are appointed,' we do not agree, because jule and action cannot be substituted for each other, az, a rule is no action, and an action no rule, hence no objection remains against the supposition of knowledge Consequently, in accordance with the rule of true knowledge of the supreme soul, which (knowledge) has the power to destroy its contrary knowledge of extension, duality, etc, the reality of the rule by which all actions are foibidden, is established, for the absence of any engagement in actions is the same, as if there were a prohibition Therefore as the prohibitory regulation of the Sastra is proved, so also the supreme soul, as produced by the Sastra, and as the sole object of the Sastra, has been proved

> 2 ते ह वाचमूचुस्त्वं न उद्गायेति तथेति तेम्यो वागुद्गायत् यो वाचि भोगस्तं देवेम्य आगायत् यत् कल्याण वद्ति तदात्मने ते विदुरनेन वै न उद्गात्रात्येष्य-तीति तमिमद्रुत्य पाप्मनाविध्यन्त्स य स पाप्मा यदेवेदमप्रतिरूप वदित स एव स पाप्मा॥

The gods then said to speech "Do thou for our sake sing the Udgītha" Speech, with the words "Let it be so," sang the Udgītha for them She sang to the gods all the enjoyment that is in speech. That she speaks well, is for herself

The demonstrate his this Ude (thin the gods will overcome in Pherefore running up to him they pieced him with their in That is the in That incorporate impropers and is the in

The rost then after having thus considered and to peech to the tutelary poids of specific Dothin for our about my the Ud the performation of the Ledgitha to be performed by the Ledgitha to discuss the policy of the collection of the Ledgitha to discuss the policy of the collection of the Ledgitha the Ledgith

All n i lin devottes and rites to the n ency of speciliand the offerent non-pipellor may be made in the ground that all n any dety mis according to the Sestra upon the soul if this is the ency it depend either on this supreme soul or upon the soul in its modification as life. So not he rate on any etc. depending upon speech rail of he rangens to which nettive power must be needly education to the rand which rensidered in it off is wholly without such n power. Moreover all activity is the effect of it norance which is totally opposal to the supreme soul. Not on the second because life is loodly the general idea of the cross and he therefore in reality no neares. Hence it is correct to assign owney and similar notions to the active senies. A. G.

**Vide Vith chapter 2nd Brahman n 7th khandik

under a different notion But the mundane soul, the existence of which, as alising from all the senses considered as one, is only a fiction, is plainly shown as alising from those senses, considered as one, by such passages as "Alising from these elements it is also destroyed with them" Therefore, it is right to assign to speech and the other senses the reward, obtained by their being the agents of knowledge and ceremonies

Speech, being addressed by the gods, with the words Let it be so, performed for them, for the sake of their imploration, the Udgītha Which is again the special work, which speech performed by the ceiemony of Udgītha for the sake of the gods? The answer is "All the enjoyment,' which means, all the assistance of speech and all the other organs, which by means of speech is obtained in the intercourse of speaking, for the reward of all is the enjoyment, derived from speaking words, etc. It describes this enjoyment in three Stotras, called Pavamāna and in the nine remaining Stotras it assigns the reward of the Rt-vik, as authorized by the Upanisad' by the words "That it

There are, according to the Vedānta, four sheaths or cases of the soul The first is the intelligent case (Vijnānamaya Kos'a) and is formed by intellect and the five intellectual senses. The second case is the mental (Manomaya) and consists of mind (Manas) with the five organs of action, viz, speech, hand, foot, etc. [I may here observe that Colebrooke, in stating (M. E., p. 372) that the mental sheath consists of the intellect, joined to the five senses and the mind, is not quite correct, as it is mind joined with the five organs of action. The third is the vital case (Piānamaya Kos'a) formed of the five vital airs, or faculties, (respiration, inspiration circulation, etc.) and the five organs of action. The three cases united compose the subtle organism of the soul, which therefore comprehends 17 elements, viz, intellect, mind, the ten organs, and the five vital airs. This subtle organism is inseparable from the soul, as long as it has to undergo transmigration, considered as one, it is universal organism, and the soul, to which this universality is ascribed, is Hiranyagaibha—(Vedāntasāra). In our passage the mundane soul means Hiranyagarbha, the soul which has the three cases, and is therefore in actual relation with the world. The last case is the nutrimental (Annamaya) which is composed of the gross elements.

² Viz The Rtvik, or priest who performs the ceremonies, is not entitled to a reward for himself, because his services are hired,

speaks well that it pronounces well the letter is for itself for me for the special work of speech i the entire pronunciation of the letters therefore it is mentioned that it speaks well but the effect of speaking as to the assistance of all is for the sake of the sacrificer

At that time (when the Udgitha was performed) by the centact which takes place between speech and the well speaking an opportunity was obtained by the demons of entering the doity like a hole! The demons knew What | By this Udgitha the gods will overcome us natural knowledge and work by the light of the Udgitha which is knowledge and work in accordance to the Sastra Therefore being aware of this running up to him to the performer of the Udgiths they pierced worried him with their sin the the sin of contact which means they allied him with their sin That is this sin the sin which was not east by the demons upon the speech of Prajapati in his former birth is this sin which be comes manifest Which is the sin? That one speaks im proper words viz word contrary to themselves forbidden by the Sastra by which induced one peaks indecorous hateful false and the like words even against his own inclination is the sin known by its before mentioned offect 117 the speaking of improper words which is found in the speech of the intelligent creatures of Prajapati which hoing implied by the speaking of improper words is also in the speech of Prajapati for the offect is of the same nature as the cause

> उ अत्र ह प्राणम् चुस्त्य न उद्गायेति तथेति तेभ्य प्राण उदगायदा प्राणमागस्त देवेम्य आगायदात् कल्याण जित्रति तदात्मने ते

and all the good resulting from the ceremonies will be obtained by the secrificer. Hence the special reward here mentioned does not follow from the secrifice but from the word of the Upan ad -A. G

'This means the deity of speech having spoken well for its own sike commits the sin of contact of the connection of a desire with external objects. Therefore by this sin of an opportunity is given as it were a hole of the deity to piece it with their sin विदुरनेन वे न उद्गात्रात्येष्यन्तीति तमिमदुत्य पाप्पनाऽविध्यन्तस यः स पाप्मा यदेवेदमप्रतिरूप जिन्नति स एव स पाप्मा ॥

Then they spoke to the breath Do thou sing these Udgītha for us The breath with the words 'Let it be so,' sang the Udgītha for them It sang to the gods all the enjoyment that is in breath That it smells well, is for itself The demons knew, by this Udgītha the gods will overcome us. Therefore, running up to him, they pierced him with their sin That is this sin That one smells improper odours, is the sin

4 अय ह चक्षुरूचुरत्व न उद्गायेति तथेति तेभ्यश्रक्षुरुव्यायत् यश्रक्षिप भोगस्त देवेभ्य आगायद्यत्कल्याण पञ्यति तदात्मने ते विदुर्गनन वे न उद्गात्रात्येण्यन्तीति तमिमद्रस्य पाप्पनाविव्यन्तस् य. स पाप्पा यदेवेदमप्रतिस्तप पञ्यति स एव स पाप्पना ॥

Then they spoke to the eye Do thou sing the Udgītha for us The eye, with the words 'Let it be so,' sang the Udgītha for them It sang to the gods all the enjoyment that is in the eye That it sees well, is for itself The demons knew, by this Udgītha the gods will overcome us Therefore, running up to him, they pierced him with their sin. That is this sin That one sees improper colours, is the sin

5 अथ ह श्रोत्रम्चुस्त्व न उद्गायेति तथेति तेम्यः श्रोत्रमुहगायदः श्रोत्रे भोगस्त देवेम्य आगायद्यत्मल्याण्य शृणोति तहात्मने ते विदुरनेन वै न उद्गात्रात्येष्यन्तीति तमिमहुत्य पाप्मनार्ऽविध्यन्त्स यः स पाप्मा यदेवेदमप्रतिरूप्य शृणोति स एव स पाप्मा ॥ Then they to be car. Do thou sain the best his for u. The car with they ords. I tak be so any the best his for them. It was to the sods all the empirical that a in the car. That it have well as for it off. The do one back with the stake will overcon u. Therefore running up to him they pierced him with their sain. That it was sain.

That one hear improper ounds a the cin

७ ३ १ तः पुन्य न न्यानि तर्था तस्यापः इत्यान्याः गानि प्राप्त प्रथमः वावयत् प्रायामः सक्त्याति प्रयान प्रशासना ये उ उद्यापत्याः मानि प्रिक्तिपृष्य पान्नाद्याद्यस्य प्रवास्थितः व्याप्ति प्रशासन्यानि प्रथमः पान्नाद्यस्य स्वता द्वार्गा सम्मित्याकृतन्त्रामना प्रशासनिक्षयः ॥

Then they spole to the mind. Do thou sing the Udgitha for us. The mind with the words. Let it be so sank the Udkitha for them. It sank to the gods all the employment that is in mind that it magnics well is for itself. The demons knew by this Udkitha the kods will overcome us. Therefore running up to him they pieced him with their in. That is this sim. That one has improper notions is the sim. In this imanner the deities came in contact with sim, were pieced with sim.

When the gols had in this manner successively examined a hother by the performance of the Udritha the detices were fit to be manifested by the Japamantra and to become objects of devotion they were convinced that speech and the other detices whom they had successively

examined, were unable to perform the Udgītha, for, by contact, which is their connection with the special work which they well performed, they were allied to the sin of the demons. Therefore they are not meant by the Mantra. "Do thou lead me from evil to good", nor are they worthy to be worshipped, because they are not pure, and inferior to the principal life. In the same way as the deity of speech, etc., the deities of touch and of the other organs, although not especially mentioned in this passage, were pierced with sin by the manifestation of good and evil works, which means, they were allied to sin. Thus speech and the other deities, although gradually worshipped, were unable to protect from death

7 अथ हेममासन्य प्राणम् चुस्त्व न उद्गायिति तथेति तेम्य एष प्राण उदगायत्ते विदुर्गने वै न उद्गात्रात्येष्यन्तीति तदिमद्भुत्य पाप्मना- विध्यन्स यथाश्मानमृत्वा छोष्ठो विध्यप्सेतैवप्हैव विध्यप्समाना विष्वञ्चो विनेशुस्ततो देवा अभवन् पराऽसुरा भवत्यात्मना परास्य द्विषन्म्रातृष्यो भवति य एव वेद ॥

Then the gods spoke indeed to this life whose name is \overline{A} sanya 'Do thou sing the Udgītha for us' That life, with the words 'Let it be so,' sang the Udgītha for them The demons knew, by this Udgītha the gods will overcome us Therefore running up to him, they wished to pierce him with their sin. As a clod of earth, by falling upon a rock, is destroyed, so they were also altogether destroyed. Hence they became gods, the demons perished. In this manner, he becomes like him. By the soul perishes the enemy, the brother's son, of him, who thus knows

[&]quot;Then the gods spoke indeed to this, (the word "this" is used to show respect) life, whose name is Asanya,"

which mean profuced in the mouth which abides in the inner casts of the mouth Do thou elne the Ude the for The principal life with the sords I of it he so sang the Udgitha for the kel whe had lavokel its protection This and what immeliately follows is all alike the former de cription. When the deman de ire is of percing life with sin In accordance with the practice which the had acim of b, repeated entering speech and the o her on any attacked by their sin of contact the principal his which was free from sin they became duta ed. To show how an blu testion legis n 1 in comm n life a cl 1 of arth which is thrown upon a rock to reluce it to du t is der trivel refused to dust it elf so were the demon in different ways destrated 115 life destruction of the demons perch and the other or an became free from the sin which are the effect of the natural contact and obtacle to their divinity end ob sincl their own nature h, the proteeting power of the principal life which is free from contact. What did they obtain? The nature of fire contact with their own distinct, which (nature) will be explained hereafter and which they had already befure po se sed in this state when their knowledge was darkenel by their natural sin they had a notion of an Individual body alone. The meaning is By the separation from that sin the left off the no lim of an individual bods and obtained a notion of the nature of speech etc. in its identity with fire etc. as it is delivered in the Sastra Moreover their opponent the demons perished Perished means the, were de troje! In this manner he (the pre ent acrificer) becomes life him (the ancient acrificer) this means As the former sacrificer I described in the ancient parrative -when he had comprehended the meaning of the Sroti pointed out in this parrative when he in the order mentioned in the Sruti had examined speceli and the other delties and abandoned them because they are tainted with the sin of contact when he had known by the idea of the soul the principal life free from sin and abandoned the idea that the soul is different according to the differences of

¹ The excrision in a former birth

the individual bodies, as speech and others, which are believed to be the soul, as the former sacrificer obtained the nature of the present Prajapati, as manifested by the Sastra. which is the notion of the body, as Viiaj, and which exhibits speech in its nature as fire, so the present sacrificer obtains the state of Prajapati in the same manner "By the soul," in its modification of Prajapati, his sin, contiary to the nature of Prajapati, "the brother's son, perishes", for the son of a brother, like Bharata and others, may be also not an enemy, but the sin produced by the contact of the senses with their objects, is at the same time a brother's son and an enemy, because it does not acknowledge the nature of the supreme soul. This sin then perishes, is reduced to dust, as a clod of earth, by its contact with life Whose is this reward? The answer is "He Who thus knows," which means, who thus, like the ancient sacrificer, comprehends life by the notion of the soul Having described the reward, the Sruti now shows the reward in the form of the narrative 2 And for what leason? To plove, that the principal life, after the derives of speech etc , have been rejected is alone to be worshipped by the idea of the soul, on the ground, that it is the common nature (soul) of such separate substances, as speech, etc, the Sruti goes on in the narrative

> 8 ते होचु: क नु सोऽभूधो न इत्यमसंकेत्ययमास्येऽन्तरित सोऽधास्य आङ्गिरसोऽङ्गानाप्रहि रस:॥

They spoke Where was he, who thus established us? He is within the mouth, hence he is Ayasya He is Angīrasa, because he is the essence of the members

¹ Vide page 35

² Anandagiii explains this so As the reward, following from the worship of the principal life has been set forth the next words of the Upanisad, "They spoke," etc., refer to a special worship of life Sankara says therefore, "Having described the reward," which means, having described the reward following from the worship of the principal life, he is to show the worship of life, endowed with special qualities

They the organs of Prairpati having by means of the principal life obtained their divine nature and their revard spoke thus. Where then, they or where hove those reflection. Where then was he a he thur e tab ished that is to any united us by the true notion of the oul with our divine nature v high was before some ted from us for a ho cover a a sisted by time both r member his b refector. In the same manuer the god, when they had remembered their benefactor had reflected on him percused him in the word a high is the whole of cau a and effects Why la le within the mouth? Because he is evidently in the other of the mouth (Assa) as e crybols vill full on reflection. Thus also the rod. I life is called As Isva because excluding all distinction of the nature of speech etc. It was perceived by the gols within the internal other therefore is life life Asa va ince opposed to all distinctions at unit d the organs a speech etc with their divine nature. Hence it is called all o Apgirasa the essence of causes and effects for th travalla compound of Anga and Rasa-Afica meaning members causes and effect and Rasa or once sub tappe the chole meening the clore is the substance upon which cause and offects depend it is the es ence of every thing because unless it were present all would become vithout effect. The meening of the whole is -1 ife a the e sence of causes and effect and a summittating all distinctions is the common essence of cause and effect and at a purified occordingly life vithout further reference to pecch etc. is to be considered under the idea of the soul for the soul can but be perceived by it own notion ince good is obtained by a notion that i not contradictory otherwise avil is obtained

सा वा एपा द का दुनाम दूर रक्तिया भृत्युद्र रह वा अभ्यात्मुल्यु भवति व एव वट ॥

The name of that deity is Dur for death is far (dur) from it. Far is death surely also from him who thus knows

If it be thought, that the purity of life has not been proved, we ask, is not thus notion of the impurity (of life) removed, since life is no place for the sin of contact, as speech and the other organs are by well speaking, hearing, smelling, etc ? This may be so, but since it is called the essence of speech and the other organs as diffused through all, there is an apprehension that impurity may ensue through speech and the other organs, as it does by touching a person who has touched a corpse'. To this the S'ruti answers, 'Life is pure' Wherefore?" The name of that deity is Dur '(far) The demons, coming in contact with life, were destroyed, as a clod of earth is by a stone This is the deity which, abiding in the body of the sacrificer of the present time, has been found by the gods as abiding in the mouth It is a deity, because it is an object of devotion Because its name is Dur, (Duiit,) its purity is proved by the name of Duir Whence again comes the name of Dur the Siuti answeis, "because death, viz, sin, which is defined by contact, is far (dur) from that deity of life Duriti as the name of life shows its purity, because death, although placed near, is yet removed from life, which by its nature is free from contact The reward of the wase as then mentioned, 112, Far is death surely from him," from him means from the person who knows in the above described Therefore, he worships thus the true life which is pure Upasana (worship) is derived from upa, which means, Manasa upa-agamya (having perceived by the mind) the nature of a derty in the same way, as by passage of the Sruti in the Aithavada (praise) it is made known as an object of worship-and from Asana, which means continued reflection without interposition of worldly notions until the idea is manifested, I am that deity, just as in the common notion, I am a man This is evident from such passages of the Siuti, as "having thus become a god, he goes to the gods" and, "what god art thou in the eastern quarter?"

10. सा वा एवा देवतेतासा देवताना पाप्मान मृत्युमपहत्य यत्रोसी दिशामन्तरतद्भायाचकार तडासा पाप्मने) विनयदधात्तरमान्न जनियान्नानतिमयान्तेत्पाप्मान मृत्युमन्ववायानीति ॥

This derty then infter having distrived the sin of the deities viz death minds him depart where the end is of the quarters. There it fixed the abode of the sins of the deities. Hence let no one repair to the outer people, let him not follow sin death.

This doity then It is said that death is far from it but why again is death far from him who I nows? The answer is because death is opposed to a knowledge of such a kind for sin which is produced by the contact of the senses with their object 1 oppo cd to a per en who has the netion that life is identical with the soul. He is at variance because he has the notion that the soul is different according to the differences of speech etc and because his knewledge is produced by hi own nature On the other hand the notion that life is identical with the soul is produced by the Sastra. In consequence it is proper to say that sin is far from one who has such a notion of life bo cause both are epposed to each ether. Therefore by the words. This deity the Sruti shows the said meaning to he sin the death of speech and the other deities for overy bedy dies by the sin produced by contact of the senses with their objects in accordance with his natural ignerance Sin is therefore called death. Life by the soul notion of its identity with the soul destroyed the death of the doities who had the notion of the identity of life with the seul and is therefore called the destroyer. Sin then is removed from a person who thus knews by his being at variance with it What did life again when it has destroyed the sin the death of the gods? The answer is life made him depart where the end is of the quarters it. of the eastern and the other quarters But as there is no ond of the quarters how can life make him depart there? The word quarter 19 made for the purpose to designate the abode of the people possessed of the knowledge of the Vedas the country therefore inhabited by people who de not follow the Vedas is called the end of the country the desert. Accordingly there is no blemish in the expresion. The deity of life made depart there the sins of the derties and by contempt fixed in various ways their abode among the outer people, who are without knowledge of the identity of life with the soul

For, he is by his nature produced by the contact of the senses with their objects, and is therefore dependent upon the living creatures. Hence let them not go to the outer people, not approach them by intercourse in words or other actions. By intercourse with them, intercourse is made with sin, for he is the place of sin. The meaning is. Let none repair to his abode which is defined by the end of the quarters, although it may not be inhabited by the people, nor to that people, although it may be far from that country.

11 सा वा एषा देवतेतासा देवताना पाप्मान मृत्युमपहत्याथैना मृत्युमत्यवहत् ॥

That derty destroyed the sin, death, of those derties Then life, having overcome death, saved them.

By the words "That deity," the reward is mentioned, which for speech, etc, results from the knowledge, that life is the soul which reward is to acquire the nature of fire, etc. Then life, having overcome death, saved them This means. Life is called the destroyer of sin death, because sin, death, which causes the separation of the soul from life, has been destroyed by the knowledge that life is one with the soul. Therefore this life, having overcome the natural sin, or death, saved them, which means, caused the deities of speech and the other organs to gain their divine nature as fire, etc., which is not separated from them (after the destruction of death)

12 स वै वाचमेव प्रथमामत्यवहत्सा यदा मृत्युमत्यमुच्यत सोऽभिर-भवत्सोऽयमग्निः परेण मृत्युमितिकान्तो दीप्यते ॥ Life thirting overcome death, used the first speech. When speech having overcome death, was liberated it became fire that fire having become free after its separation from it this shapes forth.

Life saved the first speech liest mean the principal which is more efficacion than any other or, in to perform the ecremony of the Udonha. The nature of speech which was aved after deeth was averence i thus de cribal. When peech after in or death was exercised fire before it became united with death and became fire before it became united with death and became fire define its character its separation from death. The fire when receased shine forth after its separation from leath Before it liberation being a hed with death it was not siming, as it is tyre out now again after its separation from death it shine forth.

13 वर ह प्रांगात वर तस यह मृत्युल्यात स वायुगमदत्वादय प्रांगु परण मन्युगिकान्स पत्रते ॥

Then life having overcome death saved the smell That smell having overcome death became wind. That vind having become free after its separation from death purifies

In the same manner the sense of smell became air wind. Wind liberated from death purifies. All the particulars are as before

11 अ.1 च गुम्यवरत्तवरा मृत्युभन्यमुन् नत स आदित्याऽभवत्साऽसा-वान्य परण मृत्युभतिकान्तानवाति ॥

Then life having overcome death saved the eye When the eye having evercome death, was liberated,

it became $\overline{\Lambda}$ ditya. That $\overline{\Lambda}$ ditya, having become free after its separation from death, burns

Thus the eye becomes Aditya He burns

15. अय श्रीत्रभसवहत्तचदा मृत्युम् यमुच्यत ता दिशोऽभव र ता दमा दिश: परेण मृत्युमितकोन्ताः ॥

Then life, having overcome death, saved the car When the ear having overcome death, was liberated, it became the quarters, the quarters after their separation from death, are made tree

Thus the ear became the eatern and the other quarters

16. अय मनोऽत्यवहत्तद्यदा मृत्युमयमुच्यत स चन्द्रमा अमवत्सोऽसो चन्द्र परेण मृत्युमतिझान्तो भात्येव४ ह वा एनमेषा देवता मृत्युमतिवहति य एव वेद ॥

Then life, having overcome death, saved the mind. When the mind, having overcome death, was liberated, it became the moon. That moon, having become free after its separation from death is resplendent. In this manner that goddess having overcome death, saves the present sacrificer. Whosoever thus knows, obtains his due reward.

Mind is resplendent as moon. As the deity of life, having in its identity with speech, etc., as fire, etc., overcome death, saved the former sacrificer, so she saves also the present one. He who knows, that life is the same with speech and the other four organs in their state as fire, etc., obtains the state of life, which he has worshipped, be it as fire, etc.

17 अमारमनेऽनाद्यमामानचाँद्र किनाञ्चमचतंऽननव त चत क प्रति तिवित ॥

Then life for its own sale proised by the Udkitha the primeval food for whatever food is exten the same is exten by life. On this it sub-ists

Then for its own sake that is to say a by speech and the other organs for their own sake prair wa iffered so allo by the puncipal life when it had praised in the three Pavamana Stotras the reward which is the tate of Prajupati common to speech and the other organs it praised it in the nine remaining Stotras food for its own sake. The connexion of do ire with the agent is authorized by the Upanisad as has been mentioned before. How again must it be understool that life praised the primary food for its own sake? To explain this the cause is mentioned in the for whatever etc. The worl food means here common food for whatsoever food in this world i caten by any hving individual the same is eaten by life Prana (life) with the proposition Prais derived from Ana Ana with a final s (Pranas) means cart with a final vowel (Prana) life The meaning is this is caten by life And the primary food is not only eaten by life but life sub ists on it when it is transformed into the substance of its (life s) own body. Therefore the primary food is praised by life for the sake of its own sub istence

All that is caten by life is for its own subsistence therefore the sin which arises from the contact with the excellence of performance i not in life as it is in speech etc

18 ते देवा अञ्चुननेतान्त्र इर सन यदन तटात्मन आमासीरसु नोऽस्मिन्नन्न आमजस्वेति ते वं मामिसविशतेति तथेति तप समन्त परिण्यिनिशन्त तस्माध नेनाश्रमत्ति तेनतास्तृ नन्दनेव ए ह वा एन स्वा अमिस्तिशन्ति भना स्नानार शेष्ठ पुर एता भवत्यनारोऽधिपतिर्य एव वेढ य उहैव विढ ५ १ वेपु प्रतिपत्तिर्यु-भूषित न हैवाल भार्येभ्यो भवत्यथ य एवेतमनुभवित यो वै तमनुभार्यान्हुभूपति स हैवालं भार्येभ्यो भवित ॥

The gods spoke 'All this is only so much Whatsoever food there is, is praised by thee for thy own sake
Let us eat of that food' Life answered 'Do enter me'
They with the words 'Let it be so,' everywhere entered
life Therefore whatsoever food is eaten by life, satisfies them Him enter his own, he is the preserver of
his own, he is pre-eminent, he walks before, he is an
eater of food, he is the lord paramount who thus knows
He who against one who thus knows, amongst his own
becomes a rival lord, is not able to support his dependents. On the other hand, whosoever becomes a
follower, of such a one, and whosoever, following him,
strives to support his own dependents, is able to provide
for them.

"The gods" 'But it is not improper to assert, that the food was thus eaten merely by life, since it is evident, that food is also the cause of the support of speech, etc?' There is no fault in this, because the support is obtained by the means of life. How again is the food supplied by life, in support of speech and the other organs? To explain this, it is said "The gods" (Deva) speech and others, (they are called gods, Deva, because they manifest their own nature,) "spoke" to the principal life. "All this is only so much", hence there is no more than this. "Whatsoever food," the cause of the support of life, is eaten in the world, is praised by thee for thy own sake, "which means is transformed by thy praise into thy own substance. We also are unable to subsist without food, therefore let us afterwards for our own sake eat of thy food. Life answered

All of you if desirous of food everywhere enter me. When his had thus spoken the surrounded and entered his with the vords. Let it be so. Thus the good food the support of his which is eaten by his satisfies speech and the other organs which entered his by its command but by their own will they have no connection with food. Therefore it is proper to as ert that food is eaten merely by his

Therefore Because the destres of speech and the other organs by taking refuge to life had entered life in accordance with its comman! therefore whatsover food people eat by life the same sati fic them its speech and the other organ. Whosover knows that life is the upport of speech etc and also knows that the five organs depend upon life. him enter also his own life relations as poech and the other organs enter life which mean he is the supporter of his relations. He is the preserver of his own who have ontered him by his own food as life is of speech etc. Thus he is preceminent. He waks before he has the precedence as life among speech etc.

Thus he is an eater of food which means he is without disease. He is lord paramount and to guide thom he becomes their preserver as independent iord as hie of spooch of the who thus know is life will obtain the above mentioned reward. But he who against one who thus knows is who thus knows life amongst his own amongst his relations becomes a rival lord. Inke the demons who strove against his is not able to support his dependents. On the other hand, whosever amongst his relations becomes a fellower of such a one of a man who has that I nowledge as speech and others were of life and whosever following, such a person strives to support his own dependents as speech and the other senses fellowing life strove te support themselves. Is able to provide for them not any other who acts on his own will

19 साऽयास्य आङ्गिरसोऽङ्गाना र नि रस प्राणा वा अङ्गाना र रस प्राणा हि वा अङ्गाना रसस्तन्माचस्मात्कस्माचाङ्गात्प्राग ज्ञानानि तदेव तच्छ्यत्येप हि वा अङ्गाना र स ॥ He who abides in the mouth, is Angirasa, for he is the essence (Rasa) of the members (Anga). Life is the essence of the members, for life is the essence of the members, therefore, for the reason, that any member, from which life has departed, becomes dry, life is the essence of the members

All this is declared to be the reward resulting from the knowledge of the qualities of life To establish the idea, that life is the essence of causes and effects, life is named Angirasa Before it was said. "This (life) is Āngnasa", but there was no reason assigned, why it is Āngirasa, to explain this reason, it is now said "He who abides within the mouth, is Angirasa "For it depends upon this reason that life is the essence of causes and effects, afterwards the dependence of speech and the other organs upon life is stated. How is this dependence to be proved? To answer this it is said, "He who abides within the mouth is Angirasa." Hence it is taken according to its former description. The next sentence. "Life is the essence of the members" is to remind of the meaning that has been explained before How is life the essence of the members? The answer is 'For life', the word for is used to show, that the essence of the members is well known It is well known, that life is the essence of the members, but not that speech and the other organs of the essence fore it is proper to remind of this by the words. "Life is" How is it again well known? To answer this, it is said. Therefore." The word "therefore," which convoys the idea of conclusion, must be connected with the last part of the sentence. "For the reason that any member from which life has departed, becomes dry," without essence, "therefore 'this is the conclusion, "life is the essence of the members' Hence it is evident, that life is the substance of causes and effects, because, when it is not there, dryness, death, ensues Therefore all living creatures

¹ Poire 61

live through it Therefore in preference to speech and the other organs life is an object of worship. This latter is the meaning of the whole deduction

20 एप उ एव वृहस्पितिनाग् वे वृहती तस्या एप पितस्तस्मादु १, हस्पिति ॥

This is also Brhaspati Speech is Brhati Life is the preserver (Pati) of Brhatt therefore it is Brhaspati

This is Life is not only the substance (soul) of causes and effects which have become forms and work but also the substance of the Rk Yajus and Sama Vedas which have become words. By the praise of life as the soul of all it is exalted for the sake of worship well known Angirasa is also Brhaspati. Why? The answer is Speech is Brhati the Vedic metre Brhati composed of thirty six syllables. Further Anustup is speech Why? Because it is said in a passage of the Sruti Speech is Anustup And this Anustup which is like speech is contained in the Brhati metre! Therefore it is proper to declare it as a well known fact that speech is Brhati Moreover all the Mantras of the Rg Veda are contained in the Brhati because life is praised as Brhati as a passage of the Sruti says Life is Bihatt Let it be known life is the RL says another passage of the Sruti Further The Rks are included in life because they partake of the nature of speech To prove this it is said This life is the preserver of this speech Brhati Rk because it produces the Rk for the Rk is dependent upon the wind produced by the digestive action of the stomach Lastly life is the preservor of speech for preserving it for speech is preserved by life because without life (breath) it is impossible to pronounce a sound. Therefore Brhaspati is the lif substance of the Rks. This is the meaning of the whole

 $^{^1\,\}rm The$ Anustup of 32 syliables is contained in the Brhati of 36 syllables as the smaller in the larger number —A G

21. एष उ ५० ब्रह्मणस्पतिर्वाग् वे ब्रह्म तस्या एष पतिस्तस्मादु ब्रह्मणस्पति: ॥

This is also Brahmanaspati Speech is Biahma Life is the preserver (Pati) of speech, therefore life is Biahmanaspati.

Life is also the substance of the Mantias of the Yajus. Why? "This also Biahmanaspati Speech is Brahma," that is to say, Yajus, which is a kind of speech "Of this," speech, Yajus, Brāhmana, "life is the preservei" (Pati) Therefore it is called Brahmanaspati, as before How again is this known? The answer is Brhatī and Brahman mean the Rk and Yajus, not any thing else, for since at the conclusion of this subject it is said 'Speech is Sama," it is evident that the Sama Veda is the same with speech In the same manner, it speech is Brhatī, speech Brahman, and both therefore the same with speech, it is proper to maintain, Rk and Yajus are Brhati and Brahman, for they are remaining, for as the Sama is mentioned last, the remaining two must be the Rk and Yajus This follows also from the difference occurring in speech, for Rk and Yajus are different kinds of speech. Therefore it is right to say, that they are the same with speech. And also from the fact that if this were not the case, there would not be any distinction between them The word "The Sama is the Udgītha," clearly shows the use of a distinct name fore it is also proper to employ the distinct names of Brhatī and Brahman, otherwise, if distinct names were not fixed, there would be no sense Further, if special names of them were a mere sound, there would be a repetition of the same. And the names of the Rk, Yajus, Sama, Udgītha, are also successively mentioned in the Sruti

22 एव उ एव साम वाग् वे सामैप सा चामश्चेति तत्साम्नः सामत्व यहेट समः प्लुपिण। समो मञकेन समो नागेन सम एभिश्विभिर्लोकः

¹ viz Speech is speech

समोऽनन सर्वण सस्मोद्वेय सामा नृत माम सानुन्यर सरोकता जयित य प्यमेत साम बर ॥

This is also Samn Speech is Samn Samn is Sa and Amn Therefore the Sama has the nature of Samn — Becau e it is like (Sama) a bee like a goat like an elephant like these three world like this all therefore again it is called Samn He obtains unity of a turo with Sama or unity of place who thus knows this Sama

This is also Sama Why? The an wer is Speech 19 Sa 11 what pover is included in the feminine gender is Sa speech for the demonstrative pronoun Sa denotes all that is included in the feminine gender. In the same manner this life is Ama et. Ama means all that is in cluded in the masculine render. How hast then obtained my masculino names? The answer is By life How hast then obtained my feminine names? By speech as another passage of the Sruti says Both the names of speech and life are included in the sound of the Sama Accordingly the name of Sama means a song consisting of the whole of the letter words and sentences and depending upon life therefore the name of Sama is nothing else but a compound of the names of life and speech because each letter etc is preduced by and dependent upon life This life is Sama and speech is Sama Because the repetition of Sama shows that Sama partal es of the nature of speech and life viz as compounded of the words Sa and Ama therefore the Sama viz the senge which consist of the hended under this idea of Sama The connection of the next sentence is Because it is like (Sama ail which is afterwards mentioned therefore again it is Sama -The word again shows the possibility to explain the meaning Sama in another way by the term of Sama (like) In what way is the likeness of life assumed? The answer is It is like (Sama) a bee viz the body of a bee like a gnat

R 10

viz, like the body of a gnat, "like an elephant," viz, "like the body of an elephant, "like these three worlds," viz, like Prajapati, whose body is the three worlds," "like this all," like Hijanyagaibha, whose form is the world, which is entirely found in all individual bodies, in the bee as well as in ail others, as the idea of cow is found in all individual cows This is the likeness (identity) of life Again, this identity is not merely found in the different bodies in pioportion to their size, because life has no shape and is everywhere, nor is the identity in the individual bodies on identity of contraction and expansion, as a light contracts in a jar and expands in a place, for the Sinti says these are like, all are without end" There is, however, no contradiction between its being everywhere and its being limited to a certain size in the different bodies. He, who thus knows the life whose name is Sama under the idea of sama (identity), viz, the life, whose greatness is declared in the Siuti obtains this reward, viz, "he gains unity of nature (Sayujya) with Sama," viz, with life, that is to say, unity of body organs and knowledge, or unity of place, that is to say, the same world, according to the power of his reditation, viz, he who knows Sama, life, who meditated on it, until the knowledge of the identity of life with the soul became manifest

23 एप उ वा उद्गीयः प्राणो वा उत् प्राणेन हीद्र सर्वमुत्तव्ध वागेव गीथोचगीया चेति स उद्गीयः ॥

This is also Udgītha Life is Ut, for by life all this is, upraised. Speech is Gītha It is Ut and Gītha, therefore it is Udgītha.

"This is also Ungitha" The name of Udgitha means here neither a period, a special division of the Sama

¹ Siyujya, a kind of salvation, of which five kinds are stated 1 Salokya, the abode in the same world 2 Saishti equal properity 3 Samipya, vicinity 1 Sarupya, likeness of form 5. Ekatva, unity or identity of nature

Ve la nor the portion referring to songs (Udgana) because the Udgitha 1 included in the description of the Sama which ricins here life (Sama). Why then is life Udgitha? The answer is I ife is Ut, for hy life is all this the universe raised up (Uttabbla) that I upported. The name Ut which elucidates the meaning of Uttabbla shows a quanty of life. Therefore in accordance with the single voils in the compound of Udgitha. Ut means life and Githa speech. This Githa after the meaning of the name derived from Gai (to sing) is speech, but with the exception of the sonal Citha (one) there is nothing in any part of the Udgitha is life is refer to singing. Therefore the as or tion that life is Udgitha is proper is. Ut is life and Citha peech dependent upon life both terms thus compounded in one term form Udgitha.

21 सलिए व , च जिलावया गणान भागजुलाका सस्य राजा मुखान रिपाभयगाधिन्योऽनन्त आदिस्याउन्यनाद्वायिति याचा च स्य संज्ञान चार्यगायिति ॥

There is also a animative Brahmadatta, the grand on of Cilitana when drinking the respleadent Soma juice spoke. Let this respleadent juice out off the head of this man if Ayasya Angarasa performed the Udgitha by any other than this Therefore he performed the Udgitha by speech and life

To show the firmness of the just explained mean ming a narrative of commenced with the words. There that is with regard to the meaning just explained a narrative is also known. Brahmadatta by name the young grandson of Cikitana when drinkin, at the sacrifice the resplendent Soma julee spoke. I of this resplendent julee, that is here in the sacrificial cup and drunk by me out off the head of this man of me speaking falsely, which means if I spock falsely. How ogain is the meaning of

falsehood implied in the words of the Upanisad "If Avasya (he is called Ayasya Angilasa, because his name is derived from the principal life), the performer of the Udgitha in the assembly of the former Rsis who created the word" "performed the Udgītha by any other," by another derty beside speech and life, "than by this," viz. by the above described life in union with speech, then let me be a speaker of falsehood, let the Soma cut off the head of me who has asserted something contradictory to the nature of In these words he made an imprecation, and shows therefore the necessity of the strength of the faith in the knowledge, that life is the Udgitha The sruti confirms by its own word the meaning conveyed by the namative, viz, by speech, dependent upon life, and by life, transformed into his own nature, the Udgitha, Ayasya Angirasa, performed the Udgitha This meaning is established by the imprecation

25. तस्य हैतस्य साम्रो यः स्वं वेढ भवति हास्य स्वं तस्य वे स्वर एव स्व तस्मोदार्तिवज्य कारिप्यन्वाचि स्वरिमच्छेत तथा वाचा स्वरसपन्नयार्तिवज्य कुर्यात्तस्माधन्ने स्वरवन्त दिवृक्षन्त एवाऽयो यस्य स्व मवति मवति हास्य स्व य एवमेतत्साम्नः स्व वेद ॥

He who knows the wealth of that Sama here, obtains its wealth. The musical notes are its wealth Therefore let one who is to perform the duties of a Rtvik, desire to acquire the musical notes together with speech. By that speech which has obtained the musical notes, let him perform the rites of the Rtvik Therefore people are desnous to look during the sacrifice upon the sweet-toned performer of the Udgitha as upon a rich man, for people desire to look upon him who has obtained wealth. He obtains wealth, who in such a manner knows the wealth of this Sama

Of that Sama hore The word that connects it with the above de cribed life. The term here shows it as by a nesture as being present. He who knows the wealth of life which is called by the vord Sama obtains what? He obtains it wealth. This is the reward which the Stuti shows as an Indocement to the hearer The mosterl notes are its wealth. The min ical notes the west sound produced in the throat are it wealth its ornament fo a song adorned with the e note obtains wealth Because this is o therefore let him who is to perform the duties of the Rivil, the one of ile Ritvik the Udrata-who is anxion to exhibit the wealth of the Sama by its note do ire to acquire in peech the musical no o dependent upon prech. The however i estable het in the course of explaining the topic that the tones of the Sima are neces and mu leal notes and that the min ical notes are not acquired by mero desire but by the c can ng of teeth and the drinking of oil ote. By that speech, that purified speech, which has obtained the mu ical notes lot him perform the rite of the Rivik | Therefore | Be cause the note has become the property (Syabhuta) of the Sama and hence the Senia is aderned with the note a vith wealth (Sya) therefore people are de trous to look during the sacrifice upon the sweet toned performer of the Udgitha as open a rich man fo it i well known that people desire to look open him who has obtained wearth (Sva) A person who has fully obtained the reward resulting from his I nowledge of this quality is described in the vord He obtains wealth who in such a manner knows the wealth of this Samo

26 तस्य देतस्य सामा य सुत्रम वेट मनति हान्य सुवण तस्य व स्वर ण्य सुन्यम भनति हान्य सुवण य प्रवितन्त्राम सुवर्ण यट ॥

He who knows the gold of this Sama obtains verily its gold. The musical notes are its gold. He verily obtains gold who in such a manner I nows the gold of this Sama.

Now another quality of the Sāma is explained, viz, to be possessed of gold. This refers also to the sweetness of the notes, with the difference, however, that, while the former designated the sweetness of the notes, produced in the throat, the latter means gold only figuratively. "He who knows the gold of the Sāma, obtains verily its gold." The meaning is since the same word Suvarna, denotes a musical note, (Suvarna from Su, good, and Varna letter) and gold (Suvarna) common gold becomes the reward of him who knows this quality. Its musical note is, as it were, its gold. "He who knows the Sāma in such a manner, verily obtains its gold," just as it has been described before

27 तस्य हैतस्य साम्नो यः प्रतिष्ठा वेद प्रति ह तिष्ठति तस्य वै वागेव प्रतिष्ठा वाचि हि खल्वेष एतत्प्राणः प्रतिष्ठितो गीयतेऽन इत्यु हैक आहुः ॥

He who knows the abode of that Sama here, abides Speech is its abode, for it is well known, that this life verily abides in speech, some say, that it abides in food

Then the quality of abiding is explained, viz, "He who knows the abode of this Sama" The Sama abides in speech, therefore speech is called the abode. He who knows this quality of abiding of the Sama, "abides". It is proper to assign this quality to him, because it is said in the Sruti, according to the manner in which "it is worshipped". To him, who, as in the former description, is desirous of the reward, and wishes to know which is the abiding place of the Sāma, the answer is given. "Speech is the abode of the Sāma". Speech means the organs of sound at the root of the tongue and elsewhere. This speech is the abode. Therefore it is said. "For it is well known," because it is well known, that this life abides in speech, in the organs of speech, the root of the tongue, etc., therefore

 $^{^1}$ Viz, of the chest, throat, head, root of the tongue, teeth, nose, lips, and palate —A G

speech is the abiding place of the Sama. Some other say that it abides in food that it is well known to abide there. And because neither of these two opinions is blamed therefore it is optional to assign the quality of abiding oither to speech or to food.

28 अजात पत्रमानानामेत्राभ्यागेह स वे खलु प्रस्ताता माम प्रस्तोति स यत्र प्रस्तुयाचडेतानि ज्ञेपंत्रता मा सद्ग्रम्य तममा मा ज्यातिगमय भूत्यामामृत । अगमजित स यगणसता मा सद्ग्रम्येति मृत्युजा असत्यदमृत मृत्योमामृत गमयामृत मा कुर्विन्यवैतणह् तमसो मा ज्यातिगमयति मृत्युजी तमा ज्यातगमृत भृत्यामामृत गमजामृत मा जुर्वित्येवंतराक मृत्यामामृत गमयिति नात्र तिराहि तमित्रास्ति । अज यात्रातगणि स्त्रा गाणि ते जात्मन ज्ञाजमागयेत्त स्माटु तत्रु वर बृणात य काम कामयेत तनागायित तक्ष्त ग क्राज्येय म हेवालास्यताया आज्ञास्ति य एवमेत साम वद ॥

Therefore afterwards the rite of the Abby roba of the Pavamana Stotras is defined. The praiser verily praises the Sama. Where he praises it there let him mutter these Mantras. From the unreal lead me to the real from darkness lead me to hight from death lead me to immortality. In the words of the Mantra. From the unreal lead into to the real death is the unreal the real immortality from death lead me to immortality which implies render me immortal. Further in the words. From darkness lead me to hight death is darkness light immortality, from death lead me to immortality, which implies render me immortal. In the last Mantra. From death, lead me to immortality, which implies render me immortal.

concealed Then in the remaining Stotras let him praise the primeval food for his own sake. Therefore in those Stotras he may choose a blessing. Whatever desire he may desire, the same he may choose, viz, the Udgāta who thus knows. Whatever desire he may desire, either for himself or the sacrificer, the same he accomplishes by the recital. This verily overcomes the worlds. There is verily no doubt to be worthy of the worlds for him who thus knows this Sāma

For him who thus knows life, the rite of muttering prayers is appointed The perfection in muttering prayers which one who thus knows has acquired, is called his knowledge "Therefore afterwards" Because the rite of muttering prayers, the reward of which is the ascension (Abhyārona) to a divine state, must be performed by one, perfect in knowledge, "therefore" it is defined. The muttering of players by its connection with the Udgitha might be performed at any time but according to the word of the S'iuti "Pavamanam,' a time is fixed for them, and although the lite is herewith assigned to the three Pavamana-Stotras, yet the time is again restricted by the words "The praise, verily plaises the Sama" "Where," at what time "he," the praise, "praises," commences the Sama, "there," at that time let him mutter these Mantias The rite of muttering these Mantias, is called Abhvaroha (ascension), because a person who thus knows, directly ascends (Abhimukhyena alohati) to the state of a god The plural Etani (these Mantras) shows that three Mantras of the Yajus are meant These Mantras must be read according to the accent, which is in the Biahmana, and not as it is in the Mantras, as the second case (Etāni) proves, and also their being found in the Brāhmana. The rite of muttering must be performed by the sacrificer

¹ Otherwise the third case would be required —A G

² Viz, the Satapatha Brahmana

The following are the Mantras of the Yajus From the unreal lead me to the real from darkness lead me to hight from death lead me to immortality As the meaning of the Mantras is concealed the Brahmana itself explains In the vords of the Mantra From the unreal lead me to the real death a the unreal death at as called because his knowledge and work arise from his own unreal it i cailed from the very low degree of its existence. The real immorta ity the real know ledge and works derived from the Sastra are immortality because they are the cause of it Therefore from the unreal 112 from unreal works and from ignorance lead me to the real vi to such worl s and knowledge as are derived from the pastra that I to say produce the state of the seul by which the nature of a ged 1 obtained The meaning of the whole sentence is given in the words which implied ronder me immortai. Thus allo in the next Mantra From darknes ted me to hight death is darkne s for every ignorance from its natural tendency to screen is darkne s this as the cause of dying is death Light immortaity the divine nature contrary to the fermer (darkness) knowledge by its natural tendency to manifest is light this is immortality because its nature is exempt from destruction Therefore Fior darkness lead me to light as before means From death lead me to immortality which impies render me immortal that is grant me the divine state of Prajapati as reward. The first Mantra means from a state without cau ality produce a state that has causality. The second Mantra however means from a state that has causality but which is yet subject to ignorance produce a state where the uhole effect is accomplished In the third Mantra From death lead me to immertality the whole meaning of the two preceding Mantras is given as conclusive In the third Mantra there fore there is not as in the two preceding the meaning con cealed but it is the real meaning of the text. Then having made the song of the sacrificer in the three Pavamana Stotras in the remaining Stotras let him the Udgata

The priest who knows the Sama Veda

who knows life and has obtained the nature of life, "praise the primeval food for his own sake" in the same way, as life did Because such an Udgātā knows life thus, in the manner, before described, and hence, like life, is able to accomplish the desire, "therefore he, the sacrificer, "may choose in those Stotias," at the recital of them, "a blessing" "Whatever desire he may desire, the same he may choose," ask as a boon, viz, "the Udgātā who thus knows" "Whatever desire the Udgātā desires" asks, "either for himself or for the sacrificer, the same he accomplishes by the recital" In this sense it is said, that the acquirement of life in its identity with the soul takes place by knowledge and works, and therein is no apprehension of any doubt. It may, however, be matter of doubt, whether in the absence of works life is obtained or not To remove this doubt, it is said. "This verily overcomes the worlds" "This verily," this knowledge of life alone, separated from works, "overcomes the worlds," is the cause of gaining the worlds "There is verily no doubt to be worthy of the worlds." nor even a desire, for if one has the firm knowledge, that life is the soul, he has no doubt that he will obtain it, for a man, who is in a village, does not doubt, like one in the desert, whether he may arrive at the village There may be indeed a doubt for one who has not the knowledge of the soul, which is yet far from him but not for one who has it, he therefore has no apprehension that he should not acquire the state of life as identical with the soul For whom is there not such an apprehension? "For him who thus knows the Sama" to be life, in accordance with the greatness, as has been explained, for him therefore who knows I am the life, inaccessible to the sins of the demons, which consist in the contact of the senses with their objects, I am purified, I am speech and the other four organs, 2 which by their dependence upon me have obtained their original nature, as fire, wind, etc, which are free from the blemish of the sins of the demons, produced by the contact of the senses with their objects, in

Who knows that life is identical with the soul

² Viz, nose, eye, car and mind.

consequence of natural knowledge and which by dependence upon me are the cause of the connection of the primoval food with all the elements. I am the soul of all the elements as the common essence of them I am also the soul of speech embodied in the Rk Yajus Sama and Udgitha because I pervade them and am their cause When I have obtained the state of the song of the Sama my external wealth or ornament is the sweetness of the notes. When I have obtained the golden state figuratively speaking the sweetness of the notes the state of the song my organs are the various places of the throat etc Possessed of such qualities I pervade with my whole nature all living beings down to the smallest insect for I am without shape and everywhere He will attain such a reward whose worship manifests such a knowledge

FOURTH BRAHMANA

1 आत्मेवेनमत्र आसीत् पुरुपविध सोऽसुवीक्ष्य नान्यदात्मनोऽपत्यत्सोऽ हमस्मीयवि व्याहरक्षोऽहनामामनक्तमाद्रव्येवाधीमनिव्योऽहमय मित्येवात्र उनत्वाजान्यवाम प्रवृत्ते नन्त्य भवति स यत्प्रवीऽ-त्मात्मनेस्मात्सवीनपाष्मन औपक्तमात्पुरुप बोपिति ह वे स स नाऽस्मात्युर्वो सुमूपिति य एव बेन ॥

This was before soul bearing the slape of a man Looking round he beheld nothing but himself. He said first. This am I. Hence the name of I was produced. Therefore even now a man when called says first. It is I and tells afterwards any other name that belong to him. And because he as the first of all of them consumed by fire all the sins therefore he is called.

purusa He verily consumes him, who before this strives to obtain the state of Prajāpati, he, namely, who thus knows.

"This was before soul" How the state of Prajapati is obtained by the united effect of knowledge and works has been described, and also, how it is obtained by the mere knowledge of life, by passages as. "This verily overcomes the world," etc. By descriptions of the omnipotence and other attributes of Piajapati, who is the embodied leward, in the cleation, preservation and destruction of the world, the eminence of the reward, resulting from knowledge and works, in accordance with the Vedas is further to be described. For this object the present Brahmana has been commenced This description sets forth the praise of the knowledge and works obtained by the practical 1 part, because they are of great power But it is at the same time intended to show, that the whole reward, resulting from knowledge and works, has a worldly tendency, for it is said, that it is subject to fear and unhappiness, it is further involved in the connection between cause and effect, and as a manifestation of the gross organism' it is not eternal The second part of the Vedas, on the other hand, is intended to show the liberation, resulting from the mere knowledge of Brahman, which will hereafter be explained, for he who is not dissatisfied with the objects of the world, subject as they are to the division of cause and effect, etc. has no desire to obtain the knowledge, that the soul is one and all, as a person who is not thirsty has no desire to drink Consequently the description of the reward, resulting from knowledge and works, is made for the sake of the second part With regard to this, it will be said "This should be known by him" "This is better than a son"

"This was before the soul" The soul is here defined as Prajapati, the first-born from the egg, the embodied soul, as

¹ Ceremonial part of the Veda Vide p 39 note

the result from his knowledge and works in accordance with the Vedas He was what? This produced by the division of body was the soul not separated from the body of Prajanati before before the production of other bodies He was also bearing the shape of man which means that he was endowed with head hands and other members was the Virai the first born Looking round reflecting who am I and of what noture he beheld nething but himself the fulness of life the organism of causes and He bohold only himself os the universal soul Then endowed with the recollection of his Vedic knowledge in a former birth he said first This am I 112 Praidpati the universal soul. Hence therefore because from the recollection of his knowledge in a former world he called himself I therefore his name was I The name of I as proved in this Upani ad and therefore in the Sruti will afterwards be explained

Therefore becau e this happened to Prajapati as the cause therefore it happens else to the living creatures his effects for even new a man when called addressed Who art thou? says first It is I denoting him self with the name of the soul as the cause and when afterwards asked for his pecial name the name of this special individual he answers by I am Devadatta or Yaifiadatta etc he tells the name which was given to this individual person by father and mother And because Prajapati in a former birth which is the cause as the fir t of those who were desirous to obtain the state of Prajapati by the exercise of reflection on works and know ledge viz as the first of all of them of all those desirous of obtaining the state of Prajapati -consumed by the perfect exercise of reflection on works and knowledge all the sins of contact which are obstacles to the acquirement of the state of Prajapati -because such was the case therefore he is called Purusa because he Pürvam Ausad (first burned) As that Prajapati by consuming all opposite sins became this Purusa Prajapati so also any other con sumes reduces to ashes by the fire of the practice of his reflection on knowledge and works or only by the force of his

consequence of his desiro against his dostruction 1 therefore on account of his likeness with Prajapati also now is afraid And further as with us so also with Pranapati the true knowledge of himself (the soul) is the cause that the desire against one s destruction is removed Hence it is said Ho looked round How? The answer Since nothing but myself but the soul not a second thing exists of whom hould I be afraid while there is cause of my dostruction Henco from the true knowledge of himself (the soul) Prajapatis fear departed for his fear is merely the effect of his ignorance which cannot romain with true knowledge wherefore it is said For whom should he fear vi. he who fears and the meaning which is intended is when truth has been ascertained fear is removed since fear ari es from a second from another thing and a second another thing is merely the production of ignorance for a second thing of which there is no knowledge cannot be the cause of the origin of fear Thu it is said in a Mantra What blindnoss of mind what di tross exists for him who is conscious of the untiersal identity? And it is also proper that by the knowledge that all is one the fear is removed for the knowledgo of a second from which fear may arise is removed by the knowledge that all is one honce there is nothing from whenco it could arise Here it is asked Whenco was produced Prajapatis knowledge that all is one or who instructed him?

Either the knowledge manifested itself without in truction. Then the same should be the case with us and similar beings. Or it is derived from impressions received in a former birth then the knowledge that all is the soul is useless for in this case as the knowledge of Prajapati although existing when he was in the state of a former birth did not remove the cause of the contrary ignorance because on account of his ignorance he was afraid a also is the knowledge of the identity of the soul useless for every one And if you lastly say that the knowledge removes the

¹ Or of his desire for his preservation

ignorance at the time of death, we also object, because, as before, it must again be connected with a prior knowledge, whence airses the logical fault of a too general argument Hence it must be concluded, that the knowledge of the identity of the soul is useless

We deny this, on the ground that the knowledge of Prajāpati is produced from the most powerful cause, for as in common life strength of intellect and extent of memory are observed with him from the time of his birth, who has acquired various efficacious causes by his prous actions, so also with regard to the eminent birth of Prajāpati united as it is with efficient causes, which are purified by his having consumed all sins, the effects of opposite causes, by the power of moial merit, of knowledge and of liberty from worldly desires. Hence it is proper to maintain, that Prajāpati's knowledge of the identity of the soul, produced at the time of his birth, has arisen without any instruction. In this respect says the Smṛti "Whose four qualities, his unbounded knowledge, freedom from passion, power and moral meiit are innate"

If you say, 'if they are innate, fear is impossible, for darkness does not lise with the sun,' we deny this on the ground, that the notion of innate knowledge has merely the meaning to exclude instruction from another. If your opinion is, 'that faith, devotion and reverence are no causes of knowledge, and that such causes of knowledge, as faith, devotion and reverence, assigned by the Siuti and Smrti in such passages as "The faithful whose mind is devoted to only one object, whose senses are subdued, gains knowledge," 'Thou shalt know it by reverence," have no causality,' and if you moreover say, 'that our moral merit in our former birth is the cause of our knowledge (in the next birth) as it is the case with Piajāpati,' we do not agree, because we must attend to the distinction of general and special causes, as well as of qualified and unqualified causes, for in the common life obtains a manifold division of causes

^{&#}x27;Bhagavad-Gita, Fourth chapter

⁻ Ibid C 1

with regard to offcots dependent upon a cause in the same manner of peneral causes Those as well as special causes are again divided into qualified and unqualified causes show this by an instance we chese the perception of form as an effect from a variety of causes. Here the perception of form in the dark vithout light by animals roaming at mucht is caused by the contact of the eye with form. The cause or perception of form by the Yogis is the mind alone for us it is the contact of the eve with form through light Purther by the division of light inte sunlight moonlight ete are produced the general divisions of the cau e More over there is the other day on of light light especially qualified and light not qualified. In the ame manner the cause of the knowledge of the identity of the soul is some time work performed in a former birth as is the ca e with Prajapati sometime penance as the Sruti say ponance search for Brahman 1 sometimes in truction from the teacher as follows from such passages of the Sruti and Smrti A man who has a teacher knows farthful acquires knowledge Do thou know it by reser From the teacher he mu t be known seen heard ote Parth amotion ofe are direct causes to obtain that knowledge as this effect separation from vice and from other causes of the contrary. The same is the ease with the hearing thinking and mental intuition of the Vedanta because here is comprehended the pre ent object of know lodge itself and also because by the annihilation of sin and other obstacles the true knowledge of the soul and the mind from its very nature produces that knowledge. Therefore faith reverence etc are real causes of knowledge

त ये नैन रेम तस्मादकाकी न ग्यते त हितीयमैच्छत् स हेतानास यन न्यापुमा< सी सपरिन्यको स अम्मेबात्मान हेना पातनत्तत पतिन पता चामन्रता तस्मान्दिम मनुगलिन म्य इति ह स्माह यानवल्क्यन्यस्मारनमानाझा ख़िया प्रयत एव ता< सम्मनत्ततो मनुष्या अजानन्त ॥

¹ Taittartya Upanisad Bhrgu Valli 5th Khanda

He did thus not feel delight. Therefore no body, when alone feels delight—He was desirous of a second He was in the same state as husband (Pati) and wife (Patin) are when in mutual embrace—He divided this two-fold—Hence—were husband and wife produced. Therefore was this only a half of himself, as a split pea is of the whole—Thus verily has Yājūavalkya declared it—This void is thus completed by woman—He approached her—Hence men were born

From this also follows the worldly state of Prajapati, viz, because "He," Prajapati, "did thus not feel delight," which means, was unhappy, like us and others at the present time "therefore," in consequence of the state of loneliness, etc., "no body, when alone, feels delight" Delight means the pleasure, derived from the union with a desired object. Unhappiness is called the state of mental distress, following the separation from an object of desire, to which one is attached. For the removal of this unhappiness "he was desirous of a second," of a wife able to destroy the unhappiness And while he thus longed for his state was as of one, embraced by a wife And because by wife this state he desired something real, he was of such a state Of what state? In such a state, as in common life husband and wife are, when in embrace for the removal of unhappiness "He, 'thus divided this "self,' which was of such a kind, "two-fold" The term 'this" used in order to define the self and to distinguish it from the Viraj the first cause He was by the removal of the state of Viral, not in the same state, in which curd is, when its for mer state, that of milk is entirely removed. Which was then the state of separation? By the objective mental power of Viraj, entirely dependent upon himself, there was, beside himself, another body in the state of husband and wife in embrace And this Viraj of such a kind, "was in the same state," because he is the same subject for two predicates

Honce from this division (Patanat) hu band (Pati) and wife (Patni) were produced which is a description of the common state of husband and wife Therefore because this wife is as it were the other separated half of himself therefore was this this body only the half of himself as a split pea is of the whele Of whom wa it only a half before his taking a wife? The answer is Thus verily has Yamavalkya 112 the son of his self Yainavalkya (which donotes him who speaks at the acrifice) Devarati declared it It may also mean a son of Brahma Because this male half is void as wanting the female half therefore again after the taking of a wife it is again completed by the female as a plit pea by its being joined with its other half He Prajapati under the name of Manu appreached her by name Satarupa his own daughter under the netion that she was his wife Honce from this union men were born

> 4 सा ह्यमीक्षाचके कम नु माल्मन एव जनवित्या सममित हन्त तिरोज्सानीति सा गीरमभद्रथम इतरस्ता नमयोभनत्तता गामा-ऽनानन्त बज्बेतराभमार प्रच इतरा गर्मात्तरा गरभ इतरस्ता -समयामन्त्रता एकसक्षमनानताञ्जेतराममद्भस्त इतराञ्चिरितरामेष इतरस्ता समैनाममत्ताञ्जानयोजनायन्त्रभेग योग्य किछ मिश्र नमापिपीरि काम्यस्तरस्तमस्त्रन्त ॥

Ananda observes that the word again refers to the same event in a former birth —be ause the world is without commencement

The notion of Vir 1 dividing his own substance into male and female occurs in more than one Purans. So does that of an incestious marringe and intercourse of the first Manin with his daughter Satarup and the commontators on the Upanisad under stand that lected to be alluded to in this place. But the institutes ascribed to Mani make Vir 1 to be the issue of such a separation of persons and Manin himself to be his offspring. There is indeed as the reader may observe from the pra ages tited in the present essay much disagreement and coasequent confusion in the gradation of person interposed by Hindi theology between the supreme being and the created world. Col. M.E. Vol. I. p. 65. See also Wilsons Visju Purana where p. 51. note 5 a very lined view of the oplinions of the different Puranas on this subject is given.

She verily reflected, how can he approach me, whom he has produced from himself? Alas, I will conceal myself. Thus she became a cow, the other a bull. He approached her. Hence kine were born. The one became a mare, and the other a stallion, the one a female ass, the other a male ass. He approached her. Hence the one-hoofed kind was horn. The one became a female goat, the other a male goat, the one became an ewe, the other a name goat, the one became an ewe, the other a name He approached her. Hence goats and sheep were born. In this manner he created every living pair whatsoever down to the ants.

"She "Satarūpā, recollecting that it is forbidden in the Smrti to approach one's own daughter," verily reflected: How happens this improper action, that he can approach me," whom he has produced from himself "Alas, I will now conceal myself," will conceal myself under the disguise of another kind "Thus," leaving thus reflected, "she became a cow," by herself, and again and again was this the inclination of Satarūpā, incited by the impulse of living creatures, as well as of Manu Therefore "the other became a bull " "He approached her," in the same manner, as it has been related before "Hence kine were born" Thus "the one became a mare, and the other a stallion," thus, "the one a female ass, the other a male ass". There, by the union of the mare with the stallion and the union of the other kinds "the one-hoofed kind," it, horses, mules and asses, "were" born Thus "the one became a female goat, the other a male goat, the one became an ewe, the other a 1am He approached her," viz, the one after the other in the order as they are mentioned "Hence goats and sheep were born In this manner he cleated every living pair, male and female, whatsoever, down to the ants," viz, he created the world

5 पाइचरह पाप सुण्यिस्य रहीरहैसम्बन्धीति तत सुरिय भगत् वाष्ट्रास्ट्रीरया भगति य एए वर ॥

He knew I am verily this creation for I created this all Hence the name of creation is derived Verily he who thus I nows becomes in this creation life him

He Prajapati having created all the viril kno What? I am very this creation. The world is created therefore the created world is called creation. Because the world is created by me therefore squeent is no eparated from me am I the world it is not distinguished from me Why is this 1? I at I because I created this whole world therefore Because Prajapaticalled himself the creation hence therefore the name of creation is derived. Verily he becomes in the creation in this world of Prajapaticalled himself world of Prajapaticalled himself which? He world which is not different from his own self Who? He world which is not different from his own self who? He who thus knows know ake Prajapatical has been said that he is the world depending upon the notion of the soul of the delements and of the doubles.

6 अर्थन्यन्यात्म सं सुनाम् जात न्याच्या जानिनस् । तस्माद्वस् मन । मक्तमन्यन्वाज्ञणमक्ताः हि यानिम्न्यन्यिति वनारु सु नवासु च त्येन क द्रमानन्यत्म सा विस्तियो उत्तरे सर्व द्याः अम यान्कियोग्मार्यं तहे । नाज्युनन तहु जाम एतामा इट्टरस्य मक च्याजाद्द्यं मोग एताजगित्रम्याः सवा म्यागोऽतिस्प्रियः च्येनना देवानस्त । नाम यन्यस्य स्वाप्ततानस्ततः तस्मारति स्रित्यिद्धन्या रहास्येनस्याः भवति य एव येट ॥

Then he churned from his mouth as the place of production and from his hands he created the fire

Both therefore are inside without hair, for the place of production is inside without hair

That they speak there this word Sacrifice to this, sacrifice to this, hence sacrifice to the one or the other god, is not proper. His is really this creation, for he verily is all the gods, fictitious view is obtained, if it is connected with a special fictitious attribute. In this manner it is declared in the Siuti.

¹ I translated Kalpan, with "fictitious view" and Upadhi with "fictitious attribute," as I was unable to find more adequate words Kalpana according to the dictionaries means arrangement, distinction, artificial making, but these words do not express the meaning, which it has according to the Vedenta, where it is a predicate, which is assigned to a thing to which it really does not belong, or the conceiving of a thing under an idea which is contrary to its nature, as for instance, if Brahman (the infinite soul, infinite substance) is comprehended under the notion of infinite mind (manas) or infinite intelligence (Buddhi) which notions are mere anthropomorphisms Thus far is the Kalpan, indeed an artificial notion, a notion made by some contilvance, or not founded on the real nature of the thing Upadhi (according to Wilson, discriminating or distinguishing property, disguise, in the Vedanta this is especially applied to certain natural torms or properties, considered as disguises of the spirit which I translated with fictitious attribute, is any quality which is assigned by a fictitious notion to the infinite soul, for instance, omnipotence, omniscience, etc. If the infinite substance is considered in its own nature, it has no attributes whatever, and the notions under which it is thought, viz, existence, knowledge, happiness, are reality no different attributes, but they constitute the one identical substance (Aíma, soul)

On the other hand, it is necessary to form fictitious views of the infinite substance, for the world cannot be thought by itself, and therefore requires to be thought by the infinite soul, as entirely depending upon it. The act of the mind by which it transfers the notions of the world upon Brahman or the infinite soul, is called Adhyäropa, which is in fact the same idea with that of Kalpanā Under the condition, that the world is considered in Brahman, Brahma or the infinite soul is also considered under various attributes, as for instance, omniscience, universal providence, imminent cause of the world, etc. The Kalpana, the view, although fictitious, is yet necessary, and the Upādhi, the attribute although not really an

Sitting he proceeds afar sleeping he goes every where. Who hesides me is able to know the god who is happy and not

Then whitsoever is most the same he created from his semen this is the Somi. So much is this whole universe outher food or the enter of food. Somi is the food and Ahm the enter of the food. This is the surpressing creation of Brihmia. Because he from the better parts created the hods and also because he a mortal created the minorials therefore is it a surpressing creation. He who thus knows becomes in this surpressing creation like Pragapati

Prajapati having the created the world as a succession of pairs was de irous of creating the guardian dottee of the Brahmanas and of the other castes and at the commencement throwing his hands upon he mouth he churned. Churning with he hands also recated from his mouth as the organ of production and also from his hands as organs of production the fire the special benefactor of the caste of Brahmanas. Because both the hauds and the mouth are the organs of production of the fire whose nature is to consume therefore both are without hair not the whole however but only the inside for both receipile in this respect the female organs of production for for the tree whose without have met the whole however but only the inside for both receipile in this respect the female organ of production

for the 14 in ide without hair. Thus also the Brahmana was born from the mouth of Prajapate. Therefore the fire is the special benefactor of the Brahmana both being sprang

attribute of Brahman is still indispensable if he is considered in his relation to the world

There is therefore according to the Ved nta no contridiction if atthibutes are assembed to Brihman and denied of him as long as the notions under which both is done are kept separate namely be has no attributes if considered in himself he has attributes in his relation to the world

from the same source as the elder brother is of the younger Therefore the Brahmana has the fire as his guardian deity. and the mouth as his strength, as proved by the S'iuti and Smrti Thus he produced from his arms, the seat of power, the slayer of Bala, 1 and other gods, 2 the ruling deities of the Ksatriya caste and also the Ksatriya Therefore the Ksatriya has Indra as his guardian deity, and the arm as his strength, as both Sruti and Smrti attest Thus he created from his thigh, as the seat of endeavour the Vasus, and others, the ruling deities of the Vis, and also the Vis Therefore the Vaisya is devoted to agriculture, merchandize and similar pursuits, and his guardian deities are the Vasus, etc., according to the evidence of the Siuti and Smrti Thus he cleated from his feet Pusana 4 the guardian deity of the earth, and also the Sudra, who is fitted for servitude, according to the evidence of the Siuti and Smrti The Upanisad makes here for the praise of the whole cleation, the supposition, as if the origin of the guardian derties of the Ksatriya and the other castes had been related, a though it has not been done, and yet remains to be related By this passage of the Siuti it is accordingly evident, that Prajapati is all deities, because there is no difference between the creator and the creature, and because the gods are created by Piajapati

The meaning of the subject being thus established, the blame of the contrary notion of ignorant people is introduced for the praise of the meaning, as it has been established, for the blame of the one is the praise of the other

¹ India

⁻ Varuna, etc

The Vasus were the sons of Vasu Their names are Apa, Dhiuva, Soma, Dhaba (fire), Anila (wind), Anala (fire), Pratyusa (day break), and Prabh. sa (light)—W V P, p 128

One of the twelve Adityes, sons of Kas'yapa by Aditi, the daughter of Daksa -L C, p 122

The contrary notion is, that the deities are independent beings, this view is blamed in the next sentence of the Upani-ad

That they the mere performer of sacrifices speak there during the performance of the cerements at the time of offering this word Sacrifice to this to Agni sacrifice to this to Indra etc. they do so in accordance with the difference of name Sastra Stotra work etc. by considering this or the other different god for instance Agni etc. as one among other. This should not be thus under tood becau on his Prajapatis is really this creation, the whole distributed for he Praja patt as life. In verily all the god.

Hore we meet with a contradictory opinion Namely some as the supreme Hirans agarbha is meant others Hirany agarbha as being in a worldly state. Accord ing to the letter of the Mantra the supreme is meant for the Sruti says Phes call him Indra Mitra Varuna and Agni And another passago lie who is Brahman who 18 Indra and Prajapate 19 all these gods Also the Smite Some call him Agni other, Manu other Praiapati And further He who is no object of the organs ! who is unapproachable who is infinitely little unmanifested otornal whose nature comprehends all elements who is not concorded by thought sprung thus forth by himself On the other hand ho is also in a worldly state. This follows from the passage of the Sruti stating. He consumed all for unloss one is in a worldly state he cannot consume sins. It follows also from the passage of the Sruti that he is subject to fear and unhappiness. Also from the other Being mortal he created immertals Further from the letter of the Mantra hirth of Hiranyagarbha Also from a pas age of the Smriti in the chapter which treats on the results of werks Sages call Brahma the creaters of the world virtue the creat the unmanifested the best condition

^{&#}x27;The organs of action are here meant according to Ananda

² To the senses of intellect one car etc

This is the cause that he cannot be perceived by the senses

⁴ No object of the mind the interpal sense B 13

which can be obtained by the quality of goodness ¹ Therefore a contradictory meaning being obtained, the argument is faulty

"We do not grant this, because there is no contradiction, if it is considered under a different fictitious view, and a different happy"2 Through attributes he is connected with the world, in reality by himself he is unconnected with the world This is the identity and diversity of Hiranyagarbha. and in the same manner of all living creatures, which follows from the passage of the S'ruti "That art thou" But while Hiranyagarbha, in consequence of the superlative purity of his attributes is, as it were, almost entirely supreme, as Sruti and Smrti declare, although they show his worldly state as it were, at some places, the worldly state of the living creatures, in consequence of the prevalent impurity of their attributes, is almost everywhere evident Divested, however, of all distinction of attributes, every one obtains the name of supreme according to the evidence of the Sruti and Smrti But the logicians who, abandoning the authority of the holy writings, reason much about existence and non-existence, agency and non-agency, confound the meaning of the Sastra Hence the determination of the meaning becomes difficult But for those who

This passage is taken from Manu 12, 50 According to Ananda, by the creators are meant Manu and others, by viitue Yama, by the great one (Mahat), intelligence, Buddhi, the first production of Prakiti, or self-existing nature, according to the Sānkhya stystem Kullūka Bhatta, the commentator of Manu, explains it differently, viz, Brahmā is the Brahmā with four faces, the creators Marici and the others, Mahat and Avyakta (unmanifested) two of the causes of the Sānkhya system, here the tutelary derty of the same, viitue the embodied virtue

² Katha Upanisad, 2 V 21 In this passage the contradictory predicates are founded upon different modes, by which the soul may be conceived. Thus "sitting" refers to its unchangeableness, "proceeding" to its worldly nature, where it proceeds faster than any other being. The Upanisads generally represent the difficulty to understand the infinite soul by assigning to it contradictory predicates. Thus it is said in the Vājasaneya Up, v 5 If (the soul) proceeds, it does not proceed, it is far, it is near, it is within this universe, it is without this universe.

without pride follow the Sastrs alone the meaning of the Sastrs with regard to deittes is a clear as If it were an object of perception. Here it is intended to introduce with reference to Prajapati although he is one God such difficulties as food etc. minors them the fire has been mentioned the Soma as the first food will now be mentioned.

Then whatsoever is in the world ment in the form of a fluid the same he created from he semen from hi seed. Thus it is eard in the Scuti. From the semen pring the waters. And the seman allo waters therefore all that is moss; is created by Prajapati from his semen This is as it were the oma So much is this who e universe that is there is no more than this What is it? Lither fool which is Soma as neurishing by its fluidity or the cater of the food Agni by his heat and harshness Hence Some is defined as food I rom the force of the meaning It Is evident that Senia is all that Is caten and that Agni le the cater Sometimes the fire when offering 14 made by it becomes Soma—Soma also when Who cover in this nanner perceives the world as consisting of Agni and Some under the idea of the soul is not tainted by any blame and becomes also lil o Prajupati This is the surpassing creation of Brahman Prayipati surpa sing because it surpasses his own nature Which is this creation? Becau e he from the better parts the parts re sombling him created the gods therefore is the creation of the gods a surpassing creation. How is it again a creation which surpasses himself? The answer is And also because he a mortal of a mortal nature created the the fels of immortal nature after be had consumed ail his sins by the fire of works and knowledge therefore is this surpassing creation the effect of perfect knewledge Therefore he wto knows this surpassing creation of Prajapati as preceeding from himself verily becomes in this surpassing creation like Prajapati becomes a creater like Praiapati

7 तद्धेदं तर्क्षव्याकृतमासीत्तन्नामरूपाम्यामेव व्याक्तियतेऽसी नामाय
ामेद रह्ण इति तदिदमप्येतिहिं नामरूपाम्यामेव व्याक्तियतेऽ
सी नामायमिद रह्ण इति स एष इह प्रविष्ट आनखांग्रेम्यो यथा

क्षुर: क्षुरघानेऽविहतः स्याद्विश्वंमरो वा विश्वंमर्कुलाये तं न

पश्यन्ति । अकृत्स्तो हि स प्राणनेव प्राणो नाम मवित वदन्

वाक्पश्यर श्रुक्षुः श्रुण्वन् श्रोत्र मन्वानो मनस्तान्यस्यतानि

कर्मनामान्येव स योत एकैकमुपास्ते न स वेदाकृत्स्तो होषोऽत

एकैकेन भवत्यात्मेत्येवापासीतात्र होते सर्व एकं मवन्ति

तदेतत्पदवीयमस्य सर्वस्य यदयमात्मानेन होतत्सर्व वेद । यथा

ह वै पदेनानुविन्देदेवं कीर्ति रक्षोंकं विन्दते य एव वेद ॥

That which is this, was then verily unmanifested That manifested itself by name and form, as it is commonly said "He is of this name, of this form" In the same manner that which is this also then manifests itself, as it is said "He is of this name, of this form" He entered this world.

Until the ends of the nails, as a razor is placed in a razor-case, or Visvambhara in the dwelling of Visvambhara. They do not behold him. For when incomplete, when inspiring only, it is called life when speaking, speech, when seeing, sight, when hearing ear, when minding, mind. These are the names of its actions. Whosoever worships one or the other special being, separate from that totality, does not know, for that soul is incomplete, it is determined by this or that individual function, the soul, considering this, let a man worship it, for in it all this differences become one

This even this is to be conceived in this ill tiz this soul for by this one knows this fill. As verily by a foot print one finds cattle so n person who thus knows finds fante and completences.

That which is this s as then sends unmanife ted All Vedic cause ' denote I by knowledge and v ork depend upon nch di tinctione as acent etc and their nitimate. reward is the acquirement of the state of Irajapati so much it this manife ted world. The Upanisod is now to show how the manifested world de pending upon the connection of cruses and effects was be foro its manifestation in the shape of a ocidin its original shape) which is inferred as the tree is inferred from its effect the sprout for this tree of the world who e eed is work and whose field is ignorance t to be token up with its root os b its taking up the liberation of man is occompolished Thus It is said in the katholo! Its root is above its branches go doe mward. The some is said in the Gita. Its root is above its branches go downwards It is olso said in a Purana Tho eternal tree of Brahmon that the world in the state of a sced before its creation is named on account of its imporceptibility at that time by the pronoun that which denotes anything that is no object of perception. The word verily which is the

That which is this Tad Idain (that this) according to an idiotism in Sanskrit viere two demonstrative pronouns this and that are connected with the same subject and where tad (that) refers to the part time and idain (this) to the present time. The Ved nut frequently used this form of expression to show the identity of the logical subject in its connection vith predicates which exclude each other.

[&]quot;A die causes are here causes of any mode or form of worldly oxistence as asserted by the Vedas causes which lead to hard libera tion from the world are accordingly in this prospen or referred to this is evident rave Anonda on the ground that multiplicity of causes cannot be a means of liberation which is of a multiprin nature

 $^{^3\,\}text{Or}$ in other words the ultimate reward is the state of death —A G

^{&#}x27;hathaka 2 Adh 6 Volli V I

mark of a narrative, is used here for the object to make it easily understood, that the world was in the state of nonmanifestation, because it is connected with the past time If it is said Thus it verily was, it is easily understood, that the imperceptible state of the world is meant, in the same way, as if it is said There verily was a king Yudhistira "Which is this" This denotes the world as manifested by name, form and work, as being in the connection of cause and effect, in the manner in which it has been described The terms "that" and "this" denote the state of imperceptibility and perceptibility of the world, and placed upon one and the same subject they express the identity of the world in its state of perceptibility and imperceptibility The meaning is That which is this, and this, which is that, was then unmanifested. If this is the case, it is evident that no effect can be produced from any thing that does not exist, and that it cannot, be destroyed from anything that exists

"That," so described world, which was not manifested, manifested itself by name and form. The reflexive form? "manifested itself" shows, that the soul was manifested by itself. "It manifested itself," it clearly obtained a perceptible form of existence, the limits of whose knowledge are the differences of name and torm, which by its own power produced the relations of ruler, agent and depends upon the action of causes. "He is of this name", by the pronoun, "he," which is a name without distinction a name only is indicated. "He is of this name," viz, Devadatta, or Yajñadatta is his name. In the same manner the pronoun "this," in the sentence, he is of this colour,

¹ The word for narrative is Itihāsa Iti-hā-āsa, thus verily it was, which formula commonly concluding a narrative in the Vedas, became the term of a narrative generally

² Karma Kaitr where the agent (Kartr) is its own object (Karma)

^a This is here mentioned, because according to the Vedanta it is necessary that the world as an effect must have a cause

¹ The word for pronoun is in Sanskrit, Sarvanīma, a general name, on the meaning of which the exposition, as given above, is founded

denotes colour generally without distinction of white or black etc That unmanifested substance which is at that time monife to itself by name and form this then in the manner o It is sail. He is of this nome this form

He for whom the whole Sastra i commenced upon whom by means of natural ignorance the nets n of agent ceremony and reward are transferred who t the of the whole's orld from whom identical with his name and form not manife ted I fore nature manifested as dirty foam from clear vater and who different from either name and form of hi or n nature is pure intelligent and free he manifesting the before unmanifested name and form which are identical with his own nature ontered this world from Brahman down to manimate matter dependent upon worls and the consequences thereof and subject to death and other ovils

But it was sold that the unmanifested manife ted itself how can it now he maintained that even the increme soul manifesting the unmanifested entered this world?

There is no fault in this first because the supreme soul wa meant under the notion of the unmanifested world for we said that the unmonifested world which produces the relations of a creator agent ofe and which is dependent upon the action of courses etc manifested itself secondly because the term this is identical with the term manifested As this means monifested when it has

The Supreme Brahman

- "It the Veda in its two parts the karma karda which relates to commonle and the Julia Karda which relates to the knowledge of Briling
- " It .. the only cause without any diff rence of the mediate and material cause as in the Sankhya system

In other words before it was not said of the soul that it manifested the world but it was said that the unmanifested manifested itself. How is it therefore consistent with that doctrine to substitute here the notion of the soul for the notion of the unmanifested ?

obtained the endless differences of ruler of the wor-d and other agents, of cause, etc, so means "that" unmanifested, when it has not emitted any of its differences. The only difference 1 is, that the one is manifest, the other not manifest In common life also the same word has different meanings according to the intention of the speaker, as if, for instance, it is said. The village is arrived, the village is empty Sometimes the word village may only mean the dwe.lings, as in the sentence the village is empty. Sometimes the inhabitants may be intended, as in the sentence. the viliage has airived Sometimes both meanings may be intended, as in the sentence let him not enter the village Thus also in the present case If it is said this manifested and unmanifested world, where there is no intention to separate them, the soul and the non-soul are meant Further, this would subject to production and destruction, means the world alone Further, the soul, which is great, not produced, not extended, which is not this, which is not that, means the soul alone

But how can it be thought 'he entered' the world, manifested by the supreme manifestor, and everywhere and at everytime pervaded by him? For a country, which is not entered before, may be entered by anything of limited extent, as for instance, a village by a man, etc., but not by anything without limit, as for instance the ether, because from its nature it is always present. If he entered it, as a snake in a stone, by a modification of qualities, we may grant such a modification for the snake, but not for the supreme soul, because he entered even with his nature. If it be supposed, that, abiding in the effect (the world) he was produced by a modification of qualities, and that by this he entered, as a snake, which is

Between the world in its former and in its present state

The snake can be considered as a modification of the stone, because according to the Vedanta both are productions of the five elements, therefore there is no contradiction in considering the snake a modification of the five elements which abide in a stone In the same manner it may be thought, that the infinite soul entered the intellect and other creations by its modification as life

p whiced at the amo time abide in the stone or water in a coconnit we object because the Smill says having created that he entered it for here it is evident that the creater him elf without assuming another mode of existence when he had created the vorld entered it afterwards. This it is said having enjoyed he fore. There allo is a minimal difference between the actions of enjoying and going refer rin to the 12 and present time but the arent is the same. The same cale is here, and it cannot be thought that a modification of existence of him who abiles in this effect is produced.

so accords the idea of an entrance which denotes the separation from one place and the connection with another with the olea of the roal which is without parts and without limits. If it he supposed that the Sruti refers to an entrance where parts are admitted we deny this on the nuthorit of such his ages of the Sruti as for his radiant without forms he is complete without parts with out actions, we deny it also on the authority of such his as a sea prohibit the application of any differences of nualities whatsoe or to the state.

Nor entered he the world as an image as there is no separation of a second thing

Nor did he enter it as a quality enters a substance because hie does not depend upon anything for a quality indeed which in every way depends upon another thing is consilered to enter a substance but not so Brahman as depending upon himself according to the veuti

Nor as a seed the fruit because inch attributes as an increase and decrease of its parts a production and destruction are assigned to this relation but there are no such ettributes of Brahman of a hom it is said in the Sruti He is not produced he is a tithout age ote

Nor as another separate body for from the passage of the Sruti. This doity reflected let me manifest name and form it is clear that the same doity which enters also manifests and acts. Also from these passages. Having

created this he entered it," and "Having opened the place, where the hairs divide, he entered by this door" "Having considered all forms, having made all names, the hero sits inclined" "Thou, O youth, or thou, O maid, thou, old man with a staff, spends thy time," it follows, that no other enters but the supreme soul

If it be thought, there is a multiplicity in the supreme soul, in consequence of the natural differences of the things which he entered, we object from passages of the Sruti as "One god he sits at many places, being one, he proceeded to many places. Thou, although one, hast entered many One god art thou concealed in all beings thou pervadest all, thou art the soul within all beings."

'We shall at present not enquire, whether the idea of entering has been established or not, but it is clear, that the supreme soul has a worldly form, because the things which he entered, have a worldly form, and are not different from the soul?'

We deny this, because the \mathtt{Srq}_{t1} says. He overcomes death

If it is thought that he is in a worldly state on the ground that he is subject to happine'ss and unhappiness, we object, for the Sruti says "He is not tainted with the unhappiness of the world, he is free from it"

If you say this is improper, because it is at variance with perception, we do not agree, because the difference in objects of perception or other sources of knowledge is

- ¹ Antareya-Upa, III, 12, that is to say, having opened the middle part of the skull, where the hairs d₁vide, he entered the body by this opening
- The first part of the argument was to prove, that it is the supreme soul which enters, the second is to show, that it is the soul is not affected in its nature by his entrance
- ³ With individual perception, as in common life, people say I am happy, I am unhappy

only produced by the notion of dependance on attributes 1 Such passages of the Truti as Thou does not behold the heholder of the visible How could one knew him who knew? Unknewn is he who knews - prove that knew ledge has no reference to the soul

To what then? knowledge from perception declared in such sentences as I am happy I am inhappy is the object of the image of the soil which is reflected in the intelicet and in other attributes

The same is also shown by the fiction of the identity of the perceptible object (the body) and the subject which perceives (the soul) in a sentence like this I am (body). It follows also from the passage of the Sruti. There is none also but him who sees by which the idea of another soul is prohibited.

If you ay that happine's and unhappiness are

qualities of the hely becau o both must be predicated parts of the body and that therefore from the passage of the Sruti. For the sake of the desire of the soul it is improper to maintain that the soul is free from the world?

—we do not agree because the passage of the Sruti. Where it is as it were some other things show that it is for the sake of the soul which is in the state of ignorance. From such passages. Here oan it beheld any one. (B A 4th A oth B 15 Bib I V 11 p 929) There is no difference whatsoever with regard to him. (k. U 4th V 11 B I V

And therefore not by the seel as attributes do not really belong to the soul

² Accordingly no perception for instance I am happy I am unhappy

³ Because here the soul which is endowed with the perceptible object the body is an object of perception and therefore the soul in itself is not in a worldly state

On the ground that every one who feels pain or pleasure in any part of the body refers this part to himself as if one says my head aches

The desire of happiness is granted the contrary must be also admitted because both are inseparable

VIII, p 130) "There is no delusion, no grief" (Vaj S U. 7 B I Vol VIII 14) which refer to knowledge, it also follows, that unhappiness, as prohibited in them, is no quality of the soul

If it is said, that the unworldly state of the soul cannot be admitted as contradictory to the argument of logicians, we deny this, because by reasoning also it can be proved that the soul is free from unhappiness, for unhappiness, which is an object of perception, cannot be attributed to the soul, which is no object of perception. If you say, that unhappiness is in the same manner a quality of the soul, as the sound is a quality of the ether, we deny this, because the soul and unhappiness are not objects of one and the same kind of knowledge, for a knowledge of unhappiness, which is an object of perception, can never become an object of the soul, which is eternal, and can only be known by inference Unhappiness cannot become an object of the soul for this reason also, that the soul, as one, is no object of anything whatsoever

If you maintain, 'that, like a light, the soul, although one, is an object and manifests objects,' we do not agree, because this is impossible at one and the same time, 4 and because the soul has no parts. Hence the idea, that there is a perception of knowledge, and that knowledge is at the

- ¹ Of the Vais'esikas, according to whom the soul has the following fourteen qualities intellect, pleasure, pain, desire, aversion, endeavour, number, quantity, severalty, conjunction and disjunction, faculty, ment and dement
- Viz, the connection between substance and quality, which exists between ether and sound, of which the one (sound) is perceptible, the other (ether) not perceptible, may also exist between soul and happiness
- ³ This is in accordance with the principle, that where there is a relation between quality and substance, these are both perceived by one and the same kind (source) of knowledge, as for instance, a jar and its white colour
- 4 In accordance with the doctrine of the Ny. ya, that the mind, through whose agency every object, external or internal, is represented to the soul, can only perceive one object at one time

same time perceived cannot be admitted with reference to the soul

If it is said Unhappiness and soul the one of which is an object of perception the other of inference are in relation of quality and substance as may be known by inforence for unhappiness is constantly an object of perception and ha an equal dependence upon a substance as form has ote Accordingly as unhappiness is percented by the soul through its union with the mind such attributes as multiplicity changeableness and transientness must be also assigned to the soul for without a change in the substance which is in contact it is nowhere found that a quality is added or removed. Nor has it any time been found that a substance which changes is without parts or that a sub tance whose qualities are transient is eternal Nor is the other considered as an oternal thing by those who follow the Sruti Nor 14 there any other case ! If you say that a thing although it changes may be eternal because the knowledge that is this yet remains -we deny this because the notion of change cannot be thought without the notion of a change of the parts of the thing

And if you say that a thing which has parts may also be eternal we deny this because a thing that has parts must before have been joined by a union of its parts by which the idea of a separation is established

If you say that this is contrary to perception as if you see lightning we object because we conclude that its parts have been joined before. Therefore it is impossible to conceive the idea that the soul is the site of unbappiness and other transiont qualities.

And if you say on the supposition that the supreme soul is without unhappiness and that there exists no other soul which is unhappy the Sastra which is commonced for the purpose to remove unhappiness is useless we object

The supposition of atoms is meant for the alsuchee of atoms cannot be proved by any evidence

because it has the purpose to destroy the illusion of unhappiness, which is placed upon the soul by ignorance And also because the unhappiness of the soul is only fictitious, and is removed in the same way, as a mistake in counting a common number, in which the person who counts, himself is included 1

As the image of the sun, etc, enters the water, so the entrance of the soul means the perceptibility of the soul in its effect which is manifested like a reflection. Before the production of the world the soul is not perceived, afterwards being perceived within the intellect in the effect which is cleated, manifested as the reflection of the sun, etc, is perceived in water, it is defined, as it were, as entering after the creation of the effect. This is evident, for example, from the following passages

"He entered this world" (B Ā U 1st Adh 3d B 7 B I Vol II, p 155) "Having created this world, he veily entered it" (Taittarīya U 2d Adh 6th Anu B I Vol VII, p 90) "Having opened the place, where the hair is divided, he entered by this door" (Aitt U 3 Kh 12 Varga B I Vol VII, p 198) "This deity reflected Verily, I am these three deities" (Chh U 6th Prapa 3 Kh B I Vol III, p 406) "Having entered by this life, soul, etc" (Chh U 6th Prapa 3d Kh B I Vol III, pp 407 411)

This is meant by the entering of the soul, but we say, it is impossible, that the soul which is all-pervading and without parts has ever a real entrance, the notion of which is to proceed from one part of space, place, or time to another

¹ This seems to refer to the following tale. Ten friends having crossed a dangerous liver, were anxious to know, whether any of them had been lost, and accordingly one of them commenced to count, but omitting himself (Atma, meaning the soul and himself) found, of course, that there were only nine. The others successively counted also, but doing it in the same manner as the first, the result was the same. Upon this they thought, that one had perished in the river, and broke out in lamentations. A stranger who passed by, perceived the cause of their error, and explaining it to them satisfactorily, changed their distress into rejoicing.

Moreover there is nothing else but the supreme soul as we sail before in accordance with such passages of the Sruti as the following. There is nothing else that sees hear of but he (B \ 3rd A 6th B 11 B I Vol II p 637)

And the notions of creation entrance procession and destruction are merely formed for the apprehension of the wall is enjoined for the sake of final liberation which is evident from such passages of the Sruti as

Ho know the soul (B \(\) 1st Adh 4th B 10 B p 200) Ho who I nows Brahman obtains liberation (Taitt 2d A 1 thus 1 kh B I vol VII p 37) Ho who know the supreme Brahman verils becomes Brahma (3 Mund U 2 kh 9 M B I and Vol VII p 323) The por on who has a teacher knows Brahman (Chh U 6 Prapa 14 kh B I Vol III p 450) Ho live a long (as he has not obtained liberation) (Chh U 6th P 14th kh B I Vol III p 460) Its also evident from passages of the Smrti as Then forthwith (Bh G 18th Adh 55) Having known me in truth he enters me For it (the 1 nowledge of Brahman is the highest knowledge immortality is thereby gained (Manu S 12th Add 8.)

And the passages with regard to a creation ofe serve for the purpose to establish the knowledge of the identity of the soul because the notion of difference is prohibited. Therefore the entrance means the perceptibility of the out as far as it abides in its effect.

Until the ends of the nails (Vide p 104) This means to the ends of the nails the consciousness of the soul extends How did he enter the world? The answer is As in common life a razor is perceived as placed in a razor case or as Visyambhara which means fire—so called from its supporting (bhara) the world Visya—is concealed in a nest viz in wood etc—It is there for on rubbing vood etc fire is perceived—and as razor is placed.

on one side of the case, and as fire is everywhere pervading wood, etc, so, the soul, generally and specially, abides pervading the body. For there it is observed, performing the functions of inspiration, etc, and of seeing, etc. Therefore "they do not behold," do not apprehend "him" the soul, which has entered there, endowed as it is with inspiration, etc, seeing and other functions

But is not in the sentence "They do not behold him," a prohibition of a thing, which has not been obtained before? for no doubt, the beholding has not been obtained in our text

There is no fault in this, for in the passages, relative to the creation, preservation, etc., which were directed towards the acquirements of the knowledge, that the soul is identical, the beholding (knowledge) of it (of the soul) has been introduced. Thus a Mantra declares "Being of this and that form, he became every form for the sake of being seen" (Kath U 5 V 9-10 Mantr B I Vol VIII, p 138 B \overline{A} U 2 A 5 Brahm 19 Kh B I Vol II, p 600)

The reason, why the soul having the functions of inspiration, expiration, etc. is not apprehended, is given in the words: "For when incomplete". because it is not perfect, when inspiring or performing other functions. Whence again proceeds the incompleteness? The answer is for by "inspiring" performing the function of inspiration (Prānana) it is called life (Prāna) for by performing the function of inspiration (Prānana) life (Prāna) inspires, that is to say, performs no other function, as a wood-cutter, or a cook is so called from special work. Therefore because it does not include the other functions, it is incomplete

In the same manner, "when speaking," performing the function of speaking, it is called speech, "when seeing," it is called sight, for because the beholder sees (caste) therefore it is called eye (caksu), when hearing (srnvan), it is called ear (srotram) By the words "when inspiring, it is called life, when speaking, speech," the origin of the power of action is shown, by the words "when seeing,

sight whon hearing ear the origin of the power of knowledge for the objects of the power of knowledge are name and form for nothing is an object of knowledge unless having name and form. The organs of porcoiving them are the eye and the ear and the action to be performed by name and form is intimately united with life. The organ of the manifostation of this action which depends upon life is the word. In this manner speech is mentioned here in illustration of the other organs of action viz the hand foot and the organs of exertion and generation for it will afterwards be said for this all is manifested or this threefold name form and action. (B A 2d A 1st Kh B I Vol II p. 313)

Whon minding mind Mind (Manas) is darived from minding (manuto) and it is the general organ of the manifestation of the power of knowledge

These names of inspiration of are the names of its the souls actions that is to say produced from actions. They are names of action as it were but not object of the substance (of the soul) alone therefore they do not manifest the who e substance of the soul for in this manner the soul although manifested by the action of inspiration etc. and by its forms and names derived from its different actions is still not completely apprehended.

Whoseever worships this or the other special being — separated from its totality as inspiration eite for instance life or the eve as a being unmodified by the totality of the other special actions—whoseever worships this in his mind under the notion that it is the soul does not know Brahman Why? for that soul is incomplete not whole because this soul as separated from this totality of inspiration etc seeing etc and determined only by one or the determining action excludes all the other attributes As long as a per on knows himself only as determined by the activity of his own nature which appears in such sen tences as I see I hear I touch etc so long he does not know perfectly the complete soul

How again seeing does he know? The test answers: "The soul', for it is called soul (Atmu) because it unites (obtains Apnuvan) all the above mentioned different functions of life, etc. The soul, in this manner including all differences, becomes all, for only in its notion as substance it unites (obtains) the differences, produced by actions of inspiration, etc., which are the fictitious attributes of the soul For instance, it will be said "He thinks, as it were, he proceeds, as it were" (B A 4th A 3rd B 8th Kh. B I Vol II, p 730) Therefore "the soul, considering this, let a man worship it', for in this manner the whole why is it the whole? Under this doubt it is said in the text "For in it." "for," because, "in it," in this soul, when considered without fictitious attributes, like the different reflections of the sun in water, the above mentioned differences as life, etc., which are fictitious attributes of the soul and bear different names from their different functions as inspiration, etc, "become one," obtain indifference, therefore "the soul, considering this, let a man worship it '

The passage. "The soul considering this, let a man worship it," is not a regulation, by which the acquirement of something not acquired before is enjoined, as it is acquired on our part, for the knowledge whose object is the soul, is produced by passages of the Sruti, intended to produce the idea of the supreme soul, for instance "That present, perceptible Brahman" (B A 3rd A 4th B 2 Kh. B I Vol II, p 575) "Which is soul" (B A 4th A 3rd B 1st Kh B I Vol II, p 723) Whose nature is knowledge, etc (B A p 305)

Thereby the knowledge of the nature of the soul has removed the ignorance, which is the misunderstanding that the non-soul is the soul, and which creates the fictions of agent, etc, rite and reward. If this ignorance is removed, the thinking of the non-soul is impossible, because desires and other faults are impossible. It remains at last only the

tblnking of the soul Therefore the worship of the soul is on our part not enjoined for it is acquired

We will not now discuss whether the acquirement of the worship of the soul is called proceeding, from the text or permanent but at any rate it is a regulation for some thing not acquired hefore hocause if knowledge and worship are the same it was not acquired before and the identity of the terms of knowledge and worship is evident from the passege of the Sruti commencing. He does not know where knowledge is praised and concluding. The soul considering this lot a man wer hip it (B A p 173) for his such passages of the Srutia. By this he knows this all (B A p 185) and He know the soul etc (B A p 198) knowledge is the same as worship and as it was not acquired hefore it is also the object of a rule.

Nor show people any activity if only the nature of a thing is related. Therefore that passage is a regulation for acquiring something not acquired before

This follows also from its being identical with a regulation concerning rites. As the regulations concerning rites enjoin by such words as Let him sacrifice let him offer so by similar words as Let aman worship it and The soul should be seen etc. the worship of the soul is enjoined wherefore there appears no difference be tween a regulation enjoining the worship of the supreme soul and the former regulations.

This follows also from the reason that knowledge is an act of the mind. As a person by whom an oblation is taken for a dety should reflect on this dety in his mind before performing a sacrifice which is commenced by the word. Vasad by which this and other ceremonies are proved as acts of the mind so the passage. Let a man wor hip indicates that the soul is to be thought of to be meditated upon (B \(\tilde{A} \) \(\tilde{A} \) 2d A 4th B 5th Kh B I V II p 450) and that the act therefore refers to knowledge In

this manner we maintained that the terms "to know" (Veda) and "to worship" had the same meaning

This follows also from the reason that our passage possesses the three parts of a regulation. For instance, in the regulation "Let him sacrifice," etc., viz., what, by what means, and how the three parts, by which future desires are removed, clearly appear. In the same manner, if the regulation "Let a man worship," is about to be fixed, and at the same time the intention, what is to be worshipped, by what means or how, the three parts are established by a passage like this "Let him who is intent on the duties of abstinence (from desires that are foibidden) of the observances of a religious student, of tranquillity and self-restraint, of resting (from all ceremonies), endurance, etc., worship the soul by the mind"

And as by the enunciation of the regulation for the Darsapūrnamāsa, etc, the application of all its parts is established, so by the enunciation of the regulation for the worship of the soul is established the application of the subject of the worship of the soul as found in all the Upanisads. The application of passages as . "He is not this, he is not that" (B A 2d A 3 B 6th K, B J V II, p 435) "Without gross body," one, without duality (Ch U 6 P 2 K P 387) "He overcomes death," etc, is made by showing the speciality of the nature of the soul which is to be worshipped. And the reward is liberation or cessation from ignorance

Others say 'By worshipping should be produced another special knowledge whose object is the soul. By this alone the soul is known, and ignorance also removed The knowledge, produced by the word of the Veda, has not the soul for its object. This sense is also supported by such passages as "Having known, let him form his knowledge" "He is to be seen, to be heard to be thought of, to be contemplated, to be searched, to be enquired"

We do not admit the latter view, because its meaning does not differ from the former. Nor is the passage. "The

soni therefore let a man worship it a regulation for something not acquired before Why? The worls referring to the nature of the soul have no other meaning of anything to be done hy the mind or the external sen es beside the kunwedge produced by the probibiting sentences with reference to the non soul ! For there a ruln has taken effect where beside the knowledge produced by merely hearing the words a person I observed as engaged in its exceution as by the rule of the Darsapurnania Let him who is desirou of heaven sacrifice ledge produced by the word for the regulation of the Daryantirnamilia is not also the performance of its ceremonies. This is dependent on a perfect knowledge and the other requisites but here on the ther hand beside the know edge produced by the pa age :: He 1 not this he is not that establishing the idea of the nul there are es no activity as it doc with regard to the ceremonies of the Dargapurnama a cic and this for the reason that the knowledge produced by that pa sage cau es the ce sation of every activity for the knowledge of a person who is unconcerned in any worldly affair does not produce activity because such sentences as Ho is one without duality ((h U 6 Prip 2 Kh B I Vol III pp 387 389 398)

th U 6 Prip 2 kh B I Vol III pp 387 389 398 1 That art time (etc. pp 148 153 455 457 461) annihilate the knowledge relative to non Brahman or the non soul. And this knowledge being annihilated no desire for action arises. For it would be contradictor.

If it is said that by the knowledge alone produced by such pa ages (as established nature of Brahman) there is no annihilation of the knowledge of a non Brahman ur a non soul we contradict because it is proved by such passages as Thatartthou It is not that I has is the soul One immortal Brahman without duality is thus There is none who sees etc. but him Know this alone as Brahman (Kona U 4 B I Vol VIII p 43)

^{&#}x27;That is to say the scatences which declare the nature of the soul have the same mixing, as sentences which prohibit to comprehend the soul by attributes of the non soul

Nor do those passages set forth the object of a rule with reference to Brahman to be seen, etc, because this, as we already said, does not differ from the meaning first stated. And we said before, that, because by passages, setting forth the true nature of the soul, such as "That art thou," the knowledge of the soul was established at the time of hearing them, no performance, different from the regulation for the object of the seeing, was necessary!

- 1 If you say, 'that, without a rule, by the mere declaration of the nature of the soul, a knowledge of the soul could not arise,' we object, because the knowledge of the soul is produced by hearing passages setting forth the soul, and why do you want to do once more what has been done already?
- 2 If you say, 'knowledge does not arise by hearing such passages,' we do not admit this, because we should otherwise have a retrogress in infinitum. For as (according to your opinion) by hearing the meanings of passages, declaring the soul, without a regulation, knowledge does not arise, it would follow that also by hearing the meanings of the words of the regulation, knowledge could not arise without a regulation, and this would require another regulation. The same would take place on hearing the latter, so that a retrogress in infinitum is evident (by your assumption)
- 3 Further, if you assume, 'that by the continuation of the recollection of the knowledge of the soul, arising from Vedic passages, a meaning is produced, different from the knowledge of what is merely heard, (and that therefore a regulation is necessary),' we do not agree on the ground, that the meaning has been obtained. At the time indeed that the knowledge, whose object is the soul, arises from

¹Where then is the regulation? If there is one, it either takes place—1, with reference to the knowledge of the soul, or 2, to the hearing of the passage, setting forth the knowledge of the soul, or 3, to the continuation of the recollection of the meaning, or 4, to the subduing of the state of the mind But in all these cases it is impossible, as will be shown presently—A G

hoaring passages which produces the idea of the soul at the same time this knowledge at the moment of its production annihilates the false knowledge with reference to itself And if the false knowledge whose object is the soul is annihilated the natural recollections also which are the offects of that false Invaledge and which manifests the differences of a sub tance not the soul coars to exist

This follows also from the knowledge of the unreality of every other thing for if the knowledge of the soul is true it is also known that every other sub tance is unreal as tainted with tran ientness unhappines impurity and many other fault—also from the fact that the substance of the soul is free from thom. Pherefore if the soul is known thore are no longer any roce tections of a knowledge with reference to a non soul. And as at last there exists in reality a continual reco lection of the knowledge on y of the soul in or regulation can be established.

This follow all of from the annihilation of all faults as griof delusion fear weariness and other kinds of unhappines 1 for griof delusion and other faults arise from a knowledge contrary to that recollection as 1s proved by such passages of the Sruti as What delusion is there (Vajan S U B I Vol VII p 14) The wise is not afraid You have O Janaka obtained fearlessness (Br Å p 702) The bonds of the heart are broken etc. (Mund 2d Kh 8th M B I Vol VII p 302)

If you say but then subduing has another meaning therefore there ought to be a regulation for the subduing of the state of the min! (Citta) has a meaning different from the knowledge produced by the words of the Veda And in other philosophical system also the nature of a rule is known by that which is to be done. We deny this

^{&#}x27;This may also be readered and other kinds of unhappiness which is effected by that recollection for grief delusion and other faults arise from a coatrary knowledge

In the Yogasastra

passages, referring to the Dars'apūrnamāsa and other ceremonies?

But are the passages, referring to the Dars'apūrnamāsa and other ceremonies, not proved by their producing a knowledge causing man to act? The same is not found in passages, referring to the knowledge of the soul'

This is true, however, it is no fault, because we have obtained that which is the cause of proof. The cause of proof, namely, is what has before been mentioned, and nothing else. This, however, is rather a merit than a fault, because, if there is something, producing a knowledge united with its effect, which annihilates the cause of every action, it cannot be maintained, that there is no proof of the passages producing the knowledge of the soul.

But passages, mentioned before, such as "Having known, let him form his knowledge," are rather destined for the cause of worship, without the knowledge of the meanings of the passages' This we admit, but they do not refer to a rule for something not acquired before, but to what has been necessarily acquired in our text

'How again can the worship of the soul have been acquired in the text? For it has been said, that by the annihilation of the non-soul there remains at last the permanent continuation of the recollection of the knowledge of the soul' Very good. If this is the case, although perfect knowledge has been obtained, yet by the necessary

 $^{^1}$ Viz, such passages as produce a knowledge which is determined and at the same time united with its effect

For the cause of a proof is neither that which produces a knowledge, by which action is produced, because otherwise no proof of passages were possible by which something is forbidden,—nor that which produces a knowledge prohibitory of an action, because otherwise no proof of passages were possible which enjoin action,—nor that which is different from either, because, in this case, no proof were possible in accordance with the first supposition

That is to say, it has not been obtained from the text, but from something else, not connected with it, viz, the annihilation of the idea of the non-soul

effect of works which are the causes of the assumption of a boly (transmigration) activity will be indispensible for action having Lained entrance in word thought and body is powerful than is the cit city of arrows etc. when in motion. Hence the efficacy of knowledge acquired in the course of di cu sion i weak. Therefore we said before that the uninterrupted recollection of the knowledge of the soul is to be effected by dependence upon the force of aban louing all worldly dealers of indifference to them and of the other ossential causes but it is not a regulation for something not acquired before because the idea of a nece ity to act has not been obtained. Accordingly such passages as Having I nown let him form his knowledge are inlended to establish a rule for the uninterrupted recollection of a knowledge which is obtained for another meaning is impo wille

By employing the term considering this \$1 ide p 100 the worship of the new soul ' funder the idea of the soul) is rather enjoined a if it were said the dear considering the worship it. Here is not the quality of door to be worshipped but the northip of life etc. which has the quality of dear of the sound in the passage under consideration that he employing the term the supreme soul life substance of the non-soul determined by the qualities of the soul is to be worshipped. This is also clear from the difference of that passage from any other where the worship of the soul is origined. Thus for instance it will afterwards be said. Worship the soul as the place of dependence. In this sentence the worship of the soul is evidently intended by using the accusative ose. In this sentence inder consideration however the accusative is not employed and there the soul considering the very hip the ferm soul means something different. Procefor it

This is an objection in the view of the Subbyas viz the non soul is the pradition (the chief) or Mulaprakti (nature as the root of all things) which according to the prisage would obtain the attributes of the soul or the non soul is to be thought of under the attributes of the soul

is evident, that not the worship of the soul is enjoined, but of something else possessed of the qualities of the soul "

We deny this, for as at the end of the passage the idea of the worship of the soul is understood, it is also understood, that the soul alone is to be worshipped, viz, "This, even this is to be conceived in this all, viz, this soul," "Because this soul is more internal," "He knew the soul"

If you say "that there does not alse the notion of the worship (of the soul) as the beholding is prohibited for him who entered, for which soul is said to enter, for the same the beholding is forbidden, as it is evident from the passage, obtained in the text, wiz, "They do not behold him" (p 100) Accordingly the soul is no object of worship"

We deny this, for the beholding is merely prohibited, in consequence of the fault of non-totality, with the intention to guard against the fault of non-totality, not with the intention to guard against the worship of the soul, because the soul is determined in that passage by the differences of inspiration and other actions

And if you say, "that the worship of the soul was not intended," the consequences were, that the passage, referring to the non-totality of the soul, which is determined by individual functions, as inspiration, etc., would be without use, viz, "for that soul is incomplete, it is determined only by this or the other individual functions" (Vide p 100.) Hence it is proved that the soul, possessed of many functions, on account of its totality, is an object of worship. The final application, however, of the term "soul" after the term "considering this," is in truth only to show that the real notion of the soul is no object whatever of the inflective base of the word atman (soul) and its inflectional terminations, (that is to say, the supreme soul cannot be described by any words,) otherwise the accusative case would have been used "Let him worship the soul" If this were the meaning, (that is, if, without the formula "considering this" the word atma should be applied) then

the inflective by a and one of its terminations would be applied to the soul. But this is not admitted as a clear from such passages of the Sputt as It is not this It's not that. How should one know him who know. Unknown is he who knows (BA p 473) I rom who a words and the mind return without having obtained him ITaitt U 2d A 9 A B I vol VII p 119) The passage however. If their worship the soul as the place. Is not a different word because it is designed to remove the worship of the non soul.

If it is said. Both the soul and non soul are to be known as they have the common notion of not being per feetly known. Why then is here made an effort to worship the soul alone as it is all. The soul considering this let one worship it and not also an effort with regard to the other knowledge? The answer to this is. This oven this which is obtained in the text, is to be comprehended nothing else in this all (the sixth case in 5 ml frt. Assa Sarvasya i for the sake of determination used for the seventh. Asmin Sarva min I this soul the nature of the soul. Shall this not be known? If so why not cleave he not required different from the knowledge of the soul. Why? for because by the knowledge of the soul.

If the objection is made by another knowledge is not known another thing we shall refute it afterwards by the passage referring to the drum etc.

How again is this to be conceived? The answer is As verily in common life by a foot print (a foot print is called a place marked by the hoof of a cow etc.) one who makes a search by means of a foot print finds recovers outfle that had been lost and whose recovery is desired thus when the soul is obtained.

If the soul is known all other is known and know lodge we may admit is obtained in the text. But then how

can it be called obtaining, a term which is not authorized by the text? This is not the case, because the terms of knowledge and of "obtaining" have one and the same meaning according to the intention of the speaker for the non-obtaining of the soul is even ignorance. Therefore is knowledge the obtaining of the soul, and the obtaining of the soul is notlike the obtaining of anything which is not the soul be comprehended by the notion of an acquiring of what was not acquired before, because there is no difference (here) between the obtainer and what is to be obtained, for where the non-soul is to be obtained by the soul, there is the soul the obtainer, and the non-soul what is to be obtained This (non-soul) is not acquired, as concealed by the action of producing, etc., and is to be obtained when producing a special action by the production of a special agent, but it falls under the notion of an acquirement of something not acquired before, and is transient, because it is the effect of an action in accordance with a desire, produced by false knowledge, as the obtaining of son in a dieam But the soul, which is contrary to the former (non-soul), is by its nature as soul not concealed by the actions of production, Therefore, as there exists the nature of something eternally obtained, is ignorance only concealment. As the non-apprehension of mother-of-pearl, although apprehended, which appears through erior as silver, is merely a concealment of the opposite knowledge, so is apprehension even mere knowledge, because knowledge has destroyed the concealment by the opposite knowledge Thus in this case also is the non-obtaining of the soul the concealment of it merely by ignorance Therefore by knowledge must be understood an obtaining, which annihilates ignorance merely, and no other obtaining Therefore we shall say attenuards, that in respect of the obtaining of the soul, any cause, which has any meaning different from knowledge, 15 Therefore the text, without any doubt, intending to set forth the identity of the terms of "knowledge" and "obtaining" after having mentioned knowledge in the text, says. "One finds" (as Vindati, "to find, 'has the meaning

¹ According to its nature, adds A

of I abha obtaining this is stated as the effect from the know edge of the qualities)

As that soul by entoring with name and form acquired fame to with the names and forms of the soul etc. and as it obtained completeness (Sloka) that is to say the totality of life and other actions so a person who thus knows finds obtains fame (kirti) celebrity and completenes (Slokal a multitude of followers together with his friends

Or the passage may also thus be understood. A per on who knows the substance in the declared manner obtains the knowledge of identits (which is understood by the term kirti.) de ired by those who strive for liberation and tha fruit of that Invilodge (which is under tood by the term Sloka) liberation that is to say the principal fruit

8 तदतन्त्रेय पुत्रत्येत्र विचा त्रेतेऽन्यत्मात्सवस्मादन्तरत्तर् यन्त्रमात्मा स याजन्यमा मन प्रिय तुवाण त्रूयात् प्रिय- रात्स्यताताश्चरा ह तत्रैय स्थानात्मानम्ब प्रियमुपासात् स य आत्मानमय प्रितमुपास्त न हान्य प्रिय प्रमातक भवति ॥

This is dearer than a son dearer than wealth dearer than any other thing dearer than all because this soul is more internal. Whoseever says to one saying anything else to be dearer than the soul

What is dear is to perish, he is the lord therefore verily it should be so. A person should worship as dear even the soul. Whosever worships as dear the soul to him is not dear a perishable object.

And why then is the nature of the soul to be known without regard to other things? The answer 1 This the nature of the soul is dearer more beloved than a son for a son is generally known as dear By saying that is more beloved even than this it is shown how

exceedingly dear it is In the same manner it is dearer than "wealth," gold, jewels, etc, in the same manner dearer than any other thing," which is generally known as dear, that is to say, therefore dearer than all

Why then is the nature of the soul more beloved, why not rather life, etc? The answer is The totality of life is more internal, is nearer the soul than what is external, a son, wealth, etc, "because this soul," this nature of the soul, "is more internal" than what is more internal For a person who in common life is exceedingly dear, must be obtained by every effort. In this manner is the soul the dearest of all that is dear in common life, that is to say, great efforts should be made to obtain it Why again, discarding an effort, considered as a duty, with regard to the obtaining of other dear objects, shall by the abandonment of either of the dear objects the soul and nonsoul--with reference to the obtaining of the production of one, the abandonment of the other lather be made by the obtaining of the production of the soul, why not the contrary?

In reply to this it is said "Whosoever (mz, a person who holds that the soul is the dearest object) says to one saying anything else," some particular non-soul, for instance a son, etc, "to be more beloved than the soul," what? "What is dear," in thy opinion, a son or the like, "is to perish" (rotsyati) is to obtain concealment or ceasing of life (Pranasamrodham) that is, "is to be destroved." On what ground can be say so? Because "he is the lord," because he is able, fit to say so, therefore it should be so, that he obtains the ceasing of life, as has been said by him for he is a speaker of truth therefore he is the lord to say every thing. Some understand by the term "Isvara" (which has before been explained by able, and whose original meaning is ruler, ford) "quick. If it is accomplished, then, abandoning every other dear object, a person should worship as dear only the soul. Whosoever worships as dear even the soul, to him, it is considered, is the soul alone dear, and no other object. Having come to

the certainty that an, other worldly object which is dear is oven it reality not duar be workings he meditates to him who thus knows is not dear a perishab o object an object whose nature is subject to death. The senience flot him worship as dear even the soul) is either only a repetition of what is certain (of the senience by which knowledge of the soul is produced) because for one who knows the soul nothing is dear or not duar or it is add for the praise of the knowledge that the soul is dear or for the determination of the fruit of the attribute of 'dear because it is producing faith in the perishable nature of all dear objects in the mind of one whose knowledge of the soul is of a suggist kind

९ तदाहरक्विया या गरियता गतुष्या ग्लाम्स सिम् रहता नेनलात सम्मन्ति॥

This they declare to be the knowledge of Brahman by which men think we shall become all. What then know that Brahman by which he lecan e all?

The knowledge of Brahman has been declared in the aphorism. The soul considering this let a man worship it. Wishing to explain this very aphorism for which the who's Upanisad has been composed the text exemplifies it under the desire of etting forth its necessity lend).

Thi it the think to be set forth to be manifested in the next sentence—they declare—they it the Brithmanas these who are desirous to know Brahman having repaired to the Guru (spiritual teacher) the vessel over the future shordes occan of the pain of exertion caused by the uninterrupted revolution of the wheel of birth old are and death who are decirous of crossing over to its shore who are separated from the nature of cause and effect use the cause of virtue and vice and its consequences and who are desirous of obtaining the eternal incomparable good free from those couses

What do they declare? The answer is: "the knowledge of Brahman", Biahman means the supreme soul, the knowledge by which this is comprehended, is the knowledge of Brahman, "men, think, we shall become all" the totality. The term "man" is used for the purpose to indicate the special subject of this knowledge for men alone are the subjects of effecting in a special manner either a state of elevation or of liberation. This is the intention of the text. As with regard to works they think, that fruits will necessarily follow from works, so they think, that from the knowledge of Brahman the fruit which is the state of universal identity, will necessarily follow for as to their being proved by the Veda, there is no difference between them (the works and Brahman)

There is something contradictory indicated Therefore we ask. "What then knew that Brahman," by whose knowledge men think, we shall become all? What knew that, "by which," knowledge he became this all, and Brahman is all according to the Sruti

If he, (Brahman) not entirely knowing anything, became all, then it (all) may also belong to others, and of what use would then be the knowledge of Brahman? If knowing something it became all, then, as it is effected by knowledge, all (the effect from knowledge) is like the effect from work, and therefore the notion of transientness is in fact applied. Moreover, the effect from a knowledge of Brahman, which (effect) is the state of the nature of all, has the fault of a regress into infinitum, namely, knowing something else than this, he became all, and further knowing something else, etc., etc. However, if knowing all, it became all, there is not the fault of a contradiction of the meaning of the Sastra, and therefore not the fault of a

In the text is adhikera, which means "possession," "competent knowledge". I translated adhikera, as conveying the idea more electly.

In the scale of existence, its, the stat of happiness in the different worlds

In issigning to man the notion of subject.

Something means here the edict from knowledge -A.G.

transientness of the effect. If knowing semething only this Brahman became all we ask. What then know that Brahman by which he became all?

10 ब्रह्म वा इद्राग्न आसीत्तदात्मानमवानिक ब्रह्मास्मीति तस्मात्तत्सवनिम् भनत् तद्यो यो देशाना प्रत्यनुष्यत स एव तत्मनन्तर्वाणा तत्रा मनुत्याणा तद्रात्परान्त्रविमामत्य प्रतिपद्रह्ह मनुरमवर सुयक्षेति तदित्मप्नेताई य एव वेत्राह ज्ञान्मीति स इत्र स्व भनति तस्य ह न द्वाज नाभूत्रा इशते आत्मा रोपा स भनव्य योजन्या देशताम साम्त्रज्ञाज्याज्याज्ञाहरूमस्मीति न स वेत्र यथा पुरेषण्य देशाम स्वाना यमा ह ये यहव पशवा मनुत्य मुल्युरेग भने भ पुरुषा देशान मनुत्यभानिकार्य भनति सिम् ज्ञानुत्र सुन्मादेषा सन्न प्रिय पदेतन्मनुत्य। विद्यु ॥

Brahman vorily was this bofere therefore he knew even the soul (himself)

I nm verily Brahman Therefore he became all

Whoseover among the gods comprehended it ho alone became it thus whoseover among the Ryss whoseover among men

Knowing that this is that the Ret Vamadeva vorily obtained these Minitrie. I became Manu I became the Sun. Whosoever knows this Brahman also in this time in the said manner. I am Brihman oven the gods verily are not able to provent him from its possession (The possession of the state of all.)

For he becomes the soul of them

Then whosoever werships another deity in such a manner as He is unother another am I does not know like a beast ho is used by the gods As verily many beasts maintain a man, so every man maintains the gods. It is not pleasant, even if only one beast is taken away, how then, if many? Therefore it is not pleasant to them, that men should know this.

Having thus said, the text gives an answer, untouched by any blemish. "Brahman," "viz, the inferior Brahman," because it is obtained under the notion of a "cause of the nature of all", for it is impossible to obtain by knowledge the nature of all with regard to the supreme Brahman, and the text speaks of an obtaining of the nature of all by means of knowledge, in the words "Therefore this became all" Therefore the words "Brahman verily was this before," cannot mean anything else but the inferior Brahman

Or "By making man the subject of the knowledge, a Brahmana who is to become this Brahman, may be designated For in the passage 'Men think, we shall become all,' men are obtained in the text, and it is said that they are in a special manner the subjects to effect elevation or liberation, this is not said either of the supreme Brahman, or of the inferior Prajapati Therefore, on account of his knowledge of Brahman, is here designated Brahman, (Brahmana), who is to become a supreme Brahman, who, by the knowledge of the inferior Brahman, viz, the knowledge, that duality is identity, a knowledge which is connected with works, has obtained the state of an inferior Brahman, who has renounced all enjoyment, and who by obtaining all, has broken the bonds of desire and work It appears also in common life, that a term is applied with reference to its future state, for instance, in the sentence 'He cooks the boiled rice, (Odana means rice which is boiled.) and also in the S'astra, for instance Let a Parivrajaka (a person who has already obtained a

¹ Here the opinion of the Vrtikrts is given, which is the view of the Bhartrprapanca Bhāsya, viz, because the nature of all is obtained under the notion of something to be accomplished (of an effect, viz, from knowledge) it is impossible, that the supreme Brahman should have obtained the nature of all by knowledge.

state entirely devoted to the contemplation of God) give to all beings the blessing of fearlessness. In this manner some explain a Brāhmaņa as a person who is to become Brahman

This is not right because if the nature of all is obtained the fault of transiontness is committed for it is impossible that in this world any person should in reality obtain by any cause another state and at the same time be eternal In the same manner if by means of the knowledge of Brahman the nature of all should be obtained and this state be at the same time ofernal there is a contradiction If on the other hand it (Liz the nature of all) is considered as non eternal the before mentioned fault takes place viz that there is a resomblance with the effects from works But if you think that the abolition of the nature of non totality (individual existence) which (nature of non totality) 15 the effect of ignorance and the obtaining of the nature of all is the effect of a knowledge of Brahman then the fiction of a person who is to become Brahman is useless But of you thin! that even before the knowledge of Brahman every creature by its possessing the nature of Brahman has eternally obtained the nature of all that the state of non Brahman and of non totality is superimposed in reality by ignorance and that, as silver is superimposed on mother of pearl or as any special pace (Atala means literally a division of the infernal regions) of standing or unclearness on the sky so are also here the notions of non Brahman and of non totality superimposed by ignorance and removed by the knowledge of Brahman if you think thus then it is right to say that in reality the supreme Brahman was because in the passage Brahman verily was this before the literal meaning of the term Brahman is supreme Brahman for the yeda declares a meaning as it in reality is but the fiction according to which a person who is to hecome Brahman is called Brahman at variance with the meaning of the term Brahman 18 not right because a hypothesis by which the meaning of the Sruti is lost and a fiction is made of something not acquired in the Sruti is inadmissible unless there he some etrong necessity

If you say 'that, without being produced by ignorance, there may exist the nature of non-Brahman and non-totality,' we object, because it is impossible that in this case they could be removed by the knowledge of Brahman, for it is never observed that knowledge either destroys or creates attributes of any actual thing, but it is everywhere observed as the destroyer of ignorance. In the same manner let also in our case the knowledge of Brahman remove the nature of non-Brahman and non-totality, as the productions of ignorance, but the knowledge of Brahman is never able to create or destroy any real thing Therefore the hypothesis, by which the meaning of the Sruti is lost, and a fiction is made of something, not found in the Sruti, is even without use

If you say, that ignorance with regard to Brahman is impossible,' we do not agree, because knowledge is enjoined with regard to Brahman, for if mother-of-pearl has become an object of the eye, then its nature is never known by such a sentence. This is mother-of-pearl and not silver, unless there is a superimposition of (the notion of) silver upon it. In the same manner, from such passages as. "This all alone existing," "This all alone Brahman," "This all merely the soul," "This is not duality, non-Brahman," the knowledge of identity with reference to Brahman could never be enjoined, unless there is a superimposition of ignorance upon Brahman. We do not say (like you), as there is a superimposition with regard to mother-of-peail, so there is not a superimposition of the nature of non-Brahman (atad). What then? Brahman is not the cause of the superimposition of the nature of non-Brahman upon his own self, and is not the producer of ignorance.

We admit, that Brahman is not producer of ignorance nor deluded, but do not admit, that there is another deluded conscious being (beside Brahman which could be the producer of the ignorance of non-Brahman This is evident from such passages of the Sruti as. "There is no other knower than him." "There is nothing else which knows

but him I hat art thau (Ch U P 448) He know the soul (B U 184) I am the cul (B A p 200)

Another is he another am I He daes not knaw And alse from such passages of the Sinti as Whoseover beholds Ged as the same in all beings eta (Bhag Git 13 Adh 27th S1) I am the soul O Gudakesa dwelling in the heart of all beings (Bh G, 10th Adh 20th S1) The wise see the amo in the dog and the man wha lives upen dogs meat (Bh G oth Adh 18th S1) And from such Mantras as Wha beholds all being in the seul and In whom all beings are like the seul (Va) S U M 6 and 7 B I Vol VII p 13)

But if this is the case the advice of the Sastra is without any use? Well this may in this manner be admitted if Brahman is known but if you say also the knowledge is useless was abject because the cessation of non understanding is visible. If it is said. That cessation is oven impossible because there is identity we object because this is centradictory to what is visible far it is visible that there is a cessation from non understanding by the knowledge of identity.

If any ane says that avon whon seen it is impossible it is cantradictory to what is visible and a contradiction to what is visible is by ne bedy admitted

Nor when visible is anything in reality impossible because it is even seen

If it is said that the seeing is impossible the same argument belds good

If it is said From such passages of the Sruti as the fadewing. He becomes hely by hely work (BA p 546) knewledge and work entored him. The Porusa 1 the comprehender agent the knewing soul 1t is evident that there 1s another mundane soul different from the supreme

The cessation of non-knowledge is either different from Brahman and then there non duality is lost or it is not different and then it cannot be comprehended under the idea of knowledge

sout And this different soul is the supreme Brohman, which follows from such passages of the Stutias. He is not this, he is not that (B $\bar{\Lambda}$, p. 435). The soul liberated from sin, without old age, without death. The ruler of this universe. (B $\bar{\Lambda}$ p. 628)

Also in the Sastia of Kanāda, Aksapāda and others God (Isvaia) is proved as different from the mundane soul, for through the desire to remove the unhappiness, arising from the world, activity is perceived with the embodied soul (and not with God), and hence the difference between God and mundane soul is clear

And the Siuti and Smiti declare "He, without speech without honour" There is nothing, O Partha, to be done by me in the three worlds" (B G 3d A 2?) "He is to be searched, to be enquired into" (Ch U, p 571) "A person, knowing him is not contaminated (B Ā B I Vol II, p 913) "Whosoever knows Brahman, obtains the supreme Brahman" (T U d A 1st An 1st M) "He (Brahman) is to be contemplated in a uniform manner" (B Ā 6th Adh 1th Br 20 B I Vol II, p 889). "Knowing that which is undestroyable, O Gargi" (B Ā 5th Ad 8th B 10 B I Vol II, p 536) The firm knowing him" (B Ā, p 829) "The great word (the letters A U M being joined) is like a bow, and the soul like the arrow, and Brahman what is aimed at by it" (2d Mund U 4th M B I, p vol vir p 228) In all these passages there is a declaration of agent and action

This is also evident from the declaration, that the roads to elevation are different from the road of him who strives after liberation. If there were no difference, to what place could there be proceeded by any one? And if there were not, a difference of the northern and southern roads and of the places to be proceeded to, would be impossible, but if the mundane soul is different from the supreme, all this is possible

¹ A name of Gautama, the founder of the Nyāya

This follows also from the diclaration with reforance to the causes of knowledge and work. If the immediate soul is different from Brahman the declaration indirect of the former about work and knowledge as boing the can either of elevation or liberation is consistent but it is not with reference to God because all desires are obtained by him

If it is therefore (on all those grounds) said. It is proper that the term. Brahman means a person who is to become Brahman we object because the application of the declaration with regart to Brahman would be usele a for if the mundane sout which is not Brahman but is to become Brahman on the strength of the justage. Knowing the soul I am verity Brahman should become all the advice with regart to Brahman would be indoubtedly useless because the offect which is the state of the nature of all would be accomplished by the knowledge of the soul which is to be accomplished by the knowledge of the regulation concerning work) could note be applied to the accomplishment of the list aim of man.

Brohman in the passage I am Brahman is for the sake of transforming the mundane soul to the nature of Brahman we object for if the nature of Brahman is not perfectly known what can the passage I am Brahman transform? If his nature is known a transformation is possible. By passages in our text such as That soul is verily Brahman (B \(\tilde{A} \) 6th Adh 4th Br 5 B I Vol II p 849). That present perceptible Brahman (B \(\tilde{A} \) 5th Ad 4th Br I B I Vol II p 375). Which soul (B \(\tilde{A} \) p 575). This is true. This is soul. He who knows Brahman obtains the supreme (T U 2nd A 1st A 1st M) and by such a conclusion as From this very soul, the term Brahman and soul are more than a thousand times placed on the

If it is said that the declaration with regard to

[&]quot;If it is said that the advice with reference to Brahman is useful under the condition that it is the object of a regulation wo ask whether it is regulation regarding work or regarding worship? Either assumption is contradictory

same ground, and therefore it is evident, that they have the same meaning, for transformation of another takes place to the nature of another, and not to identity

And the passage "Because this all is that soul," proves the identity of the soul, which has been set forth in the text, and which is to be seen afterwards. Therefore, it is impossible, that the soul could be transformed to the nature of Biahman

It is also impossible to conceive with regard to Brahman any other effect because in such passages as "He who knows Brahman becomes Brahman," the obtaining of Brahman only is declared

"If the transformation is the obtaining of Brahman," we object, for another state of another is impossible

If it is said, "that, according to the word of the Sruti the obtaining of the state of Brahman, even implies transformation," we object, because transformation is only a notion "not something real" and we said, that knowledge had no other agency but to destroy a false knowledge, a word has no power to produce any thing, for the Sastra teaches, but does not create, this is evident

From such passage as: "He entered this world", (B \overline{A} , p 77) it is certain, that it is the supreme Brahman who entered, therefore it is not right to explain the term "Brahman" by a person who is to become Brahman 1

This is also evident on the ground, that the meaning which you wish to establish, is an obstacle to the admitted meaning, for the knowledge that, like a lump of rock-salt, Brahman is of the same taste (substance) without difference without or within, -which is the meaning intended to be expressed in all Upanisads, is clearly established at the end of two Kandas (of this Upanisad, viz, the Madhu Kanda and Muni Kanda), viz, "This is the declaration" (p 503)

¹ For instance, the road which leads upwards through the fire, that is to say, through sacrifices, etc.—A G

and So far extends this cause of immertality (B A B I Vol 11 p 930)

In the same manner is the knowledge of the identity of Brahman the certain meaning of the Upanisads of all the Sakhas

If by the passage Ho knew even the seul (p. 62) a mundane soul different from Brahman is assumed it would be an obstacle to the admitted meaning. If it were so then by the contradiction of the commencement and of the conclusion of this Sa tra an inconsistency would be assumed.

Also the declaration would be impossible for if by the passage He knew even the soul a mundame soul were assumed there could not be a declaration of the knewledge of Brahman because then the passage He knew even the soul would refer to the knewledge of the mundame soul alone

If it is said that the term seul means something different from the knower we object because it is the predicate of I in the passage. I am verily Brahman and if anything else were the object of knowledge it would have been determined. This is that but not. I am As in the passage. I am verily Brahman. Brahman is the predicate and as it is determined in the passage. He know even the soul. It is distinctly understood that the soul alone is Brahman. If this is the case the declaration of the knowledge of Brahman is possible not otherwise, for the knowledge would be otherwise.

Nor is the Incidedge of Brahman possible if the nature of Brahman and non Brahman were to belong to one subject because there would be in reality a contradiction as darkness is contradictory at the manifestation of the sun

Nor if both (Brahman and non Brahman) were the objects of knew edge would the definite declaration of a knowledge of Brahman be proper because in this ease

there should be a knowledge of Brahman and of the mundane soul

Nor is it proper to assume a substance with contradictory attributes, for in this case, the knowledge of the truth being declared, doubt would arise in the mind of the hearer, and a definite knowledge is required to accomplish the last end of man. From the following passages of the Siuti and Smrti "Of whom there is even no doubt," "A person who doubts perishes," it is evident, that whosoever is desirous of the welfare of others must not say the meaning of a sentence which is doubtful

If it is maintained, "that in (your explanation of) the passage. He knew even the soul, therefore he became all," the not very skilful assumption is made of Brahman being like us and similar beings, an agent "(viz, as the subject of knowledge) we protest, because this notion is conceived in the Sastra, for it is not our assumption, but that of the Sastra, therefore the Sastra is to be blamed (which you would probably not do). And by him, who is anxious about his welfare, must not be given up the literal meaning of Brahman by an assumption at variance with the meaning of the Sastra.

An obstinacy on your part which goes so far, is also not proper, for all difference with regard to Brahman is only a fiction, and must be considered under the notion of identity, as follows from a hundred passages, such as 'Here is nothing different', 'for where there is duality, as it were', 'one alone without duality' Every action of man in fact with regard to Brahman, is a fiction, wherefore it is said very little, that this assumption is not skilful

Therefore (in the passage Brahman verily was this before) "Brahman" means the Brahman who entered, who is the creator The term "verily" is used for the sake of determination "This," all that is perceived as embodied (in the shape of a body) "Before" Previously even to apprehension (awakening) was Brahman alone, and all this, but from the moment of the apprehension there is the

opinion — I am non Brahman I am not all —the effect of superimposition hence the superimposition I am agont I am performer of coremonios I am the mundance soul the enjoyer of fruits happy unhappy etc but in reality there is Brahman alone and this all which is soparate from him He (tat) who has in any manner been made known by a merciful teacher in the sentence

Theu art not the mundane soul knew even the soul in its own nature and the term oven means the soul

free from any differences superimposed by ignorance

Say then which is the soul in its own nature which Brahman know as the soul? Do you not recollect the soul? for it has declared thus He who having entered this equalises?

Ho who having entered this equalises?

But then you declare him in the same manner as if you say This is a cow this is a horse you do not show him directly

Well then the soul is the beholder the hearer the thinker the knower

But then here also you do not show directly the nature of the agent of the actions of seeing hearing eto for the action of going is not the nature of the goor nor the action of cutting the nature of the cutter

Then let us say the soul is the beholder of the beholding the hearer of the hearing the thinker of the thinking the knower of the knowing

But how differs this from the mere beho'der? Where there is a beholder of the beholding or the beholder of a lar there is in overy instance a beholder alone but whether it is a beholder of the beholding or a beholder of a lar there is no difference for it is oven a beholder

There is a difference The beholder of the beholding if there is a beholding constantly sees the beholding the beholding is at no time not seen by the beholder therefore

the beholding belongs permanently to the beholder. If the beholding of the beholder is transient, then the beholding, which is to be seen, does at some or the other time not behold, as for instance, where a jar and the like is seen by a transient beholding. Moreover the beholder of that beholding sees never the beholding.

"But then there are two beholdings of the beholder, one, the permanent, which is invisible, and the other a transient one, which is visible"

Be it so On the one hand, the transient beholding of the beholder is evident from the appearance of blindness and non-blindness, for if this beholding were constant, no one would be blind The permanent beholding of the beholder, on the other hand, is evident from the passage of "For there is no variance between the beholder and the beholding" (B A B I Vol II, p 803) It follows also from inference, for it is observed that in a dream there is a beholding of the blind, by which a jar, for instance, is an object of manifestation This beholding of the beholder, then, is not destroyed by the destruction of the other beholding By this unperishable permanent beholding, which is identical with itself, which is called self-manifesting light, seeing the other transient beholding, which remains in a dream, and which, among the two beholdings, resembles the knowledge of an impression, the beholder is the beholder of the beholding If this is the case, the beholding is even his nature, like the heat of the fire, and there is not another conscious beholder as is the opinion of the followers of Kanada "This," Brahman, "knew even the son," viz, the soul, like the permanent beholding, and free from the transient beholding, superimposed by ignorance

"But then the knowledge of the knower is prohibited, as follows from the passage of the Sruti 'Thou dost not know the knower."

We say, No, for there is no prohibition of knowledge In the same manner must be understood the beholder of the beholding This follows also from its independence of another knowledge for if it is known that the beholding of the beholder is permanent no other beholding of which the beholder is the object is expected for it ceases the desire of a beholding which is the object of the beholder as this is improbable for no one has a desire if there is no object (of the desire). Nor has the visible beholding the power to make the beholder its object so that one could desire it. Nor is there any desire of any one whose object is one sown nature. Accordingly by the passage. He knew even the soul it is said that there is a cessation from ignorance but not that the soul is made an object.

How did ho know? The onswer of the text is I the helolding of the helolding the soul am verily Brahman and Brahman means the present perceptible soul which is within all has overcome hunger etc which is not this which is not that and has therefore the characteristics not to be of gross body not to be an atom etc

This alone am I not another mundane soul as you said Therefore from a knowledge of such a kind he Brahman become oil From the disappearing of the superimpetition of non Brahman by the cessation of the effect of it (the superimpesition of non Brahman he became all Therefore it is alone proper to say men think that by the knowledge of Brahman we shall become all What has been asked before What then know that Brahman from which he became all is now defined viz Brahman in truth was this before he know even the soul therefore be became this all

In respect of this whosoever among the gods comprehended the soul in the manner explained (viz according to its true notion) he alone the enlightened soul became it Brahman thus whosoever among the Rais thus whosoever among men (comprehended the soul became this all)

By the terms among the gods etc a reference is made to the different worlds and therefore the knowledge of

Brahman is not mentioned thereby, but we declared, that by the passage. "Brahman (Purusa) entered before," Brahman everywhere entered within Therefore the terms "among the gods" are used with reference to the knowledge of the different worlds, which is produced by the superimposition of body, etc. In reality, however, was Brahman here and there before, viz, before comprehension, in the bodies of the gods, etc., otherwise he would be an object of investigation

The meaning of the passages "He knew even the soul, and also he became all is, that the fruit of this knowledge of Brahman is the obtaining of the nature of all To establish this meaning firmly, the Sruti quotes some Mantras How? "knowing, that this Brahman, is that," the soul "I am Brahman," from this knowledge of Biahman alone "the Rsi Vāmadeva," by name, verily "obtained" these Mantras He, firmly established in the knowledge of the nature of Brahman, saw these Mantras "I became Manu, I became the sun," etc

By the words "Knowing that this is that," Brahman, the knowledge of Brahman is shown, by the words "I became Manu, I became the sun," etc, the text shows that the fruit of the knowledge of Brahman is the obtaining of the state of all Knowing, he obtained the fruit, the state of the nature of all, thus by this declaration the text makes known the liberation, which is effected by means of the knowledge of Brahman, as he who eats gets satisfied

Some one may have the impression "The obtaining of the state of all by the knowledge of Brahman was possible for the great gods by their extraordinary power, but not at present for beings of the present Yuga, especially not for men because they have only little power. To remove this objection, the text says "Whosoever," free from external desires, "knows this Brahman, set forth in the text, as having entered all beings and possessed of the characteristics of knowledge, action, etc., "also in this," the present, "time," whosoever knows this as the soul alone in

the said manner I am Brahman who having discarded oll differences superimposed no knowledge by delusions produced by fictitious attributes (upadin) knows I am only Brahman affected by ony worldly characteristics who is not within who is not without -he from the cessation of the state of non totality become this all by the knowledge of Brahman There is no difference with regard to Brahman or to the knewledge of him among persons of great power such as Vamadeva and persons of little power such as the present But there is a doubt whother the present mon are adequate to obtain the fruit of the knewledge of Brahman To remove this the text says Even the gods of extraordi nary power are not able to prevent him who knews Brahman in the said manner from its possession from ob taining the state of all like Brahman How then others ? But there is no doubt that the gods and the like are able to create obstacles to obtaining the fruit of the knowledge of Brahman as it is said The mortals are in the condition of debtors towards the gols for the Sruti shows that man even whon born is indebted to the Reis by the duties of a religious student to the gods by sacrifices and to the forefathers by his offspring This follows from the declara tion that men are like beasts to the gods and also from the Then that soul is the place of all beings

From this argument that the god from the desire to preserve the state of the soul create obstacles to men subject to anothers will like dobtor a obtain the state of immertality—arises that apprehension. The gods preserve their beasts like their bodies for the text shows afterwards that the maintenance of gods set which depends upon work becomes greater because each single man worth many beasts for it will be said. Therefore it is not pleasant to them that men should know this (p. 82)

As one for his own body verily wishes welfare so all 0 wish all bloogs welfare for one who knows thus (B \tilde{A} p 255) If a man has the knowledge of Brahman then with the ceasing of dependance there exists no longer the nature of ones own body and of a dependance like cattle this is the intended meaning as is evident from the two passages

about "the not being pleasant," and the "welfare" Therefore the gods raise, even to the knower of Brahman, obstacles to obtaining the fruit from the knowledge of Brahman, for they are powerful

But then, if this is the case, the gods raise obstacles also to obtaining other effects of works, like the drinking of what is drinkable. Alas, then, there is an end of the faith, that practice (of ceremonies and knowledge) is the cause of elevation and liberation. In the same manner, on account of his inconceivable power, god is able to raise obstacles, in the same manner, time, action, charms, drugs and penances, for that they are causes of obtaining or not obtaining the fruit, is evident from the Sastra and common belief, hence there is no faith in any practice in accordance with the Sastras

We reply to this, No, for all things are produced by their necessary causes and the world shows a valuety (of happiness and unhappiness), both of which is impossible under the supposition, that all arises from its own nature But when the supposition, that (ceremonial) work is the cause of (the enjoyment of) happiness and unhappiness and of other consequences, is firmly established and confirmed by the statements of the Veda, Smrti, argument, and common helief, it is clear that the gods, Isvara and time are not opposed to the acquirement of the fruit of works, because works are the causes of what is desired, for the good or bad work of men, independent of the gods, time, Isvara and other agents, is by itself without effect (liter does not produce itself), and if it should even have effect by itself, it is not able to give the fruit, as it is the nature of action to be produced by agents and other numberless causes fore the gods, Isvara, etc., favour actions, and hence there cannot be a disbelief as to the obtaining of the fruit Sometimes actions are subject also to them (to the gods) because their power cannot be destroyed, but whether the nature of action or time, gods, thing, etc., is the first or second, is not determined, and is difficult to be understood, hence arises the delusion of the people Some say, action

is the cause and not anything else with reference to the obtaining of the fruit others it is tho gods other time others the nature of the thing other all the elsewher With regard to this the statements of the Voda and the Smith acknowledge action as the principal cause as for instance. Holy gets a person by holy action sinful by sinful. Even if one or the other of the eagents with regard to its own object should become principal while at the ame time the principal power of the others were stopped yet it could not be said that works is not the principal agent as to the acquirement of the fruit because the principal agency of work is established by the Sa tra and argument.

(But if it be asked whether the gods do not hin ler the acquirement of the effect of I nowledge we answer) No because on the more cessation of ignorance the effect is the obtaining of Brahman In re pect of what has been aid that the gods raise ob tacles to the effect which is the obtaining of Brahman the gods have no pe ver to rai c obstacles Why? becau e there is no time intervening between the knowledge of Brahman and the effect which is the obtaining of Brahman How? As in common life at the very time when hight is in centact with the evo of the boholder there is the manife tation of co our so at the very time when the knowledge whose object is the sou takes place there is the disappearance of the ignorance whose object is the seul. Therefore when the knewledge of Brahman exists an offeet cent ary to it is impo ib o just as it is impossible that the effect of light is darkne s. Where (When the knowledge of Brahman exist) the knewer of Brahman is the very soul (sub tance) of the gods hew can they in any way raise obstacles to him?

The text then declares what I this very nature is Brahman identical with himself (whose nature is the soul) who is to be thought of who may be known from all the Sastras for he the knowor of Brahman become the soul of them of the gods at the very time when thore exists the knowledge of Brahman by the mere cossation of the screen of ignorance like the nature of mother of pearl

which appears as silver, as we said before. Therefore the endeavour of the gods has no success against the soul, for where an effect ensues, not referring to the nature of the soul, and where is a difference of space, time and causes, there, in respect of any thing whose object is not the soul, the endeavour of the gods to raise obstacles is successful, but not in respect of the effect referring to the nature of the soul, which takes place at the time of the knowledge without any difference of space, time and causes, because an opportunity (to raise obstacles) is impossible

"But then, since there is no continuation of the (first) apprehension of the knowledge of Brahman, and since (after the first apprehension) the contrary apprehension (=nonsoul), and its effects are apparent, only the last apprehension of the soul destroys the ignorance, and not the first "1" (If the last knowledge destroys ignorance it is either, because it is the last, or because its object is the soul. Not under the first alternative, because the last could not be determined. (Not under the second) for if the first apprehension, whose object is the soul, does not destroy the ignorance, then also not the last, because the object is the same.

If it is said, "this being the case, the continuation of knowledge destroys the ignorance, not a single act of

As S'ankara has set forth his argument rather enigmatically, I give it in the more explicit form of Anandagiri 'But then, an opponent may say, the knowledge which destroys the ignorance, cannot be the first, because after the first knowledge there is no continuation of it, and because after wards the opposite knowledge and its effects take place' To show the futility of this objection, S'ankara assumes the following alternative, if the first knowledge does not destroy the ignorance, either the last knowledge destroys it, or the continuation of knowledge

If the last knowledge destroys the ignorance, it is either, because it is the last, or because its object is the soul, but not under the first of these alternatives, because it could not be determined (which is the last), not under the second, (because its object is the soul) because in this respect it is the same as the first knowledge, which, according to admission, does not destroy ignorance. The second part of the argument is clearly given by S'ankara, wherefore we do not repeat it here

knowledge we object for as long as life etc remains a continuation (of knowledge) is impossible for as long as there is an approhension of which life etc is the cause the continuation of the approhension of knowledge is impossible because it is contradictory

If you say But then by the di appearance of the approhension of which life etc. 1 the cause there re mains a continuation of I nowledge until death we object because the continuation of a so much noss of the appreben ion is not defined and there is honce the fault that the meaning of the Sastra is not defined By aying—the continuation of a so much noss of the apprehension destroys the ignorance—there is nothing defined and lience the meaning of the Sastra is undefined. And this is not desired.

If it is said that the meaning of the Sastra is even defined when there is a more continuation we object because there is no difference between the first and the last knowledge for either the first knowledge is the continuation of the apprehension or the last until the time of death but as in the way no difference is found between the first and last apprehensions the two above mentioned faults apply

If it is said. This being the case then I noulcidge does not even de troy ignorance we object because our text declares. Therefore he became all the saine is also declared in other passages of the Sruti. The bond of the heart is broken and. There is no delivation of

If it is said. It is merely for the sake of praise (Arthaunda) we object because otherwise the same applies to the Upanisads of all the Sakhās (Vedie schools) vi. that they are morely for the sake of praise for the Upanisads of all the Sakhās set forth neither more nor less than this meaning.

If it is said Lot it be so (that they are for the sake of praise) since the object of the soul is evident from perception we object because from the said statement

(that knowledge destroys ignorance) ignorance, grief, delusion, fear and other faults have ceased

If it is said "from perception," the answer has already been given. Therefore it cannot be fixed, whether the knowledge is the first, or the last a continuation or not a continuation, because the ultimate effect of knowledge is the cessation of ignorance and other faults. That apprehension, whether the first or the last, continuation or no continuation, is alone knowledge, which produces the effect, viz., the cessation of the faults of ignorance, etc. Having come to this conclusion, there remains nothing to be fixed.

But what has been said before "Since the contraiv apprehension and its effects are appaient, only the last apprehension of the soul destroys the ignorance and not the first," (p 148) this also holds not good, because the last action (in a former biith) is the cause of the production of a body (in this world) The action, which is the cause of the production of the body, and which, by means of the fault of the opposite apprehension of the work, which is of such a nature and which has the fault of the opposite apprehension, is able to produce the fruit, this action puts into effect the opposite apprehension, and the faults of passion, etc, by the continuation of the enjoyment of the effect, as long as life lasts, and only so long, because the entering upon the enjoyment of the effects follows necessarily from their cause, viz, action like arrows which are shot (continue their course, until their velocity is spent) Therefore knowledge does not destroy action of such a kind (which is the effect of actions in a former world) because it is not opposed to it What then (does it destroy)? The effect of ignorance, opposite to its own (knowledge's) nature, which (effect) has the tendency to produce another body (in a future world) even from ignorance, which is its support, (it destroys it,) because it determines it, for it (the action) has not yet arrived, (not yet been done) the other action (which is the cause of the present body) has been produced, (is passed and cannot therefore be destroyed

hy knowledge) Moreover the oppolite apprehension (oppolite to true knowledge) is not produced for the knowledge) is not produced for the knowledge for the opposite apprehension is without object as dependent upon generality when the nature of it particular object has not been ascertained as alver is no mother of pearl. But this (opposite apprehen ion) by the destruction of the sit of opposite apprehen ions yithout number does not arise follow who has ascertained the differences of objects as the delicition of sit or doe not arising appear when a perfect apprehension of mother of pearal has tall en place.

If you say that recollections manifesting appeals apprehension and arising from impressions lireduced from opposite apprehension, which so re made pression is to the knowledge—that these recollections in the moment of their birth sometimes cause of a sudden the obtainment of opposite apprehensions and that in the same mainer a for one who is perfectly acquainted with the divisions of space yet of a sudden a confusion may are exist regard to space o also for the perfect knower an opposite apprehension may be produced a stream before (the attainment of knowledge) then it used follow that there in confidence also in perfect knowledge that hence an activity with regard to the Inowledge and the works in accordance with the meaning of the Sastra world be income tent and that proof had become non proof for a difference between proof and non proof were impossible

Hereby it is ascertained for what reason there is no liberation from the body (immediately) after the attainment of perfect knowledge But that consequent to knowledge from the very same time there is a destruction of the actions which are collected for a future birth and who e fruits have not commenced has been proved from our text which prohibits any obstacle to the obtaining of the fruit also from such passages of the Stuti as His actions also are annihilated (1st Mingl B I Vol VII p 302) All is his forever. All sins are shaken off. Having known

him, they are not tainted by sin," (B A B I Vol II, p 913) "Him alone do those two not trouble, him good and bad actions do not torment' (l c, p 910) "Him he does not torment, he is not afiaid of anything (S' U B I Vol VII, p 119), also from passages of the Smrti such as this "The fire of knowledge burns all actions to ashes" (Bh G. 4th Adh, Sl. 37)

But what before (p 144) has been said, that he is bound by debts, is also not applicable, because this refers to ignorance, for the ignorant is a debtor, for him the notion of agency, etc., is true, thus it will be said afterwards "Where some other thing exists, there another sees it otherwise," and the term "other" means here, what differs from the true substance, the soul Where ignorance exists. there it is another thing, as it were, there like the moon on the second day after its darkness, is an action of showing (one saying, It is this, another, It is not this, etc.), depended on the many agents through ignorance, and the effect, arising from this 'ignorance,' is also shown by passages such as this "Another sees it otherwise" (B \bar{A} , p 813) Where, however, knowledge exists, by the removal of the numberless illusions, arising from ignorance, there is shown the impossibility of actions by such passages "Therefore he sees all" (p 122) Therefore the state of a debtor is described as referring to ignorance alone, because that state is the consequence of actions, not as referring to knowledge. This we shall in the next passage explicitly show by our explanation, as follows "Then whosoever," not knowing Brahman, by praise, reverence, offering (of flowers, scents, etc.), oblation (gift of eatables), attention and contemplation, "worship" (represents to himself the state of the excellencies of a deity) "another deity," a deity different from the soul "in such a manner as He is another," not the soul, different from me, "another am I," subject to him, I, like a debtor have to propitiate him, whosoever through such a belief worships, "he," through such a belief, "does not know" the truth Such a person is not only ignorant, or has the faults of ignorance and the like, but like a beast, a cow,

etc is used for the benefit of conveyance of giving milk etc so far the various benchts he affords through offennes ete is he used by each of the gods. Therefore he is like a beast subject to works of all kind. this is the meaning For the effect of the work in accordance with the word of the Sastra buit work united with I nowledge or be it work alone done h, a person who is ignorant a partaker of the division of easte and the orders of life and dependent the effect of such a worl is closation from man etc to Brahman the effect however of work which is at sariance with the sord of the Sastra and which is done by the prompting of one's own nature is descension from man ote down to manimate matter I or as here so we shall say the same at the end of this chapter by the pas ago hain there are three (B 1 p 301) That the effect of I nowledge is the obtaining of the state of all has been briefly shown for this whole Upanisad is engaged to show the divisions of knowledge and ignorance for the whole knewledge within this Unanisal is not very extensive as it describes the div sion of ignorance)

And that this is the meaning of the whole Statra we shall afterwards show Because it is so therefore the gods are able to raise obstacles or to how favour to an ignorant This is said in the words. As verily in common man life many beasts cows hore etc maintain a man their owner ruler o overy ignorant man who stands for many beasts maintains the gods (the plural the is here used to indicate also the ferefathers etc.) Indra and the other gods who are different under the idea from me or my lords I am like a servant of them adore them by praise reverence gifts etc. I shall obtain the rewards given by them itz elevation and liberation Thus as in this world it is very unpleasant for a possessor of many beasts even if only one boast is taken away is soized by a tiger etc so if one man who stends for many beasts rises from the state of a beast it is not

^{&#}x27;These are four 112 of the religious student of the house holder of the ancherite (Vanaprastha who retires to the wood) and of the mendicant

surprising, that it is unpleasant to the gods, as the taking away of many beasts is to the householder Therefore "it is not pleasant to them," to the gods what? "that men should" in any way "know this," truth of the nature of Brahman. In this view it is said by the venerable Vyasa in the Anugitas (a part of the Mahabharata) "The world of the gods is closed for the performers of works, for the gods do not wish that men abide above" Therefore the gods try to exclude, like a cattle from tigers, men from the knowledge to Brahman, as it is their desire, that they should not be elevated above the sphere of their use Whom they wish to liberate, to him they impart belief, etc., and unbelief to him whom they wish not to liberate Therefore let a person, desirous of liberation, be intent on the adoration of the gods, on reverence and faith, submissive, and assiduously striving for the acquirement of knowledge, or for knowledge, as is implied in the words of fear "How then, if many" This is the meaning of the passage "It is not pleasant to the gods"

11. ब्रह्म वा इदमग्र आसीदेकमेव तदेकर सन्न व्यभवत्तच्छ्रेयो रूपमत्य-सृजत क्षत्रं यान्येतानि देवत्रा क्षत्राणीन्द्रो वरुण सोमो रह _पर्जन्यो यमो मृत्युरीज्ञान इति तस्मात् क्षत्रात्पर नास्ति तस्माद्राह्मणः क्षत्रियमघस्तादुपोस्ते राजसूये क्षत्र एव तद्यशो द्याति सौषा क्षत्रस्य योनिर्यद्रह्म तस्माद्यदिप राजा परमता गच्छति ब्रह्मैवान्तत उपनिश्रयति स्वा योनि य उ एनर हिनस्ति स्वार स योनिमृच्छति स पापीयान् भवति यथा श्रेयारसर्थ हिर सित्वा ॥

Brahman verily was this before one alone Being one, he did not extend He with concentrated power created the Ksatra of elevated nature, viz, all those Ksatras who are protectors among the gods, Indra, Varuna, Soma, Rudra, Parjanya, Yama, Death, and

Isana Therefore none is preater than the keatra therefore the Brahmana, under the keatra alone for the Rajasoya ceremons. The Keatra alone for him his glory. Brahman is thus the birth place of the Keetra Therefore although the king obtains the highest dignity, he at last takes refuse in the Brahman as in his birthplace. Whosoever deeps o him do trove his birth place. He is a very great sinner, like a man who injures a superior

The meaning of the Sastra has been declared in this Stita. The soil considering this left a min wor hip it (p. 100). The relation and the necessity of this Stitra, which was to be explained has been determined by the words. They declare that by the knowledge of Brahman etc (p. 129) together with its Arthavada by the words. There whoseever among thogods of (p. 130), and the subjection of ignorance to the world in the words. Then who cover worships another doity etc. (p. 150).

There it has been said that the ignorant is a debter and subject to the will of another by the necessity to perform the a beast the works of the gods and other What again is the means of pelorance the necessary works of the gods and other? The different castee and the present passage is commenced it to show how that ignorant person dependent on anothers will and subject to works in connection with their agents is busy life a beast in this world.

The creation of Indra etc has not been described above after the creation of Agni (p 94) but the creation of Agni (p 94) but the creation of Agni has been described to complete the creation through Prajapati. The creation of Indra etc houseler should there have been shown hecause it is its conclusion but it is here teld in order to show that the ignorant i the proper subject for the performance of works.

"Brahman verily was this before" "Brahman," by the creation of Agni having obtained the nature of Agni (he is called Brahman by believing himself to be of the caste of Brāhmanas) "verily was this," the caste of Ksatras, etc, the undivided Brahman, "one alone" Therefore was no distinction of the Kṣatriya and other castes "Being one," without the distinctions of Ksatra, etc, of preserver, etc, "he," Brahman, "did not extend," which means, was not sufficient for extensive work

Then "he," Brahman reflecting, I am a Biāhmana, I who by nature desire to perform the work to be done by the Brahmana caste, have to discharge such and such duties, "created" for the amplification of work and agent, "with concentrated power the Ksatra of elevated nature" Which again is the Ksatra, the Ksatriya caste, created by him? To show this, the text specifies the individuals, viz, "all those Ksatras who are protectors among the gods" Those who are anointed kings, are here specified "Indra," the king of the gods (Devas) "Varuna' of the aquatic animals, "Soma," of the Brāhmanas, "Rudra," of beasts, "Parjanya," of lightning, etc, "Yama" of the forefathers, "Death," of persons in ill health, etc, and Isana of splendours These and others are Ksatras among the gods After them (the divine Ksatras) he created the Ksatras who are ruled by Indra and other Ksatia deities, viz, the families of the moon and sun, as the king Pururavas, etc For this reason the creation of the Ksatras among the gods has been set forth

Because the Ksatra was created by more concentrated power than the Brāhmana, "therefore none is greater than the Ksatra," who is also the ruler of the Brāhmana caste "Therefore the Brāhmana," although the source of the Ksatriya, placed "under the Ksatriya," "worships" him who is placed above Where? "At the Rājasūya ceremony" "The Ksatra alone gives him his glory," his repute as Brahman Rtvik, at the Rājasūya ceremony addiesed by the king who is anointed and seated on the royal chair, by the words "O Brahman," replies again to the king

O king thou art Brahman Ho alone is called Ksatra who bestows glory Brahman is thus the well known birth place of the Ksatra Therefore although the king obtains the highest dignity in virtue of the anointing at the Rajasuya ceremony he at last at the completion of the ceremony takes roluge in the Brahman in the Brahmanical caste as in his birth place that is he appoints a family prest Whoseover again from the pride of power despises lowers him his birthplace the Brahmanical caste the Brahmana dostroys his own birth place. He by so doing is a very great sinner (in former times the Ksatriya was also a sinner) by his wickedness because he injures his producer like in common life a man who injures defeats his superior is a very great sinner.

12 स नेप व्यभपत् स विशमसूजन यान्येतानि देवजातानि गणश आख्यायन्ते वसरा स्टा आन्या विश्वेदेवा मस्त इति ॥

He did not extend He created the Vit He is all those gods who according to their classes are called Vasus, Rudras Adityas Visvedevas and Maruts

(As Brahman did not extend before the creation of the Ksatra) so even after the creation of the Ksatra he Brahman did not extend for the work. He did not extend because there was none to procure wealth. He created the Vit to procure wealth for the performance of ceremonies. Who again is the Vit? He is all those gods according to their classes (for aimost all the Vit's are caule? so as they are counted in classes for commonly they are only capable of collecting wealth when jointed together and not singly) the class of the Vasus is eight in number of the Rudras eleven of the Adityas twelve of the Visvedevas means either the thirteen sons of Visva or all (sarve visve) the gods and of the Maruts is seven times seven.

13 स नैव व्यसवत् स शौद्र वर्णभसृजत पूषणभिय वै पूपेयर हीदर सर्व पुष्यति यदिद किच ॥

He did not extend He created the caste of the Sudias as the nourisher This earth is the nourisher, for it nourishes all this whatsoever

"He" in want of servants, "did not extend. He created the caste of the Sūdras" Which again is the caste of the Sūdras, created by him? "The nourisher" (Pūsan) because he nourishes Who again is that Pūsā? The text determines this in a special manner "This," earth, "is Pūsā, for it nourishes all this whatsoever"

14 स नैव ज्यमवत्तच्छ्रेयोरूपमत्यसृजत धर्म तदेतत् क्षत्रस्य क्षत्रं यद्धभिस्तरमाद्धमीत्परं नास्त्यतो अवलीयान् वलीया समाज सते धर्मण यथा राज्ञैवं यो वै स धर्मण सत्य वै तत्तरमात् सत्यं वदन्तमाहुर्धमे वदतीति धर्म वा वदन्त सत्यं वदतीत्येतद्वयेवै-तद्भय भवति ॥

He did not extend, he created with concentrated power justice of eminent nature. This justice is the preserver (Ksatra) of the Ksatra. There is nought higher than justice. Even the weak is confident to defeat the more powerful by justice, as a house-holder by the king. Verily justice is true. Therefore they say of a person who speaks the truth, he speaks justice, or of a person who speaks justice, he speaks the truth, in this manner verily it is both.

"He," after having created the four castes, did not extend "He," from a fear of the ungovernableness of the Ksatra on account of his fiery nature, "created by

concentrated power justice of eminent nature This just tice created of eminent nature is the preserver the governor even of the Kaatra more hers even than the fiery There is nought higher than justice because it governs even the kaatra for all are ruled by it. In what manner? justice as in common life the house holder by the king who is the most powerful. Therefore it i in this manner evident that ju tice is governing all because it is more powerful than all Verity justice it aca ev high has been decided according to legal evidence is true Here true " means in accordance with the meaning of the Sastra and justice means what is transacted in such a manner it is true if understood in accordance with the morning of the Sastra Because this is so therefore they those who are sitting near who are aware of the arguments on both parts as of a porson who speaks at the time when a suit is transacted the truth that is according to the Sastras He speaks justice i he speaks according to fitness which is well known and pursuant to usage Thus on the other hand they say of a person who speaks in accordance with justice to u age he peaks in accordance with truth he speaks what does not deviate from the Sastra. In this manner verily it which has been mentioned before is both vi the ju tice which must be made known and which must be practised. There fore justice as an object of knowledge and of practice governs all as well those who know the Sastra as those who do not know thom Therefore it is the preserver even of the Keatra Hence an ignorant per on who is proud of justice acknowledge for the practice of its different parts its difference which is the cause of the Brahma Keatra Vaisya and Sudra castes These differences are by their own nature the causes of the different agents

15 तदेतद्रक्ष क्षत्र निद् सूहस्तदिनित्र देवेषु ब्रह्माभनद्राक्षणा मनु येषु क्षत्रियेण क्षत्रिया वश्येन वश्य सहिण सहस्तत्समाद्रतावेय देवेषु लोकिमिच्छन्ते ब्राह्मणे मेनुष्येष्वेताम्या हि रूपाम्या ब्रह्मामवत् । अथ यो ह वा अस्माल्लोकात्स्व लोकमेद्द्या प्रैति स एनमविदितो न भुनिक्त यया वेदो वाननूक्तोऽन्यद्वा कर्माकृत यदिह वा अप्यनेवविद् महत्पुण्यं कर्म करोति तद्धास्यान्ततः क्षीयत एवात्मानमेव लोकमुपासीत स य आत्मानमेव लोकमुपासते न हास्य कर्म क्षीयते अस्माद्धयेवात्मनो यद्यत्कामयते तत्तत्सृजते ॥

This is the creation of the Brahma, the Ksatra, the Vit and the Sudra. He was in the form of Agni (fire) among the gods as Brahman, he was the Brahmana among men, in the form of Ksatriya, Ksatriya, in the form of Vaisya, Vaisya, in the form of Sudra, Sudra Therefore among the gods the place (loka) is desired like through Agni only, among men through the Brahmana, because in their forms Brahman became manifest

Then whosoever, through this world not seeing the self-like world, dies, him the latter, because unknown, does not preserve, as the Veda which is not read, or as other work, which is not done. Even the great and holy work, which a person who does not know in this manner, performs, all this work of him, verily perishes at last. Let a man worship the soul as his place. Whosoever worships the soul alone as his place, his work does verily not perish. For whatsoever he desires from the soul, the same he obtains

The passage "This is the creation of the four castes" "of the Brahma, the Ksatra, the Vaisya and Sūdra," serves as an introduction into the next sentence "He," Brahmā, the creator, "was in the form of Agni among the

gols that is to say in no other form. As Brahma the Brahmaya caste he was the Brahmaya. In the form of a P alimana Brahmaya among men. Assuming other mollications among the other caste he became in the ksatriya form a ksatriya who e tutelary dettes are Indra and other gols (devas). In the Valssa form a Valsya and In the Solrs form a Sudra.

Because Brahm's the creator a sumed her and offer modifications arong the k atriya and other ea to and remained unmodified only in Agni s form theref re among the rods the place the fruit of work I desired through Agni only that is to as by the performance of works dependent on Agul for on this ground is this Brahman evidently represented on ler the form of Agul the locality of works. Therefore it is established that by the perfor mance of work through Agnl the fruit resulting from it Is de ired Among men through the Brilimana If among men there is a desire of the fruit to be derived from works there is no dependence upon works of which Afni of class is no dependence upon works of which Afni of class is the cause How then? The object of man I off class only by reliance upon the nature of the castes Where however the accomplishment of the subject of man i subject to the gods there it is dependent upon work in conjunction with Agal one This follows also from the pa age of the Smiti By muttering prayers the Brahmana no doubt is successful whether he performs other work (work dependant upon fire) or not I riend is called the Brilimana 1 This is also evident from his leading the life of a religious mendicant Therefore among men the place the effect of works is desired through the nature of the Brahmana alone because Brahmi the creater in their forms in the forms of the Brihmana and Agni the forms upon which the agents of work are dependent became manifest

With reference to this some say it is the place of the supreme soul the obtainment of which is desired through

rithout fear the cause of obtain Agni and the Brāhmana' This is not true, because a subjection to ignorance existing, the division of the castes is introduced for the subjection to works, it is not true, moreover, because it differs from the next passage, for if here (in the present passage) by the term "place," even the supleme soul were declared, then in the next passage "Not having seen the self-like place," the predicate "self-like" would be absurd, for if there the common place (world) which is desired by dependance upon Agni, is different from the self-like place, then the predicate "self-like" is proper, because the meaning is the annihilation of the common place (world) after death, and because by the term "self-like" there is no going astray from the place of the supreme soul, but the works, performed by ignorance would go astray by the term "self-like" And by the passage. "Perishes certainly," the going astray of all the effects by works will be set forth By Brahmā the castes were created for the sake of work, and this work, because it rules all castes by the notion of duty and accomplishes the object of man, has the name of virtue

If therefore by this work alone the self-like place which is called the supreme soul, is obtained, although it is unknown, why then is it necessary to do anything with reference to its production? On this ground it is said in the text. "Then," which is to remove the objection of the opponent. Whosoever through this "world," which is subject to transmigration, whose nature is the assumption of a body, whose causes are the desire and work of ignorance by believing in the work, dependent upon Agni, or by believing in work to be performed by the Brāhmana caste alone, on account of the world which is transient, and whose nature is not self-like, "not seeing the self-like world," which is called soul from not going astray from the nature of the soul, not seeing "I am Brahman,"—"dies," the latter, (although "the latter" means the self-like world, yet it is unknown, concealed by the ignorance, as a horse, which is not recognised) "does not preserve him," as the tenth

soul in the well known example (ude p 110) does not preserve himself—by the removal of priof delusion fear and other faults. And as in common life the Veda which is not read does not toach work and whatsoover arise from it or as other work in common life for instance ploughing which is not done is not manifested by its soun nature does not preserve him by the yielding of its fruit so the soul if unmanifested by its self like nature which is the cternal soul does not preserve him by the annihilation of ignorance

But then does it not follow from the necessity that work obtains its effect by preserving the cause of the knowledge of the self like world and from the abundance of work, which is the cause of the desired effect that its proserving cause is undestroyable? No because every offect is liable to destruction. Therefore it is said in the text. Even the great as for instance many Assamedha sacrifices and hely work which obtains its fruit as if it were desired falthough there has been no desire of its fruit) which a person of extraordinar; magnanimity who does not know in this manner who does not know the solf like place in the said manner continually performs under the notion that he shall thereby gain immortality all this work of him of the ignorant person verily perishes at last at the end of the en person verily perishes at last at the one of the officer of igoorance is its cause like some wonderful superhuman power (perished) which appeared by the delusion of a dream Since the causes of it (of the work) viz ignorance and desire are not permanent it is necessary that its effects are also hable to destruction. Therefore there is no bope to preserve for over the fruit of hely work Therefore let a man worship the soul alone as the selflike place the soul is the solf like place for in this meaning is the solf like place set forth in the text and here is the term of self like not applied. Whosoever worships the soul as his place what of him? It is replied in the text his work does verily not perish because there is even no work this is repeated here in order to

establish it firmly The meaning is, as there is constantly worldly unhappiness, consisting in the decrease of the effect derived from work, so there is not for him (the wise) as if one thinks if Mithila is burned, nothing burns me. Others' explain it to mean, that the work of the knowing worshipper of his ownself (soul) does not perish by the connection with a person who does not know Further, for the term of 'place' intimately connected with work, they in fact assume two meanings, one is the place in the state of manifestation, depending upon work, and bearing the name of Hiranyagarbha Whosoever worships this place, which is intimately connected with work, which is manifested and finite, the work of him, who knows the finite self as engaged in work, verily perishes But whosoever worships the world, which is intimately connected with work, comprehending it in its unmanifested state under the notion of cause, his work does not perish, because he knows the infinite self (soul) as engaged in work. This assumption is ingenious, but it does not accord with the Sruti, because by the teim 'the place of self' the supreme soul, set forth in the text, is denoted

The text having mentioned (before) "the place of self," omits (now) the term "of self' and introducing the term "soul," shows its identity with the former by the words "whosoever worships the soul alone as his place" There is here no possibility for the assumption of a place, intimately connected with work

Moreover it (the place intimately connected with work) is different from the supreme place, whose object is knowledge alone. By the passage "The soul is not the place," it is distinguished from the places, which are gained by inferior knowledge and the work of a son. Therefore "the soul is not the place," and also. "His place is not measured by any work, this is his highest place." By these sentences, because they are determined, a corresponding meaning is proper. Thus here also, because by the words "the place of self," the predicate is fixed.

 $^{^{\}scriptscriptstyle 1}$ ${\it Viz}$, the explanation, given by the Bhartrprāpañca

If it is said that according to the passage for whatsoover it is improper that the place of self is the supreme soul for if it is certain that by the worship of him he becomes the supreme soal whatsoover he desires he obtains from the soul then the declaration with regard to the fruit is improper unless it is to obtain that soul We contradict because it has the object to extel the worship of the world of self for the meaning is from the place of self alone all that is desired is obtained anything different from it i not to be askel for became all de ires are satisfied as it is said in another passage of the Sruti (Ch U 7th Pr 16th Kh B I Vol III p 524) From the soul 14 life from the soul hope. Or it means to show the state of the universal soul as before (p. 66). For if the supreme soul is declared it is right to apply the term soul in the passage for from the soul (p 93) and it means from the place of self from the which is sot forth as soul in the text Otherwise it would have been said with a predicate from the place of work in its unmainfested state in order to remove thereby the idea of a place of the supreme soul in accordance with the text and to remove the state of manifestation for since it set forth in the text and deter mined another state not authorized by the Sruti is impossible

16 अयो अय वा आत्मा सत्रपा भूताना छोत स यन्छ्रहाति तत्रअत तेन देताना लोसोड्य स्ट्युन्त तेन ऋषणाम्य यत्तित्तृम्या निर्माति यद्यनामिष्ट्रते तेन पितृणाम्य यत्मसुत्रान्नासयत् यदेन्याडदान त्दाति तेन मनुत्राणाम्य यत्प्युम्यस्तृणात्मक निन्तिति तेन पद्मता यत्स्य गृहयु थापदा वयाष्ट्रस्यापिपीरि काम्य उपजीयन्ति तेन तेवा लाका यत्राह व स्वाय ताकावारिष्टि मिष्ट्रेत्य देवियद सवाणि भूतान्यिष्टिमिष्ट्रिन्ति तद्वा ण्तादिनित मीमाप्रसित्तम् ॥

Next that soul (self) verily is the place of all beings

He is the place of the gods by what he offers and sacrifices, further of the Rsis by what he learns, further of the forefathers (Pitrs) by what he gives to the forefathers, and by his exertions about offspring, further of men by housing them and giving them food, further of cattle by finding them grass and water, further of beasts of prey, birds, etc., down to ants, by sustaining their life in his dwelling. As every one desires the continuance of his place, so verily desire all beings welfare for one who thus knows. This verily is declared and considered

"Next that soul verily" It has before (in the last section, describing the state of ignorance) been said, that the ignorant in the conscious pride of caste and order of life, etc, and governed by the law, is, like a beast, subject to another by the necessity of performing the works of the gods and others Which again are the works by the necessary performance of which he becomes, like a beast, subject to another? And who are the gods and others whom he assists, like a beast, by works? Both is explained in the text The term "next" is intended as an introduction to the present sentence The house-holder, as set forth in the text, who is subject to work, ignorant, and endowed with body, senses, etc, is here called "that soul" The meaning is "he is the place," the object of enjoyment, "of all beings," from the gods down to the ants, because he affords assistance to all by works which are commanded with reference to the different castes and others By what special again affording assistance does he become the place, for what special being? The answer is "By what he," the house-holder, "offers and sacrifices" Sacrifice with reference to the deity is an abandonment of wealth (to the deity) If it is performed until the sprinkling of the water (on the head of the sacrifice), it is Homa By this work, viz, by Homa (offering) and sacrifice (Yaga) which it is his

duty to perform he is like a beast subject to the will of another the place of the gods. Further by what he reads, vi his daily reading of the Vedas he is the place of the Rais Further by what be gives to the fore fathers viz the oblation water etc. and by his exertions about offspring by that work which he is bound to por form be is the place of the forefathers. Further by housing mon by giving them place water etc in his house, and giving them food vi. them who ask him for it whether they live in his house or not he is the place of mon. Further by finding giving them grass and water be is the place of cattle Further of beasts of prey birds together with ants by sustaining their I f, in his dwelling with grains and harticles of food gained) by the cleaning of the sacrificial vessels he is the place of them Because by those works he affords assistance to the gods etc therefore as every one desires continuance of his own place of his own hody dosires the preservation of his nature from the foar of losing his nature sustains it always by nourishing protecting it ote so verily desire all beings the gods and others the above mentioned con trinuance of life for him who thus knows who thus frames his soul I am to be enjoyed by all beings I like a deltor am bound to make roturn that is to say they preserve him for the continuance of their own existence as house holders preserve cattle Therefore it is and It is not pleasant to them This verily that the said works must necessarily be done like the paving of a debt is declared in the chapter of the five great sacrifices (the five great sacrifices viz to all beings to men to the fore fathers to the gods and to Brabman) and considered and proved as necessary by consideration in the chapter of the distribution of the thing required for the five great sacrifices (Avadanaprakarana)

> 17 आत्मेवेन्मत्र आसीदेक एव सोऽकामत्रत जाया मे स्याद्य त्रजायेयात्र वित्त मे स्याद्य कम द्वर्गीयेत्येतात्रान् वे कामो नेच्छप्थ नातो भूयो निन्देक्तमाद्ययेतर्लोकाको कामत्रते जाया

में स्याद्य प्रजायेयाय वित्त में स्याद्य कर्म कुर्वीयेति स यावद्व्येतेषामेकेक न प्राप्तोत्यकुत्स्त एव तावन्मन्यते तस्यो कुत्स्तता मन एवास्यात्मा वाग्जाया प्राणः प्रजा चक्षुर्मानुष वित्त चक्षुषा हि तिद्वन्दते श्रोत्र दैव श्रोत्रेण हि तच्छुणोत्यात्मेवास्य कर्मात्मना हि कर्म करोति स एष पाड्को यज्ञः पाड्कः पशुः पाड्कः पुरुषः पाड्किमिड एसर्व यदिद किच तिद्दर सर्वमाप्तोति य एवं वेद ॥

Self (the soul) alone was this before, he was even one. He desired Let me have a wife, again, let me be born, again, let me have wealth, again, let me perform work. So far extend verily desire. For without desire one does not get more than this. Therefore also now a person, when alone, desires. Let me have a wife,—again, let me be born, again, let me have wealth, again, let me perform work. As long as he does not obtain one of them, so long he thinks himself incomplete. His completeness is this, that the mind is his self (soul) and speech his wife.

Life is their offspring, the eye the wealth of man, for by the eyes one obtains it, the ear the wealth of the gods, for by the ear one hears it, self is even his work, for by self one performs work

The sacrifice is five-fold, the animal, five-fold, the man five-fold, five fold this all whatsoever Whosoever thus knows, the same obtains this all

[&]quot;Self alone was this before" If the knower of Brahman is liberated from the state of cattle, which consists in the bondage of duty, by whom then has he been

made subject to the hondage of work and not again to the practice of knowledge which is the means of liberation? Is it not said. The gods preserve? Cortainly but they preserve these who by the performance of work obtained thour own (the gods) state atherwise it would be in their newer to hestow the effects of actions not done or destroy the effects of actions done that is to say other wise they would be nartial) but they do not preserve a com mon man who has not obtained a special perfection.
Therefore it must be the same (who makes him subject to the bondage of work) by whose power a person subject to work is gotting out of his own place. Is that not ignorance? for the ignorant getting out of himself is engaged in work? No ignorance also is not the cause which makes one to engage in work for its character is to conceal the true nature of a thing but it may be the cause of making one to ongage in work in the same manner as hindness in the cause that one is liable to fall into heles etc. If this is the case then say what cause one to engage in work? This is sot forth viz it is willing dosire. Thus it is said in the Kathaka (4 to P 2 B I Vol VIII p 123) Romain ing in their nature ignerance youths engage in actions Ing in their matter ignorance years chagge in actions follow excernal object of desire

It is desire it is anger of (B G 3rd A 37) And in Manu

Desire is the cause of all engagoments in action

Manu Dosire is the cause of all engagements in action (M S 2d A 4) This meaning is in all its dotail proved throughout this whole chapter Self alone was this before Self alone viz the person who is ignorant by his own nature who is to be comprehended under the notion of effect and cause the Brahmacari (the religious student) Before Previous to the union with a wife he is called

There was no object of de tro as a wife etc different from this self. He was even one possessed of ignorance the cause of the desire of a wife etc he was even alone he was pervaded by ignorance the nature of which is to assign to ones own soul the assumptions of agent action and fruit. He desired What? Let me have a wife. Let me the agent have a wife the cause of the

performance of work, without her I am not a fit agent for work, therefore to accomplish the performance of work, let me have a wife "Again, let me be born," let me be produced as offspring "Again, let me have wealth," cows, etc, by which work is accomplished "Again let me perform work," the cause of elevation and liberation, viz. let me perform work, by which liberated from my debt, I may obtain the places (worlds) of the gods, etc, and the ceremonial rites for objects of desire which are the causes by which a son, wealth, heaven, etc., are effected "So far extends verily desire," which means, desire is limited to those objects So far extend the objects which are to be desired, viz, a wife, son, wealth and works, viz, the desire or cause The three worlds, the world of man. the world of the forefathers, and the world of the gods, are the effects of this desire as cause, for the desire, as cause referring to wife, son, wealth and work, is for their sake Therefore this is one desire, and the other, the desire of the worlds, which is also a desire, depends upon a cause, in this manner desire is two-fold. Hence it will be afterwards (BA, p 592), said. "These two desires." Because every action is undertaken on account of its effect, therefore it should be understood, that by the passage "So far extends desire" the worlds are necessarily implied, and therefore declared, for if eating is mentioned, it is not necessary to mention also satisfaction, because eating is merely for the sake of it These two desires, characterised as cause and effect, are the longing, by which compelled, the ignorant, who is subject to work, like the silk-worm, encases his self (soul), that is to say, on the road of action not attending to himself (the soul), and having got out (of himself,) he does not know his own place (the place which is like himself) Thus it is said in the Taittirīya "Bewildered by fire, teased by smoke, he does not know his own place" How again is it ascertained, that desire extends so far, because desires are infinite, for desires have no end? On this account the reason is stated Because "without desire one does not get more than this," which consists of effect and cause, for, beside cause and effect,

no hing either perceived or not perceived is to be sain the world for the object to be about 1 is to be sain as this does not expressed by the said and this does not expressed by the said which it is expressed by the said which it is object of action of the important object in we find desire to either de trease effect or as could while the chief of a preceived the perceived. There the wife the was must be exacted. It can be in this rianner the france self will be undergood before de t and also he who are old him the this I the ine of the wold and in the same manner wa this the coatine of Praying and in the same manner was the the contained of Praying after the said (p. 64) he was afrail by the name. Then (pp. 675%). Hence excited by de tre a ance. Then typ 6-51, Hence excited by de tre a person is not happy shen above to remove the unhappines he de ired a wife he appeared ther hence aproperly this creation.—Therefore after this creation also now at the present time in locally person before his being married desire in accordance with what has been raid. I of me have a wife—again let tree be born—again. and let me nave a wife —again let me be born —again let me have wealth —again let me perform work. As long as he who is thus de frous and en leavouring of getting all a wife etc. as long as he does not obtain one of them one of what has been mentioned a wife etc. so long he thinks himself incomplete. At last when he obtains all of thinks himself incompleto. At last when he obtains all of them his completeness he is in the state of incompleteness the is in the state of incompleteness then for the accomplishing, of his completeness the total state of incompleteness the completeness the total state of incompleteness the completeness is the state of the who as before mentioned is conscious of incompletenesses is this. What? Its show this) the totality of effect and cause is divided. Here (in this division) the mind is (for everything else produced from the t-tality of cause and effect is governed by it) by its superiority. His self (the soul) which means the his self is nester of the family is like the soul of the where else because his wife sou ote follow him. In the same manner is the mind her assumed follow him. In the same manner is the mind here assumed as the self for the sake of completeness, and thus also speech his wife on account of speech being in like manner governed by the mind Speech its sound whose

characteristic is directing, etc., is received by the mind through the ear, etc, considered and revered, in this manner is speech the wife of the mind From both, speech and mind, represented as wife and husband, was produced life for the performance of work, therefore "life is their offspring," as it were. There (if self, wife and offspring are present) work characterised by the effort of life, etc., is to be accomplished by the wealth perceived by the eye, and therefore is "the eye the wealth of man" Wealth is twofold, wealth of man and other wealth, therefore it is said, "of man," to distinguish it from other wealth, for cattle, the wealth connected with man and perceptible by the eye, is accomplishing action therefore it represents it. Hence by connection is the eye the wealth of men. "for," because, "one obtains," perceives "it," the wealth of man, cattle, etc, "by the eye '(therefore is the eye the wealth of man) What again is the other kind of wealth? "The ear the wealth of the gods," because knowledge is the object of the gods. Knowledge is the wealth of the gods Therefore in our case is the ear even the object of wealth Why? "For by the ear", because by the ear "one hears it," the wealth of the gods, knowledge, therefore is the ear even the wealth because knowledge is the dependent on the car How again is work to be performed by those agents the first of which is self, and the last wealth? The answer is 'Self is even' Self means here the body How again is self (the body) the representative of work? because it is the cause of work for him (the saciificer) How is it the cause of work? For by self," by the body, "one performs work" In this manner is the completeness, whose characteristics are external objects, as a son, etc, accompaished for him, who thinks himself incomplete. In this manner, therefore, "The sacrifice is five-fold, 'to be performed by five, even in the case of a person who has ceased from work, where it is to be performed by symbols alone How again is the symbol by the mere apprehension of five, called a sacrifice? Because an external sacrifice also is accomplished by men and animals, and there are five men and five animals, by the application of the mentioned five, mind, speech, etc.

Therefore it is said. The animal five fold, the man five fold. Although the notion of animal is found also in man yet there is a difference between them wherefore, man has been separately mentioned. What more? Five-fold this all, the cause and effect of the work, whatsoner

Whosoever thus knows whosoever in this manner re presents the live fold sacrifice as himself the same obtains this all the world under the notion of himself

FILLIR BRAHMANA

1 यन्त्रसातीन मेगया त्रेम च न्यापण । ज्यान य पागाण हे द्वान्या नवत् । त्रीच्या न उट्टरन प्रमुख्य प्या प्रीमण त्रान्य प्रतिक्रित या प्राणि या न तस्मातानि न स्थापन्तद्वामाणि प्रया । या प्राणिनि यर साडमानि प्रतिक्रित स द्वानि प्रच्याति । स क्षाप्रमानि स द्वानि स्थापित स क न्युपनीयाति स स्था ॥

Of the soven provision which the father created by understanding and penance he as assigned one as the common to all and two to the gods three he made for himself one he gave to the animals for upon this all is founded whatsoever breathes and whatsoever not breathes. Why are they not destroyed although always consumed? Whosoever knows the enuse of the non destruction cats the principal food he goes to the gods he hives eternally

There (in the last Brahmana) ignorance has been introduced by the words Whosover werships another deity in such a manner Ho is another another and I the

same does not know" (B, A., p 118) It has also been said (p 200), that the person, who has the consciousness of caste and of the different conditions of life (viz, of a religious student, a house-holder, of one who retires to the forest, and of one who lives merely for the contemplation of God), who is ruled by his duties, who, compelled by desire, affords assistance to the gods, forefathers, etc., through sacrifice and other rites, is the place for all beings And as by each and all of his works he has been created as the place to be enjoyed by all beings, thus he has created all beings and the whole world for his own enjoyment. The meaning is, in this manner, everybody, in accordance with his knowledge and work, is the enjoyer and enjoyment, the agent and the object of action of the whole world To understand the identity of the soul, we shall say with reference to knowledge in the chapter treating on the knowledge of the universal essence (madhu lit honey) "All is the effect of all, one universal essence"

He created by the five-fold work whose object in desire, viz, by the five-fold sacrifice, etc, the world for his enjoyment, and also by knowledge (for his consideration) This whole world is seven-fold divided according to it being cause and effect They (these parts) are called provisions, because they are objects of enjoyment Hence (by the creation of them through work and knowledge) he is the father of those provisions Those Mantras "Of the seven provisions," etc., are here assumed as Sūtras, because they show, compendiously, the meaning of those provisions together with their application (The term "Yad" is here an adverb in connection with 'he created') By "understanding," knowledge, and "penance," work, for knowledge and work are the meanings of the terms "understanding," and "penance," because they are topics of the text, and not "the literal meanings of them "understanding" and "penance," because they are not topics of the text, for the five fold work, to be accomplished by a wife, etc, and afterwards knowledge by the words "who thus knows, etc," have been set forth in the text Therefore it must not be doubted, that understanding and penance are well known

Again. The seven provisions which the father created by knowledge and work here it must be supplied the same I will mention?

2 बल्बलानि मेप्रया सम्बद्धाः व्यक्तिति गाप्रया विभवपादास योग क्रमन्य नाभाणीत्या मक्षत्र राजाभाणाः । यो राज्य त्रयाराद्या । न त्रापान ॥ व्यक्ति (अध्यक्ति द्या) भारती हुन प प्रता चनकारास्ता पुढ़ा चित्रप उक्त्या बद्धा वाति। प्रचार्रीपानु प्यापनुष ण्या जान्छ्यति स्वतं प्रया होतायः जनस्य पण्यसायः अवन्ति समात् बुसार का। पूर्व रेगव प्रीभिक्ती स्वा बाहुमापनन्तथ यात्र नामग्रहमार की । सरिना, ना प्रतिष्ठित या प्राणिति यस पति वर्षात होतर पति प्रतिका या ब्राणितिया प**ातर्ग**िकः संस्थाना उद्देपपुन**्**र त्रयाति न तम विधाधगम्य पुरुति तगर् प्राप्ताः पायत्येन निज्ञा सन्दर्भ हि देवास्याङ्गाण नन्य वि । ५ सासावि न क्षीयन्तऽचमानानि पयटी पुरुषा या असि स हाटम्ब पुन पुन नन रते या व सामिनिति याति पुर रा वा अनिति स हारमत वियाविया जाता। यामितः त सुयार्थीयत ह माञ्जनित प्रवासनित सुच प्रताक मेवन यस स द्यानिव मच्चित स उ नमुपनीपताति प्रयासा ॥

Of the seven provisions which the father created by understanding and penance for the father created by understanding and penance he assigned one as the common to all. The common provision to all is that which is exten. Whosover worships it the same does

There is no accessity for assuming the proposed ellipsis and I have therefore not followed Sankara's explanation in the translation

not turn from sin, for it is mixed "And two to the gods," viz, the sacrifice and the oblation. Again, others say they mean the ceremonies at the new and full moon Therefore it is not their nature to be associated with desires "One he gave to the animals" This is milk, for before men as well as animals subsist on milk alone. Therefore they feed the child, when born, either with melted butter, or put him to the breast. Then they call the babe born, for he does not eat grass.

Upon this all is founded, whatsoever breathes and whatsoever not breathes, for on milk all is founded, whatsoever breathes and whatsoever not breathes. The saying "a person, offering throughout the year with milk, overcomes the second death," let none understand this in such a manner. Which day a person sacrifices, the same day he overcomes the second death. A person who thus knows, will overcome the second death that day on which he offers, for he gives all the eatable food to the gods. For what reason do they not decrease, although they are continually consumed? The soul is verily the cause that they do not decrease, for he again and again produces this provision.

"Whosoever knows the cause that they do not decrease, the soul is the cause that they do not decrease, for he produces this provision by understanding, by understanding and by works, if he did not produce it, it would verily decrease, "he eats food in the true manner," true means principal, therefore he eats food

in the principal manner he goes to the Lods he lives upon strength this is said for the sake of praise

Hore is the sense of the Mantras on account of their obscurity difficult to be understood hence the Brahmana is engaged in the explanation of them. There what means Of the seven provisions which the father The answer is given by the term for which is to show that the meaning is well known for the meaning is that the meaning of the Mantra is well known, and therefore by the repetition of the Mantra The provisions he produced is to indicate that the meaning is well Therefore the Brahmana says without hesitation For the father ereated by understanding and penance But how then is the meaning well known? The answer the causes are The nature of a father with regards to those causes producing the worlds of which the first is a wife and the last work is ovident and it is also declared by such passages as Let me have a wife There it has been declared that the wealth of the gods -wz knowledge -work and a sen are the cause of the creation with re ference to the worlds in their nature as offects (that is to say as the result of good or bad actions) And also that which will be said is well known Therefore it is proper to say By understanding etc for desire whose object 15 (enjoyment of) fruit 15 woll known in common life and also desire whose object is a wife etc which is not forth in the passage So far extends desiro hut as to the object of the knowledge of Brahman (which is liberation) desire is impossible because then all is one and the same by (by showing that the cause of the world is desire produced by ignorance) it is also said that the world is created by natural unsemptural knowledge and penanco This follows also from the reason that work and knowledge are the cause of consequence which are not desired down to the state of manimate matter. But it was intended to explain the relation of effect and cause in accordance with the Sastra for in the desire to establish the knowledge of Brahman there is included the intention to describe the

state, in which the world is disregarded, for this whole world, whose nature is manifested and not manifested, is impure, transient, a compound of cause and effect, the object of unhappiness and ignorance, and therefore for him who has no regard for this world, the knowledge of Brahman is to be commenced

There, by the division of the provisions, their application is set forth "He assigned one as the common to all," this is a part of the Mantra. Its explanation is as follows "The common provision to all" to all enjoyers, what is it? "that which is eaten," enjoyed by all beings day by day, this common food, the object of all enjoyers, the father assigned after the creation of the provision

"Whosoever worships," which means is attached to, (for worship means also attachment, as it is clear from common expressions such as: "He worships the teacher," "he worships the king,") "it" liz, the common provision, which is to be enjoyed, the cause of the preservation of all living beings, whose principal object is the enjoyment of provision for the sake of the preservation of the body, and not work for the sake of something unseen, "the same," being of such a nature, "does not turn," is not liberated, "from sin," from vice. Thus a Mantra says "He enjoys useless food," etc (Kr. Yajur Brahmana 2d Adh 8th Pr. 8th Anva). Also the Smṛti "Let him not cook food for himself" (alone) "He, who eats, without giving to the guests, is a thief. Even a person who procures abortion, becomes free from sin if he eats food after he has first distributed it"

Why again does he not turn from sin? "for it is mixed", for the property, which is enjoyed by the living creatures, is undivided (and therefore it does not belong to one as the property, left by a father, does not belong to any of his sons, before it is divided) because it is the object of enjoyment for all Even the morsel which is put into the mouth is observed to cause pain in the mind of another for,

¹ According to Ananda, a person who kills a high caste Brāhmana

while there is the desire let me have it the hope of every one is thereby excluded Therefore it is impossible to take even a mouthful of food without giving pain to another Thus it is said in the Smith It is the full to finan etc.

Some (the Bhartrprapancy) say The food called that of the Vissedovas which is daily given by the house holder is here meant. This is not evident like the fool which is an object of enjoyment for all living being nor does it agree with the passage that which is caten Because the food which is called the food of the Viscederas is in cluded in the food which is an object of enjoyment for all living beings therefore it is proper to understand the food which may be eaten by a dog a Candala etc for it is the food which beside the Vistodevas is taken by a dog Candala etc In this case the expression that which is oaten is proper for if food is not to mean general food then it must be said that it has neither been created nor applied by the father but it has been granted that all food has been created and distributed by the father and it is very improper to say that a per on who has performed the work which is called that of the Viscederas and which is in accordance Sa tras is not released from all sin Nor is there a prohibition of it nor is it like the action of catch ing fish blamed for its own nature because it is performed by good people and because the Sruti declares it sin if it is not done moreover otherwi c also sin is obtained for it is said in a Mantra I am food I out him who cats food -And two to the gods This is a part of the Mantra

And two to the gous. This is a part of the Mantra Which are the two provision which after their creation he assigned to the gods? The answer is. The sacrifice (Hutam) and the oblations (Prahutam). Sacrifice is an offering in the fire. The oblation is the taking of the offerable food after the sacrifice. Because the father made over these two provisions the sacrifice and the oblation to

The Brahmans has twice daily to perform the ceremony of Homa (the offering of give in fire) When the food is ready a part of it is to be offered in the fire to the gods this is called Hutam while another part is thrown on the ground is an offering to those gods who are called Dh.rmadi

the gods, therefore at this time house holders also "sacrifice to the gods," thinking, this food must be given by us to the gods, "and offer," which means, and having sacrifice, take the offering "Again others say," the two provisions which were given by the father to the gods, are not the sacrifice and the oblations, what then? "They mean the ceremonies at the new and full moon (Darsapurnamasau). Here, according to the first supposition, it will be said, because there is no difference with reference to the dual number (dve, two provisions, which may be as well applied to Hutaprahuta as to the Darsapurnamasau) and because they (the Huta and Prahuta) are otherwise known, it means the sacrifice (Huta) and the oblation (Prahuta) But although the dual number accords also with the sacrifice and the oblation, yet the ceremonies at the new and full moon are also performed by the Srauta fire, 1 and the notion of their being provisions, is yet better known, because they are declared in a Mantra ' And if a quality and the thing to which it refers, are obtained (at the same time) the latter must first be comprehended, but to the ceremonies at the new and full moon the idea of priority must be assigned rather than to the sacrifice and oblation. Therefore it is proper to think of them by the words "And two to the gods" Because those two provisions under the name of the provisions at the new and full moon, were designed by the father for the gods, "therefore," in order to remove any objection, that they are made for the gods, "it is not their nature to be associated with desires" (1sti.) that the term "1sti" means desire, is clear from the Satapatha Brahmana, (the affix uka in the word "Istiyayuka," means a natural inclination)

"One he gave to the animals" Which is the one provision which the father gave to the animals? "This is milk" How again is it known, that the animals are the possessors of that provision? The text answers "For

¹ The house-holder has to perform his daily ceremonies, etc, by the Smārta fire (the fire ordained by the Smrti) and the ceremonies at the new and full moon by the Srauta fire (the fire ordained by the Sruti)

before because before at first men or well as animals subsist on milk alone therefore this provision is proper for them how could they otherwise before subsist on it in accordance with a law? How do they before subsist on it? The onswer is Because men as well as animals subsist on that provision (as this application is made at the commonce ment although there existed also other provi ions) there fore they the three castes either feed cause to cot

the child when born at the ceremony of its birth with melted butter together with gold 1 or they put him afterwards to the broost they cause him afterwords to drink milk. As it is naturol for the others (11 for animals different from men) they put the young onimal first to the breast. Then they call the bobe bern." Of what size (that is to say age) let he bobe? To this question it is replied for he does not out grass even until this day he does not out grass which means that a very young child even until this day hives upon mill. And what he before been said that at first at the ceremony ofter birth they subsist on melted butter and others on milk (11 thot they subsist on melted butter and others on milk (11 thot they subsist on melted butter is of the some nature as milk being o modification of it. Why is the provision for onimols which was before (in the Montro) given in the seventh cose exploined here in the fourth? This is done become a thereby the work is occomplished for all work which refers to burnt offerings at e depends upon milk as its cause

And this work (milk) which is to be effected by wealth is to effect the three provisions which will be meationed as the before mentioned two provisions of the ceremonies at the new ond full moon (are the cause of the three provisions) therefore it (milk) being a part of the work (ceremonial work) it is declared to be identical with work Moreover since there is no difference as to its being a couse (as this provision milk is a cause in the same way as the former two

 $^{^{1}}$ It is only a touching of the tongue with molted butter mixed with gold

provisions are) and hence the meaning (of the two former provisions with milk) is similar, the succession (in which the topics are described in the Mantra) is disregarded (lit is no reason, that the explanation should not have been made in a different order). It is also done, because the explanation is thereby made more easy, for the provisions, when treated one after another, can be easily explained, and, when explained, are easily understood

"Upon this all is founded, whatsoever breathes and whatsoever not breathes" What is the meaning of this? The answer is "Upon this," the animal provision, "all," be it characterized as belonging to the soul, or to the material sphere or to the superintendence of deities, the whole world, is founded, "whatsoever breathes," endowed with the effort of breathing, "and whatsoever not breathes," immoveable matter, as rocks, etc. And there (in the text) it is explained by the term "for," which illustrates anything already well known How can it be said that the notion "to be the foundation of all," belongs to milk? On account of its being considered as cause. And it is the intimate cause of all the works connected with burnt-offering, and by its nature a modification of the oblation of a burntsacrifice That it is the whole world (which is founded thereon) is established by a hundred passages of the S'ruti and Smrti, wherefore it is proper to explain it by the term "for" "The saying" in other Brahmanas, "a person, offering throughout a year with milk, overcomes the second death" (here by the year are in fact meant three hundred and sixty days, and in them there are seven hundred and twenty burnt-offerings) The bricks which are collected by the sacrificer in accordance with the Yajur Veda and the days and nights of the year, obtain Prajapati in the shape of the fire, which is called Samvatsara (the annual fire). Having performed in this manner offering throughout the year, a person overcomes the second death, having died he becomes like the gods, and does not die again This, which

¹ The sacrificer, or in his stead his appointed substitute, is after every daily ceremony to put down a brick to maik the number of ceremonies he has performed in the year

is said in the passages of other Brihmanas let none understand this in such a manner lot it not be explained Which day a person sacrifices the same des he overcomes the second death at does not depend upon the practice of the whole year. A person who thing I now a becau e all 19 a me lifeation of the burnt offering of milk obtains the nature of the world (of Prayapati) in one day Thus it i said He exercences the econd death sage once dying being separated from the body becomes the univer at soul (the nature of all) which means does not assume a finite body for dying again What again I the reason that by gaining the nature of all he overcomes death? It is replied for he give because he gives all the entable food te the gods to all of them by his merning and evening burnt offerings therefore it i proper that he-after having made his whole self like a burnt offering after having gained one identical nature with all the gods hy his being the provision of all the gods and after being like all the gods-should not die again this manner it is also said in a Brahmana The self existing Brahman (in the form of Hirany agarbha) performed penacco Then he reflected Verily there i a limit of penance alas let me offer myself in all beings and all beings in my clf therefore having offered himself in all beings and all beings in himself he obtained by his excellency over all beings his kingdem his dominion. For what reason do they not decrease although they are continually consumed? From the time that the previsions after their creation were given by the father to the souch different enjoyers they are consumed by them Since they (the provisions) are the cause of their (of the enjoyers) preservation and since what has been made their decrease is preper But they do not decrease as is evident from the world continuing in an unshaken manner hence there must be a cause for it that they do not decrease Therefore it is asked For what reason again do they not decrease The reply is The soul is verily the cause that they do not decrease

As the father was before the creator of the provisions by understanding, and as he was enjoyer by the five-fold work in connection with a wife, etc, in the same manner also those, to whom the provisions are given, although they are enjoyers of those provisions, are fathers, they produce by understanding and penance those provisions. It is then declared the soul which is the enjoyer of the provisions, is the cause, that they do not decrease How is it the cause, that they do not decrease? The answer is: "For he," because he "again and agian produces this provision," viz, this seven-fold provision, which is to be enjoyed, the characteristic of which is to be cause and effect, and which consists in the effect of work, "by understanding, by understanding," by knowledge which will take place at this or the other time, "and by works," the effects of speech, mind and body "If he did not produce it," the mentioned seven-fold provisions, even for an instant, by knowledge and works, then he would be separated from the permanent enjoyment, "it would verily decrease" And the meaning is therefore, because the soul, the enjoyer of the provisions, uninterruptedly produces them according to his knowledge and action, therefore is the soul the cause that they do not decrease, as it is a constant agent, therefore the provisions, although consumed, do not decrease Hence the whole world, the totality, characterized by knowledge and the result of action, consisting of effect and cause, being the effect resulting from action, because it is an expanse, concreted by the actions and desires of numberless embodied souls, joined together, of a moment's duration, impure, without substance like the continuation of a light reflected on the current of a river, without substance like the trunk of the plantam-tree, like foam, like a deception, like the water in a mirage, like a dream, etc, although changeable and transient, appears to be substantial to those whose knowledge is formed by it For the sake of disregarding the world it is said "He produces this provision by understanding and by works If he did not produce it, it would verily decrease", for in this manner the disregard of those who disregard the world has success therefore the

cience of Brahman will be commenced in the fourth chapter Whosperer knows the cause that they do not decrease -the three prove ions to be mentioned are by this opportunity explained in this manner the effect of true knowledge is set forth. Whotoover know the cause that they do not decrease the soul is serily the cause that they do not decrea e for he produces this provision by understanding by understanding and by woll if he did not produce if it would verily decrease—he extraord in the true manner. The sense of this i explained in the prin cipal manner whoseeser knows in the principal manner that the cause of the non-decrease of the provisions is the father the soul he ests the food a person who knows is not placed as the isnora it is in accidental relation to the food having become the substance of the p ovi lone he le alone the enjoyer he does not become an object of enjoyment (for others) he has to the gol obtains a godlike state he lives upon strength and immortality this is said for the sake of praise it has not another meaning relative to an invisible effect

अंतयात लाउ भी मना मान प्राय ताल्या तिन्दु स्थाल्यन ला अभून तार जिल्ला अभून नाशीपतिति भागा तिन प्रयति मनता श्याति । यात्र मन्त्रया निनित्तः। श्रद्धात्रश्रस्त शृति-शृति निर्माति वेत तो मन या तिनाति पृष्टत उपस्पृण मनता विनातति य यथा दार्या वास्त स्था त्रम्वास्त्रवीति हिन प्रायाज्यान। त्रात्र च छ ना नातिज्ञ र येतत्त्रन प्राय विवासने या अथना ना वाद्यनी मनामय नामान ॥

three he made for himself—itz—mind speech and his these he made for himself—I was absent in mind I did not hear—in this manner it is evident that a person sees with the mind hears with the mind—Desire deter mination, uncertainty belief unbelief steadines,

non-steadiness, shame, intellect, fear, all this is the mind alone. Therefore, when touched from behind, a person knows by the mind. Every sound whatsoever is speech, for it extends as far as the end, for it is not an object of manifestation. The vital air, which goes forwards, the vital air, which goes downwards, the vital air, which goes everywhere, the vital air, which goes upwards, and the vital air, which equalises, vital air, all this is life (Prāna). Thus modified the soul is the modification of mind, the modification of speech, and the modification of life

The three provisions, mentioned before which are the effects of the five-fold work, exceed in value the others on account of their native as effects and of their extensiveness For the separate explanation of them, the present section, from the words. "Three he made for himself," until the end of this Brahmana is commenced What is its meaning? The answer is "Mind, speech and life, these' three provisions, these mind, speech and life, "he," the father, at the commencement of the creation, "made for himself," for his own sake With reference to them there is a doubt as to the existence and nature of the mind, hence it is said the mind exists as something different from the ear and the other external organs, because it is well known, that, although there is a connection of the soul with the objects of the external organs, yet a person does not (always) apprehend a present object A person, when mind wandered somewhere else, "I was absent in mind, I did not see" In the same manner when asked Dost thou hear my word? he answers "I was absent in mind, I did not hear" Therefore, it is evident that that, in the absence of which a knowledge of form, sound, etc., by the eye, ear, etc, (the instruments fit to apprehend forms, sounds, etc.,) does not take place, although they (eye, ear, etc.,) are in connection with their respective objects, and by the

presence of which such a knowledge takes place -is some thing different named the mind the internal organ connected with the objects of all the other organs. Therefore in this manner it is evident that a person overs person sees with the mind hear with the mind because the mind being di turbed there is no seeing hearing etc. The existence of the mind having been proved the following is said with reference to its nature. Desire —the wish to be united with a weman ste, determination—the ascertaining of a present object of white blue ote cortainty -doubt belief -the knowledge of the existonce of actions whose effects are not percessed of delities unbelief -the I nowledge opposite to the former steadings -the firmness in pain of body etc non steadiness -the opposite of the former shame bashfulne a Intellect - knowledge fear apprelien all the etc is the mind are forms of the mind of the internal organ Another rea on is also mentioned for the exitence of the mind Because when touched from bolded by some body unperceived by the eye a person is aware by discrimination this is a touch of hand that a touch of the knee therefore exists an internal organ called mind If there were nothing which di criminates called mind how could there be discrimination by the more skin therefore the mind the eause of the possibility of discrimination exists. Its nature has already been shown

The three provisions the effects of actions it mind speech and life are here to be explained maximicit as they are subservious to the soul as they have their material sphere and as they are superintended by detres Ameng them it among mind speech and life as subservious to to soul the mind has been explained. New speech will be explained. Fivery sound whatseever it —either that which is characterized as a letter etc. to be prenounced through the palate and other ergans by the agency of living beings or the other lind produced by draims clouds etc.—every sound is speech. Such is the nature of speech now its effect will be mentioned. For it for

speech "extends as far as the end," the conclusion of what is to be named, the determination of the same, "for it" again, as being the manifester, is not to be manifested, as is what is to be named, because its nature is to manifest like a light, etc., for what manifests, as a light and the like, is not manifested by some other manifestation. In the same manner speech which manifests, is not manifested itself Thus the sruti removes the regres sum in infinitum (that anything which manifests, supposes something else by which it is manifested, etc.) It is not an object of manifestation, and the meaning is, manifestation is the effect of speech Now "the vital air, which goes forwards," (respiration, Prāna) is explained Respiration is the function of the heart which is to be discharged by the mouth and nose Respiration (Prana is derived from Pranayana, taking forwards) "The vital air which goes downwards," (flatulence, Apana) whose function is downwards, from the carrying away of excrements, urine, etc, the vital air which goes everywhere," (circulation Vyana) has its place from the navel (throughout the whole body) and is called Vyana from its function of regulating (Vyayamana), it is the union of the functions of respiration and flatulence and the cause of energetic action "The vital air, which goes upwards," (Eructation Udāna) is the getting stout, it is the cause of going upwards, the function of rising from the sole of the feet to the head, "the vital air, which equalises," (assimilation, Samana) because it equally (Samam) carries (distributes) what is eaten and drunk its place is the stomach, and its function to digest the food, vital air (Ana) is the common function of all those special functions, and united with the common effort of the body "All this," the whole of the mentioned functions of respiration, etc., is life (Prana), Life, as having a function and subservient to the soul, is not mentioned, but its action has been explained by showing the division of its Explained are the provisions called mind, speech and life, in their relation as subservient to the soul "Thus modified," viz, by the modifications commenced by mind, speech and life, as referring to Prajapati

Which is that soul the whole of causes and effects the thus or thus modified totality which hy those who do not discriminate from want of distinction is thought to be of the nature of the soul? The mentioned totality is the modification of speech the modification of mind and the modification of life this also is said for the purpose to determine life

> 4 त्रयो होता एत एव वागेनान लाको मनोडन्तरिक्षलोक प्रामोसी लाक ॥

Thoy are even the three worlds speech is even this world mind the atmospheric world life that blro.

The material sphere (Adhibhautika) of these provisions of Prajapati will now be stated They speech mind and life are even the three worlds earth atmo sphere and heaven Their distinction is speech is even this world mind the atmosphere and life that world

5 त्रयो वेदा एत एव बागे वर्गेदा मनो यज्ञर्व प्राण मामवेद ॥

They are even the three Vedas speech is even the Rg Veda mind the Yajur Veda and life the Sama Veda

> 6 देवा पितरो मन्त्रया एत एव नागेव देवा मन पितर प्राणो मनुष्या 📗

They are even the gods the forefathers and men speech is even the gods mind the forefathers and life men

7 पिता माता प्रजेत एव मन एव पिता वाङ्गाता प्राण प्रजा ॥

They are even father, mother and child, mind is even the father, speech the mother, and life the child

In the same manner "They are even," etc., the meaning of these words is plain

8 विज्ञात विजिज्ञास्यमविज्ञातमेत एव यत् किच विज्ञातं वाचस्तद्रूपं वाग्वि विज्ञाता वागेनं तद्भूत्वाSविति ॥

They are even that which is known, which is wished to be known, and which is not known, whatsoever is known, is of the nature of speech, for speech is known, speech being of such a nature, preserves a person.

"They are even that which is known, which is wished to be known, and which is not known" Their distinction is, "whatever is known," fully known, "is of the nature of speech", and the text gives the reason for this, "for speech is known," because its nature is to manifest. How can that be unknown which makes other things also known? "By speech the universal king is known as a companion," will be said afterwards. The effect of knowing the nature of speech is "speech being of such a nature, being known, preserves him," viz, the person who knows the mentioned perfections of speech, that is to say, in the form of knowledge becomes a provision, an enjoyment, for him

9. यत्किच विजिज्ञास्यं मनसस्तद्रूप मनो हि विजिज्ञास्य मन एन तद्भूत्वाऽवित ॥

Whatsoever is wished to be known, is of the nature of the mind, for the mind is desired to be known, the mind being of such a nature, preserves a person.

10 यत्भिचाविज्ञात प्राणस्य तदूष प्राणो विविज्ञात प्राण एन तद्भूत्वाऽत्रति ॥

Whatsoever is not known is of the nature of life for life is not known life being of such a nature preserves a person

In the same manner whatsoever how indistinct scover desired to be known distinctly is wished to be known is of the nature of the mind for because the mind on account of its doubtful nature is desired to be known As before is described the reward for one who knows the perfection of the mind The mind being of such a nature 112 which is wished to be known sorves him obtains the nature of a provision by its own oharacteristic to be some thing wished to be known the same manner whatsoever is not known is not an object of knowledge nor doubted is of the nature of life for life is not known not known in its nature since it is not heard to be determined As the distinction of speech mind and life is established by the division into what is known what is wished to be known and what is not known (viz by a division comprehending all objects of knowledge) the three worlds etc are only mentioned for the sake of illustration By the passage showing that the nature of what is known is overywhere etc. its rule is to be remembered. Life being of such nature preserves him which means life with its unknewn nature becomes his provision Teachers fathers eto appear to be doubted and their assistance to be not known by disciples sens etc the same manner is obtained the nature of the provisions of mind and life which are doubted and not 1 nown

11 तस्यैव वाच पृत्रिमा अमि ज्यातो १०५५ममिस्त्रवानत्येव वाकावती पृत्रिवी तामानमामि ॥ Of this speech is earth the body, its illuminating nature that fire Therefore as far as speech *extends*, so far *extends* the earth, so far fire.

The material extent of speech, mind and life has been explained, now their sphere as superintended by derties, is commenced "Of this speech," which is shown in the text under the notion of a provison of Prajapati, "is earth the body," the external locality, "its illuminating nature," the manifesting organ, located upon the earth, "that" earthly, "fire", for twofold is Prajapati's speech, viz, effect, locality, which does not manifest, and secondly organ, which is placed in that locality and which does not manifest Both of them, earth and fire, are the speech of Prajapati "Therefore (tat, explained by Sankara with tattra, with reference to this)" as far as, in measure "speech, extends," in its divisions, viz, in its subserviency to the soul and in its material sphere, "so far extends the earth," which is established everywhere under the notion of locality as effect, "so far goes that fire," as located in the form of sense, it entered so far with its illuminating nature the earth The last (relation, viz, the sphere superintended by deities) is the same (and therefore not commented on)

12 अयैतस्य मनसो द्योः जरीर ज्योती रूपमसाव।दित्यस्तद्यावदेव मनस्तावती द्योस्तावानसावादित्यस्तौ मियुन्य समैता ततः प्राणोऽजायत स इन्द्रः स एषोऽसपत्नीऽद्वितीयो न सपत्नो नास्य सपत्नो भवति य एव वेद ॥

Again, of this mind is heaven the body, its illuminating nature Aditya. Therefore as far as mind extends, so far extends heaven, so far that Aditya. They united in love. Hence life was produced. He is India, he is without rival. A second verily is a fival Whosoever knows this, has no rival

Again of this min! which has been declared a provision of Prajapat! is heaven the place of heaven the body the effect the locality its illuminating nature its organ that which is to be located that Aditya. There as far as in measure mind as ub erviont to the soul or in its material sphere extends so far in expanse in measure is fixed heaven to be the locality for the illuminating organ of the mind so far that Aditya the illuminating organ which requires to be located Thos Aminne Aditya speech and mind in thoir nature as superintending deities mother and father united in love Both having the intention I will do the action it sa -between the two places (heaven and earth) projuced by the mind Adits a as father and manifested by speech Agni as mother Hence by the union of both of them life alr produced for the sale of motion of work. He who was born is Inira the supreme lord (Paramersara) and net only Indra but without rival Without rival means a per on for a hom there exists no rival. Who again has reall, a rival? A second verily a econd who approaches with the intention of contention is called a rival Accordingly although speech and inind have the lature of a second yet they entertain no rivalry for they have a friendly intention towards life .- The fruit arising from the knowledge that there is no rivalship from those who were united in love is like that derived from the subservience to the soul as follows Whosoover knows this life as it has been de cribed as being without rival i he who has true kno vledge has no rival no antagonist

13 अतिस्य प्रामन्याप दारीर च्योता क्यममी चन्द्रस्तवातानंव प्रामन्तावन्य अधन्तावानमी चन्द्रस्त एत स्त्र एय समा सर्वेऽनन्ता स या देशानन्तवत उपास्तवन्तवन्त्यस लोक जववा देशानन्तानुपास्तवनन्तर स लोक जयित ॥

Again of this life are the waters the body, the illuminating nature that moon. Therefore as far as life extends, so far extend the waters, so far extends that moon. They are even all alike, all infinite Whoso-ever worships them as finite (beings) conquers a finite world, again whosoever worships them as infinite (beings) conquers an infinite world

"Again of this life,' of the life, set forth in the text, which is a provision of Prajapati, not the life which has been declared as offspring, and described immediately before, are "the waters the body," the effect, the locality for the organs, "illuminating nature," as before "that moon" There "as far as," in measure, "life extends," in its divisions as subservient to the soul and as material sphere, "so far extend" pervade, "the waters," in their measure, "so far" extends that moon" which is to be located, so far, having entered the waters, and being of the nature of sense, it extends, as far as the sphere, subservient to the soul, and the material sphere extend Those provisions, created by the father through the five-fold work, bear the names of speech, mind and life The whole world in its sphere, subservient to the soul, and in its material sphere, is pervaded by them, or, besides them, there is nothing whatsoever, either effect or cause But all these are Prajapati "They," speech, mind and life, "are even all alike," having the same extent, are as far pervading, as the objects of living beings together with the sphere subservient to the soul and the material sphere Therefore they are "infinite", because they are present in all bodies, for a body cannot be conceived without cause and effect, for it is said that they consist of cause and effect "Whosoever worships them," which are of the nature of Prajapati, "as finite," limited, under the notion of their material sphere and then sphere, subservient to the soul, "conquers" as the reward in accordance with his worships, "a finite world," a world which is limited, which means, "he does not get their nature" "Again, whosoever worship them as infinite," as possessing the nature of all, living beings, as unlimited, conquers an infinite world"

14 स एप सवत्सर प्रजापति पोडश+एन्तस्य राज्य एव पश्टशक्ता धुनैवास्य पोडशी कला म राजिभिरेता च प्रयतेऽप च क्षीयते सोऽभावास्यार गिजमेतया पोजना क ना सविषद प्राणभटनु प्रविच्य तत प्रातजायतं तस्मादेतार राजि प्राणभृत प्राण न विष्टित्यारिष कुकलासस्वेतस्या एय देवताना अपचित्य ॥

That Prayapiti in his likeness of the year consists of 16 parts (Kala). His nights are even 15 parts his fixed part is the sixteenth. He becomes full and wanes by the nights. At the day of the new moon during the night entering with this sixteenth part all that is endowed with life he is then the next day bern in the morning. Therefore let in that night nobedy cut off the life of any one endowed with life not even of the chameleon. It is intended for the henour of this deity.

It has been said that the father after having created seven previsions by the five fold work produced three provi sions for his own sake. They are explained to he the offects of the five fold work. How again are they called the effect of the five fold work? Because even in the e three provision the five feld work is perceived as wealth and works are there probable therefore is their cause also similar There ias to the three previsions) the earth and fire are the mother heaven and Aditya the father and the life (air) which is in the middle of them is their offspring as it has been explained On account of this wealth and work should be produced this means to imply the commencement Prajapati in his likeness of the year who has been set forth in the text as consisting of three parts is determined in a special manner by the nature of the year as consist ing of sixteen parts. He consisting of sixteen parts is the year the nature of time. His prajapatis as the substance of time, 'nights," days and nights meaning lunar days (tithi) are fifteen parts "His fixed," permanent, "part" remains, as "the sixteenth," as the part (Kala), which completes the sixteenth "He" becomes full and wanes by the nights, by the lunar days which are called parts (Kala), for by the first day of lunation, and by those which succeed it, the moon Prajapati, gets full in the light half of the lunation, it grows by the increasing parts (Kalas) until the orb is full at the day of the full moon, it wanes by the decreasing parts in the dark half of the lunation, until the one permanent part remains in the dark night "He," Prajāpati, the substance of time, "at the day of the new moon during the night," "entering with this sixteenth part," which has been called the permanent part, "all that is endowed with life," all living beings, viz, all that drinks water and all that eats annual plants pervading all this by his identity with the annual plants (or with water) and abiding during the dark night, "is then" the next day, "born in the morning," united (the moon) with its second part In the following manner is Prajapati of five-fold nature The heaven and the sun are the mind the father, the earth and fire speech, the wife, the mother, and their offspring is life, the days of the moon, the parts (Kalā) are the wealth, because by their increasing and decreasing they are like wealth, and the work of those parts is what effects the change of the world In this manner the whole Prajapati has become the effect of the five-fold work, in accordance with the desires "Let me have a wife," - again "Let me be born," again, "Let me have wealth," again, "Let me perform work," for as the cause so is the effect, is a principle even with the common people Because the moon, having that night entered all living creatures, is possessed of its permanent part, "therefore," on this ground, "let in that night nobody cut off the life of any one endowed with life," let him not destroy a living creature, "not even of a chameleon," for the chameleon, as being wicked, is naturally destroyed, since it is considered to forebode bad luck, when it is seen "But then enmity to living beings is prohibited according to the passage of the Smiti" "Not killing living

oreatures except at holy places. Very good it is prohibited but yot it mut not be said that the sentence means to make an exception for any other time than the day of the dark night or an exception for the killing of the chameleon What then does it mean? It is intended for the honour the adoration of this doty viz the doty of the moon

15 यो वे स स्वतस्तर प्रजापित योडशक्त रेडपमेन स योडमेव नित्पुरुक्तस्य वित्तमेन पद्मनशक्तृत्त आत्मेनस्य पोडशी क्ला स वित्तेनेता च पृयतेडप च क्षायते तदेतक्रम्य उत्तमात्मा प्रधि नित्त तस्माचचिप सनक्यानि जीनत आत्मना चेत्रापति प्रधिमा गोत्तिसेबाहु ॥

That Prayment who under the likenes of the year is possessed of sixteen parts is even this. That this is a person who thus knows. His wealth is the fifteen parts his soul (self) is his sixteenth part. He gets full and wanes even by wealth.

It is well known that the soul is like the nave of a wheel wealth like its periphery. Therefore although he suffers the loss of all if he lives he is bare of the periphery as it is said.

That Prajapati bearing the name of the in who under the likeness of the year is possessed of sixteen parts must not be thought as absolutely invisible because he is even this he is perceived visibly. Who is that this? He is the person who thus knows who knows that Prajapati whose nature consists of the three provisions is like lumself. By the similarity with what is he Prajapati? The answer is His wealth the wealth in cows etc of a person who thus knows is the fifteen parts. For the sake of his completeness, his soul, the individual self, is his (the

knowing person's) sixteenth part, representing the permanent Kalā "He," like the moon, "gets full and wanes even by wealth" "It is well known" in common life, that "the soul," the "individual" self, "is like the nave of a wheel, wealth like its periphery," represented by the family, the external part of the wheel, viz, spokes and circumference, etc "Therefore, although he suffers the loss of all," of his property, suffers distress, "if he lives," "by the soul," represented by the nave of the wheel, he is bare of," he has lost "the periphery," the external wealth, the family, as a wheel, deprived of spokes and circumference, "as it is said," and the meaning is, if he lives, he is again increasing in wealth, represented by the spokes and circumference

16 अथ त्रयो वाव लोका मनुष्यलोक: पितृलोको देवलोक इति सोऽय मनुष्यलोक पुत्रेणैव जय्यो नान्येन कर्मणा कर्मणा पितृलोको विद्यया देवलोको देवलोको वै लोकानाप् श्रेष्टस्तस्माद्विद्या प्रशण्सन्ति ॥

Again there are verily three worlds, the world of man, the world of the forefathers, and the world of the gods. The world of man is to be conquered by a son, and not by any other work, (or knowledge,) by work the world of the forefathers, by science the world of the gods. The world of the gods is the best among the worlds. Therefore they praise science

It has been explained, how by five-fold work in connection with science, the wealth of the gods, Prajāpati is possessed of the nature of the three provisions, it has afterwards been said, how the wealth of a wife, etc., is represented by the family. There (in the former section) it has only generally been understood, that a son, work and inferior science (knowledge of the Vedas with reference to ceremonies), are causes of obtaining the worlds, but the rule of the special connection of a son, etc., with the effect, which is the obtaining of the worlds, has not been

understood To explain the special connection of the effects with their causes ii, a son etc the present section is commenced. The term again has the object to introduce the sentence there are verily verily it is show certainty three words, alone fit to be cause, stated by the Sastra.

three worlds alone fit to be causes stated by the Sastra neither more nor less Which are they? The answer is

The world of man the world of the ferefathers and the world of the gods. Ameng them the world of man is to be gained by is the effect of a sen as cause (how it is to be gained by a son will afterwards be said) and not by any other work or knowledge as must be supplied here by work alone as for instance the daily burnt offering (Agmilietra) the world of the forefathers is to be gained and not by a sen nor by knowledge — by science the world of the gods not by a sen nor by work. The world of the gods is the best the one most deserving of praise among the three worlds. Therefore they praise science because it is the cause of it

17 अगत सप्रसित । प्रेयन्मन्यतेऽ यु प्रमाह स्य ब्रह्म त्य य सल्ल लेक इति स पुत्र अन्याहार् प्रहाह यवाइह लाक इति यहुँ फिचानुक सम्य समस्य ब्रह्मेन्येवना । ये वे क च यवाहतवाह मर्गेषा यव इत्येकता ये व क च राक्षाम्त्रीय मर्गषा लाक र येक्तेनाच्या इट्टर सम्मतन्या चत्रः सम्मानाऽगुनजनिति तत्त्वात् पुत्रमनुशिष्ट राज्यमाहुम्तम्यादेनमनु ग्रानित स यदेव वि न्याद्रोका अन्यत्रमित्व प्राण सह पुत्रमानित्ति स न्येनन किचित्रदेशया स्कृत भगति तत्साद्रनर समस्या पुत्री सुखित तत्सात् भुत्रा नाम स पुत्रम्यास्तिराक्षी प्रतितिम्ययनमेत देवा पाणा अमृता आनिकान्ति ॥

Hence again the making over When the father thinks he is to die, then he says to his son. Thou art Brahman thou art the sacrifice thou art the world. The son repeats I am Brahman I am

the sacrifice, I am the world "Of all that has been read, is Brahman the identity Of all the sacrifices that are to be performed, is sacrifice the identity. Of all the worlds that are to be conquered, is world the identity Thus far extends verily all this All this multitude preserves me from this world. Therefore they call a son who is instructed, Lokya, therefore they instruct him. When he, having such a knowledge, departs from this world, then he enters together with those lives the son. If by him anything through negligence, remains undone, the son liberates him from all this. Hence the name of a son (Putra). He continues by a son alone in this world. Then those divine, immortal lives enter him.

In this manner the three causes, viz., a son, work, knowledge, find their application according to the division of the effect, viz, the three worlds which are to be accomplished But a wife, because it is necessary for the sake of a son and of work, is not a separate cause, and is therefore not separately mentioned. Also wealth, as it is the cause of work, is not a separate cause It is evident, that knowledge and work are means of conquering the worlds in consequence of their recovering their own nature But a son not being of the nature of work, it is not clear, in what manner he is the means of conquering the worlds "Hence," it is to be explained, in this manner "again," afterwards is commenced, "the making over", this is the name of the work which is to be now related, for the father makes over the duties he has himself to perform, in the manner to be mentioned, to his son hence this work bears the name of "making over" At what time is this to be done "When the father thinks, he is to die," by inauspicious signs and the like, then he says to his son (after having called him) "Thou art Brahman, thou art the sacrifice, thou art the world"

addressed he repeats That is to say being instructed before he knows I have to do this In this manner he says the three sentences I am Brahman I am the sacrifice Under the idea that the sense of the same I am the world is concerled the Sruti continuas for its explanation Of all that has been read the remainder of what has been read that is to say read or not read is Brahman the identity is the identity in the term of Brahman. The practice of reading with reference to the Vodas which was hitherto thy duty is henceforth theu Brahman which means must be done by thy agency. In the same manner Of all the sacrifices that were to be performed by me may they be performed by me or not performed is sacrifice the identity is the identity in the term sacrifice. The sacri fices which were to be performed by my egency are hence forth Theu sacrifice which means are to be performed by thy agency All the worlds that are to be conquered by me whother they are conquered or not conquered is world the identity is the identity in the term world Hence forward they are thou world to be conquered by thee henceforward the sacrifice which it was my duty to perform by reading (the Vedas) offerings and conquering of the worlds is laid by me upon thee hut I am free from the sacrifice consisting in the bondage of duty And the san has understood all in this manner because he was instructed (before) The Sruti having considered there the intention of the father gives this explanation. Thus far extends verily all this this is the limit of all the duties of a house holder viz that the Vedas are to be read the sacrifices to be performed and the world to be conquered All this multitude (for all this burden to which I am subject when taken from me and placed upon you) preserves me saves me from this world (The past Abhunajat in Samsket has in the Sruti the meaning of the future Palayisyati) Because a sen who thus knows is to liberate his father from this world from the bondage of duty therefore they the Brahmanas call a sen who is thus instructed Lokya which means good for his father

obtaining the worlds Therefore they the fathers

"instruct a son" under the expectation that he will be there Lokya (procurer of the worlds) "When he," the father, "having such a knowledge," after having delivered to the son the sacrifice of duty, "departs from this world," dies, "then he enters with those lives the son," pervades him with the speech, mind and life set forth in the text When the cause of distinction relative to the soul (which cause is ignorance) is removed, the speech, mind and life of the father enter all with their nature as superintended by deities, viz, in their likeness with the earth, the fire and the Together with those lives the father also enters, because the father is transformed into the nature of speech, mind and life, for the father is transformed in such a manner as "I am the infinite speech, mind and life, extending as far as the distinctions of what relates to the soul, to matter and to the superintendance of derties" Therefore his life is continuation of the father's, and it is hence properly said, "then together with those lives he enters the son", for it is said, he becomes the soul (substance) of all and (therefore also) of the son, and the meaning is, the father who has a thus instructed son, continues in this world, is by the likeness with the son not to be thought dead In this manner it is said in another passage of the Sruti "He is made his other soul by holy works" (A U B I vii. p 226th, M 4) Now the text gives the derivation of Putra (son) "If by him," by the father at anytime, "anything through negligence, remains," meanwhile, "undone," which ought to be done, "the son liberates him from all this," which bearing the nature of duty, was left undone by the father, and which is an obstacle to gaining the worlds, he liberates him, by completing it (Pūrayitvā) through its own practice "Therefore," because he saves the father by completing (Pūranena) hence the name of a son (Putia) This is the true notion of a son (Putrasya Putratvam) that by filling (Pūrayitvā) the whole of the father he saves him "He," the father, "continues," although dead, yet immortal, "by a son," of such a kind, "in this world" this manner the father conquers (obtains) by the son this world of man, not so, however, the worlds of the gods and

the forefathers hy knowledge and work but the conquers them) by assuming their nature alone for without assuming their own nature knowledge and work cannot possibly become cau es of conquering the world by dependence upon another as it is the case with a sen. Then after the father has made over his duties those divine belonging to Hirayy agardha immortal whose nature it is not to die hives speech and the others enter him. How? The answer is given in the next cetion by the words. The divine speech etc.

In this manner it has shown by the Sruti itself that a son work and inferior knowledge have the power of accomplishing the worlds of men of the forefathers and of the gods. In respect of this some a ranglers (the Mimam akas as are meant) not knowing the special meanings of the words of the anti-as that a son and the other cau os have the power to effect liberation. To silonce them the passage has been alson by the Srutt commencing with Jet me have a wife and all the other five fold work which accomply her desire continuing with So far goes the desire of a on ote and concluding with the application to the special effects to be accomplished Honco it is evident that the passage of the Sruti about of the supreme soul And it will be said (p 903) of what use is a son to us to whom the soul is the world Others (the Bhartspripancika) on the other hand maintain that the conquering of the world of the ferefathers and gods is even an exclusion from them. Therefore a person who by the joint performance of a son of work and of the inferior knewledge is excluded from those three worlds obtains liberation by the knowledge of the supreme soul in this way the cau es of a son etc successively have the power to effect liberation. To silence them also the other subsequent pa sage of the Sruti is engaged in showing the effect resulting for the father whose duty is the per formance of work who has the knowledge of the nature of the three previsions and who makes over the performance of what has been left undone. But it cannot be said that the

effect is that of liberation, because it has been shown, that by the effect derived from understanding and penance in connection with the three provisions, the provisions are again produced. This follows also from the passage, referring to the decrease (of the provisions) "For what even does not produce it, decreases," also from the nature of the means of the effect in the passage, "the body is like light," and lastly from the conclusion with the nature of name, form and work, in the passage? "Three-fold is this," etc Nor is it possible to infer from this passage, that the three causes when united, have the power to effect one's liberation and another's, obtaining the nature of the three provisions, because the passage merely intends to show, that the effect of a son and of the other causes is the obtaining of the nature of the three provisions

18 पृथिव्ये चैनभभेश्व दैवी वागाविशति सा वै देवी वाग्यया यद्यदेव वदति तत्तद्भवति ॥

From the earth and the fire the divine speech enters him. That speech is verily divine, by which whatever he says comes to pass

"From the earth and the fire the divine speech (divine refers to its superintending diety,) enters him" after he has made over his duties, that is to say, the divine speech, as characterised by earth and fire, is the last cause of the speech of all, for speech is obstructed by the faults of the attachment, etc, with reference to the material elements, and as the faults of a person who has knowledge are removed, it (speech) pervades him, like water and the manifestation of a light, on the breaking of what concealed them (for instance a vessel) This is the sense of the words "From the earth and the fire the divine speech enters him" "That speech is verily divine," free from the faults of untruth and the like, pure, "by which" (divine speech) "whatever he says," either for himself or another,

comes to pass that is to say his word is not in vain is not obstructed

19 दिवर्धनमा न्याच त्य गन आदिगति तर्द त्य मनो येनानन्येन भवस्यान जाचित ॥

From the sky and the sun divine mind enters him That verily is the divine mind, by which he becomes notful henceforth he does not grieve

In the same manner From the sky and the sun the divine mind enters him And that is the divine mind by the purity of its nature by which he (the father) becomes joyful happy henceforth mercover he does not grieve because there is no connection of such a cause as grief (with him)

20 अद्वर्धम चन्द्रममध त्रेन प्राण आनिशति सर्वे त्रेच प्राणा य सचर* धासचर*ध न व्यन्तेऽनो न रित्यति स प्यनित्सन्या भूता ग्रमात्मा भन्नति यन्येषा देवतैन स यन्ति। द्यता स्वताण भूतान्यनन्त्येव रहेव नित्र सन्नाणि भूतान्यनन्ति यदु किंचेमा प्रजा जोचन्त्यमनासा सद्भवति पुण्यमवासु गच्छति न हवि देवान् पाप गच्छति ॥

From the waters and the moon the divine life enters him. That verily is the divine life which, whether issuing forth or not issuing forth is not afraid again which is not lost. The person who thus knows, becomes the soul of all beings. As that doity so also preserve all beings a person who thus knows.

Whatever grief the children suffer together with their children remains united with them alone what is holy approaches him, for sin does not approach the gods

In the same manner "From the waters and the moon the divine life enters him. That verily is the divine life", viz, of what nature? The answer is, "Which, whether issuing forth," in all the distinctions of life, "or not issuing forth," in its nature as totality or speciality, or also, issuing foith in all moveable things, and not issuing forth in all immoveable things, "is not afraid," is not connected with fear, of which unhappiness is the cause, "again, is not lost," is not destroyed, is not injured "The person who knows thus," the before mentioned view of the nature of the three provisions, "becomes the soul of all beings," becomes the life of all beings, becomes the mind of all beings, becomes their speech, that is to say becomes omniscient by being the nature of all, and also, omnipotent "As that deity," the before mentioned deity named Hiranyagarbha. "so also" (also shows that there is no obstacle to his omniscience and omnipotence) "he" which term concludes the illustration Moreover 1 "As all beings," "preserve" worship, "that deity," the deity called Hiranya-garbha with offerings, etc "So also preserve all beings a person who thus knows," which means, they worship him always with offerings and the like

It has been said, "he becomes the soul of all beings" Now it may be questioned, whether, by his being the nature of cause and effect of all beings, he is not also allied to the happiness and unhappiness of them. This is not the case, because his intellect is unlimited. As to persons whose intellect and nature are limited on beings censured, etc., a connexion with unhappiness is evident, as if we say. "I am censured by that man", but in virtue of his being the soul of all, there is no unhappiness from such causes

I Kiñca (moreover) is in text connected with the pieceding sentence, commencing with "Sa," I believe, by a clerical error, as in this place it has no meaning, or a meaning which destroys the simplicity of the text

produced as there is no distinction of Intellect with regard the nature of the soul between the one who is consured and the other who can ures and also as like the unhappiress of death there is no cause. For instance, if any holy dies unhapping is produced for sounched, (showing itself in such words as). He is my on any brother where the cause (of the distres) is a son a brother. If there is no cause of such a kind unhappine s is not produced even should a person behold the death of a person etc (who is not related to him) In this manner no unhappine a arises for jod who e nature is unlimited becau o he has not the faults of false knowledge etc which preduce the unhappiness of pride etc. This is meant by the words - Whatever griof his subjects suffer together with their children same the unhappiness which is the cause of the grief etc remains united with them with his subject because it 14 produced from a limited intellect. But what of the universal soul can be united with or disunited from what thing? What is holy what is good -the desired reward means here hely for a great many hely actions are done by him therefore its reward approaches him who now occupies the place of Prajapati For sin does not approach the god and the meaning is sin its effect un happiness does not approach the gods because there is no opportunity for the effect of sin

21 अथातो ब्रतमीमाश्या प्रजापति चर्गाण सस्ज तानि स्मान्य न्यान्येनान्य क्रियान्ये मानि साम्यम्यानित वाद्ये इस्यान्य मिति यात्रभ अस्यान्य मिति यात्रभ स्मान्य स्मात्रानि स्माण यात्र सानि मृत्यु अमी मृत्रोपयमे तान्याप्ताचान्यास्य ५८४/वारन्यत्त स्मान्य्यस्य थेन वाज्ञ आय्यति चतु आयति अत्रमयममेव नाप्तेषोड्य मध्यम् प्राणन्यानि चातु दक्षिरे अय व न श्रेष्टा य स्पान्यस्थास्यर्थश्च न व्यवतेऽत्रो न रिव्यति हन्तास्यय सर्व स्मान्यस्थास्यर्थश्च न व्यवतेऽत्रो न रिव्यति हन्तास्यय सर्व स्मान्यस्थामेति त प्रतस्य स्मान्यस्य स्मान्यस्य स्मान्यस्य स्मान्यस्य प्राणा वितेन ह वाद्य तत्यः मान्यक्षते यस्मिन्दुर्भ्य भवति

य एवं वेद य उहैवविदा स्पर्धतेऽनुगुज्यत्यनुगुज्य हैवान्ततो म्रियत इत्यध्यात्मम् ॥

Next therefore the deliberation of observance Plajāpati verily created the actions. When they were created, they vied with each other With the words. "I will speak," speech kept they observance, with the words, "I will see," eye, with the words "I will hear," ear, in the same manner the other actions (Karmāni) according to their action Death, being there as fatigue, seized them, be made them his own, having made them his own, he arrested them Therefore speech gets even fatigued, the eye gets fatigued, the ear gets fatigued Again he did not make his own that life They resolved to know that central life. "He verily is amongst us the best, who moving and not moving, not suffers, is not injured. Well then, let us all become of the nature of him." Thus all of them became of his nature Therefore they are named by this "Prānāh" After him, who thus knows, is verily called the family in which he is born Whosoever rivals one who thus knows, after having wasted, dies at last. This is what refers to the soul

By the words, —"They are all alike, they are all infinite" (p 194), the worship of speech, mind and life has been declared in general that is to say, without their mutual distinction. Must this now be understood in the mentioned manner or is there any possible distinction with regard to observance on considering the case? The answer is, "Next therefore," then follows "the deliberation of observance, of worship, which means the consideration

of the detion of wer hip. And the consideration turns mon this which is it omong those organs whose work is to be conceived under the idea of observence? Prognati verily after having created his offspring created the octions which means the organs speech and others (for boing copoble of action they are colled actions) and the meoning 14 He created spooch and the other organs Again when they were creeted they vied rivalled with oach other How? With the words -I will speak let me not rost from my businoss spoaking spooch kopt the ob or one of the ob or one of the ob or one of the object of does not require rest from his business lot him show his prowess In the some manner with the words I will see eve with the words I will hear ear in the same manner the other octions organs occording to their action Death the destroyer being there as fatigue in the form of fatigue sorzed them took held of them How? He made them the organs engaged in their business his own he appeared under the form of fatigue and having made them his own he death arrested their made them cease from their work Therefore even new speech having entered upon its work gots even fatigued is made to ceasa from its work seized by death in the form of fatigue. In the ome manner the eye gets fotigued the cor gets fotigued ho death in the form of fotigue did not make his own that life the principal life. They the other organs resolved made up their mind to know that control life which oven now not forigued by him (dooth) ontors upon its work

Ho verily is omongst us the best the most prosecutive the greete t who moving end not moving not suffer ogain is not injured. Well then now let us oll become of the neture of him of hife that is to say let us consider cursolves as hife. Having thus ascerted our observences ore sufficient to protect us from dooth

all of them became of his noture considering themselves as the noture of life kept the observences of life Becouse by the noture of life viz by its nature to move and by its

nature to manifest, the other organs get their nature, namely, without life nothing could move. for only after the action of moving they are observed to engage in their own work "therefore they," speech and the others, "are named by this," name of life, "Prāṇah" (lives) "After him," the wise person, who in this manner knows that all the organs have the nature of life, and are called by the term "life" (Piāna), "is verily called the family," by the people. The family, in which he who thus knows, "is born," gets famous by the name of the wise person, it is his family, as this is the family of Tapati "Whosoever thus" in the manner mentioned, "knows" that speech and the other organs have the nature and the name of life, gets a reward of such a kind Again, "Whosoever rivals," being his antagonist "one who thus knows," knows the nature of life wastes in this body, "after having wasted, dies at last" not on a sudden "This is what refers to the soul," in the mentioned manner, the knowledge of the nature of life This conclusion is intended to introduce the exposition of what refers to the superintendence of deities

22. अथाधिदैवतं ज्विलिप्याम्येवाहिमित्यप्तिर्देघे तप्स्याम्यहिमित्यादित्यो मा-स्याम्यहिमिति चन्द्रमा एवमन्या देवता ययाँदैवत र स यथैपा प्राणाना मध्यम: प्राण एवमेतासा देवताना वायुर्निम्लोचन्ति ह्यन्या देवता न वायु. संषानस्तिमता देवता यद्वायु: ॥

Next what refers to the superintendence of deities. With the words, "I will burn," fire kept the observance, with the words, "I will heat,' the sun, with the words,—"I will shine," the moon In the same manner the other deities according to their divine nature. As this central life amongst those organs, so appears Vāyu (the air), amongst those deities, for the other deities decline, not Vāyu This Vāyu indeed is an unrestrained deity

Next what refer to the superintendence of deities the thinking as to the deities is described. It is the question of which special doity is it bost to keep the or linance? All is here like the former description about what referred to the soul. With the words -- I vill burn fire kept the ob ervance with the words - I will heat the same manner the other deities according to their divine Horo is an illustration. As this central life with reference to the soul amongst those greans speech and the others we not seized by death was not compolled to cease from its work was not disturbed in its own observance of life so al o not Vasu amongst those deities Agm and others for the other derties Agm and the to the speech etc with regard to the oul according to thoir divino naturo decline go down coase from their works not Vayu goes down a the central life (does not doc ine) Therefore this Vasu in leed 1 an unrestrained doit, The reference to the son and the superintendence of doities having in this manner been considered it is a-cortained that the observance of the pature of Vavu (alone) romains unbrol on

23 अर्जेन क्षोक्ता भजित यत्जोदित सृथाऽस्त यज्ञ च गच्छतीति प्राणाजा एप उदिति प्राणेऽन्तमित त देवाधिकिरे धमर स एपाच स उ ग इति यद्वा एतेऽसुविधिनन्त तदवाज्यद्य दुपन्ति । तस्मादेकिमे जत चरत्प्राण्याच्याचा नन्ता पाष्मा मृत्यु राज्यिति व युचरत्समापिपियिपेतिनो एतस्य दवताय सायुज्यर स किना जयिते ॥

Here fellows this Sloka— Whence the sun rises and where he sets—he verily rises from life and sets into life— this the gods made Their sacred liw. This sways to day this sways to morrow. What they kept then they will also observe to day. Therefore let a man

follow only one observance, let him breathe, let him expel Alas, let death not seize me If a person follows it, let him strive to accomplish it. Thereby a person gains union and dwelling in the same world with that deity

"Here" in elucidation of the said meaning "follows this sloka" "Whence," from which wind "the sun rises ' (with reference to divine superintendence) and from which life (as regards its reference to the soul) he rises by the nature of the eye, again into which wind and life at evening and at the sleeping-time of men he sets, this the gods made," kept, "their sacred law" This means, the gods, speech and others, and fire and others, having before considered the observance of life and the observance of Vayu, "this sways to-day, this sways to-morrow," this will be followed by them at the present and future time Here the Brahmana explains briefly the meaning of the Mantra "He" the sun, "veilly rises from life and sets into it" "This the gods made their sacred law, this sways to-day, this sways to-morrow" What does this mean? It is answered by the text "What," what observance, observance of life and of Vayu, "they," speech and the rest, and Agni and the rest, "kept then," at that time, "the same they will also observe to-day," the same observance they will keep unbroken But any observance of speech, etc, gets even broken, as it has been shown, that at the time of their setting they decline into Vayu and life It is said elsewhere, "When man sleeps, then speech, mind, eye, ear, all become life when he awakes, then they are born again from life This is their reference to the soul Next their reference to divine superintendence. When fire is extinguished, it disappears in Vayu (air). Therefore it is said, it is lost in it, for it is extinguished in the air When the sun sets, he enters into the air, into the air the moon enters, in the air are placed the quarters From the air they are boin again" Because then the observance is found in speech and the rest, and also in Agni

and the rest because the observance whose nature is to move 1 to be obeyed by all the gods therefore let a man follow only one observance Which is it? Let him broathe let him perform the function of breathing (Prana) let him expel let him perform the function of expelling (of the descending air) for there no ceasing of the function of life (Prana) of which the function of breathing and expelling are here given as examples Therefore let a man follow only one observance abandoning the functions of all other organs Alas (this term expresses apprehen sion) let not death as fatigue- seize me The meaning is let a person who is afraid that he will be seized by death on his abandoning that observance keep the observance of life If a person follows it once has commeaced the observance of life lot him strive to accomplish it for if he ceases from the observance life is dospised and also the gods are therefore let him accomplish it even floroby by that observance—for by the obtaining of the nature of life my functions for instance speech etc and Agni etc are in all beings and (my) soul as life is the moving cause of all—by the keeping of that oh orvance a per on gains obtains union identity of nature and dwelling in the same world identity of place with that doity with the doity of life

SIXTH BRAHMANA

1 त्रय वा इद नामक्य कम तैया नाम्ना वागित्येतदे गसुन गमतो हि सनाणि नामान्युतिन्दन्येतदेयाप्यसीमेतद्वि सौर्ननामिम सममेतदेया महोतद्वि सर्वाणि नामानि विभात ॥

This (world) is a triad name form and work. The names are speech, the latter is the foundation for from the same all names spring forth. This is their community, for it is in common to all the names, it is the Brahman of them, for it upholds all names.

"This" manifested world, characterised as cause and effect, further the reward, which consists in obtaining the nature of life (Hiranyagarbha), both of which have been set forth as being included in the idea of ignorance, and lastly the state of the world before its manifestation, which is called "unmanifested" like the seed of a tree, "is a triad" What is this triad? The answer is, "name, form, and work," that is to say, non-soul, not the soul which is the present, visible Brahman Therefore the Brahmana, of which the first words are, "this is a triad," is commenced for the object, that man should turn from this (woild), for the knowledge, resulting from the great sentence, "I am Brahman," to adore the soul alone as the proper place, 15 without effect for one whose thought is not averted from this (world) which is not the soul the actions (of the mind) with regard to external objects and the absolute soul being at variance. In this manner it is said in the Katha (4, 1) "The self-existence subdued the senses which turn to external objects, therefore (man) sees the external objects, not the internal soul (but) the wise with eye averted (from sensual objects) and desirous of immortal nature, beholds the absolute soul" Why again falls this world, which is manifested and not manifested, and at the same time effect, cause and reward, under the notion of name, form and work, why should it not rather be thought by the notion of the soul?

To answer this, it is said, "the names" in the order in which they are introduced, "are speech," as he carled the general term of all sounds. Every sound is even speech, it is speech in consequence of being spoken, the meaning of a sound is merely the general term of sound. "The latter is their foundation, the special cause, of the special names, as a hill of rock-salt is the cause of (all) the grains of rock-salt. For this reason it is said, "for from the same," general term of names, "all names," as Yajñadatta, Devadatta, according to this or any other division, "spring forth," are produced,

separated as grains of salt from a rock of salt and the effect is not different from the cause. In the same manner the special (names) being included in the general term how can there be a state of generality and of special objects?
(To show this it is said) This the general term of the is their community of the special names com munity from its being common it. the general term it is common to all the names which are different from it (and) moreover becau e the special names are not different (from it) by having obtained it (the general idea) (for) nothing is perceived to differ from that whose nature it has assumed as a par differs not from the earth (of which it is made) How are the special names said to have obtained it (the general idea of speech)? The answer is because it the thing called by the name of speech is the Brahman of their soul for hence the names obtain it (the generality) for nothing is found differing from the nature of sound This the text explains for this the general notion of sound upholds all names hy giving them its own nature. This the rolation of cause and effect of the general idea and the special objects and of the communication of the own nature (of one thing to other things) being proved it is evident that the special names are only sound The same explanation holds good for the two other things

> था स्वाणा चनुरित्येतदेशमुक्त्यातो हि सर्वाणि रूपाण्युत्ति छन्त्येतदेशाथ सामेताह्र मर्चे रूप सममेतदे य बहाताङ्क सवाणि वपाणि निवर्ति ।

Further the forms are objects of the oye the latter is their foundation for from the same all forms spring forth this is their community for it is common to all the forms. It is the Brahman of them for it upholds all forms.

Further the forms white black etc are objects of the eye are the general idea named object of the eye

the general idea of forms, all that may be manifested "For from the latter all forms spring forth, the same is their community, for it is common to all the forms It is the Brahman of them, for it upholds all forms"

3 अथ कर्मणामात्मेत्येतदेषामुक्थमतो हि सर्वाणि कर्माण्युत्तिप्ठन्त्येत-देषाप्र सामैतद्भि सर्वैः कर्मभिः सममेतदेषा ब्रह्मैतद्भि सर्वाणि कर्माणि विभित्ते तदेतत्वयण् सदेकमयमात्माऽत्मो एकः सन्नेतत्वयं तदेतदमृतप्र सत्येन छन्न प्राणो वा अमृतं नामरूपे सत्य ताम्यामयं प्राणश्चनः ॥

Further, the works are self (Ātmā), the latter is their foundation, for from the same all works spring forth, this is their community, for it is common to all works, it is the Brahman of them, for it upholds all works. Those three are existing, one that self (Ātmā), that self, one existing, is the three. This immortal (being) is concealed by existence. Life is verily the immortal being name and form existence. By them that life is concealed

Further all special works, as well such as thinking, seeing, etc, as also such as refer to motion, are said to be contained in the general notion of effect. How? All special works are "self," the body, the general notion, self are called the works of self, for it is said, that by the self, by the body, people perform their work. Moreover, in the body every work is manifested. Therefore by its being sited there (in the body) "the latter" work, the general idea of work, "is the foundation of them," just the same as before. "Those three," name, form and work, as mentioned before, being in mutual dependence, being the mutual cause of manifestation and being united for their mutual destruction, "are," like the (mutual) support of the

three vews of the devetoe 'existing one Why is the idea of unity connected with the solf? It is answered—
That self that lump the combination of cause and effect in the same manner as in the following passage (B \(\frac{1}{3} \), 5 3) Thus modified is the soul the modifications of mind speech and life for so far extend this all both manifested and uninanifested as name form and work

The self (soul) one the combination of effect and cause existing in relation to the soul the elements and the dotties at the three as defined name form and work.

This immertal being to be mentioned is concealed by

This immertal being to be mentioned is concealed by existence. The text itself explains the meaning of the preceding sentence iz. Life is the immertal being in its nature as cause the internal support of work being the same with the soul immertal indestructible. Name and form are existence they have the nature of effects and abide in the body but life having the nature of cause and being the support of them. It concealed not manifested by them which are external corporal liable to increase and decrease and mortal. The fourth chapter is commenced for the purpose to show that the soul the object of knowledge must be comprehended.

^{&#}x27;To subdue his mind his senses and his speech

The fourth chapter of the Brahmara the second of the Upanisad

SECOND CHAPTER

FIRST BRAHMANA

1 इसवालाकिहीन्चानो गार्ग्य भास स होवाचाजातशत्रुं काश्यं ब्रह्म ते व्रवाणीति स होवाचाजातशतुः सहस्रमेतस्या वाचि दद्मी जनको जनक इति वै जना धावन्तीति ॥

There was a great speaker, Gargya the proud son of Balaka He said to Ajatasatru, the king of Kās'i "Let me explain to thee (the nature of) Brahman" (1), Ajatasatru said "For such a word (2), I will give thousand (of cows)" (Hearing) "Janaka, Janaka" (3) people verily run (after a man of such a character)

(1) In the first chapter the difference between knowledge and ignorance has been defined, and ignorance generally described, in the second, knowledge, or the science treating on Brahman is set forth. This is done in the form of a narrative—in which the Brahmana Gärgya represents the imperfect ideas, entertained on the nature of Brahma, while king Ajätas'atiu represents the perfect knowledge of Brahman—with a view of showing, that this knowledge cannot be obtained by mere arguing, in consquence of the subtle nature of its object, and that it requires both a disciple believing in the existence of Brahman, and a teacher who has a full knowledge of him.—S

- (2) Fron for the mero words, whether thou be able or not to explain Brahman
- (3) Tanaka is a liberal donor Janaka is a zealous bearer —S
 - 2 स होता व नायों य प्रवासामित्रिये पुरंप ध्रतिवाह ब्रह्मेपास इति न होता वातानश्चमा मर्तान्तनस्विष्टा अतिष्टा मदण भूताना मूम समिति यो अस्मेतनुषा व ति स य प्रतमवनुषास्तऽतिष्टा सर्वणा भूमाना मूसा सन्ता भदित ॥

Gargia said — I adore as Brahman the spirit who abides in the sun (1) Ajata satru said — Do not boast, do not boast of him. Knowing that excelling all beings he is their head, their king I adore that spirit Whoever thus adores him excels all beings and becomes their head, their king

- (1) The spirit who abiding in the sun and in the eye has entered the heart through the eye -5
 - 3 स होताच गार्चो य एवाली चर्ने पुरुष एतमेवाह महापास इति स हात्राचा ना । ग्रुमा मैतिन्नन्तनिष्ठा बृहत्पाण्डरनासा सामो राजेति वा अहमतमुपा । इति च य ग्लमेव मुपान्ते-इस्ट्रह सुत प्रत्यो मनित नान्याल क्षायन ॥

Gargya said,—"I adore as Brahman the spirit who abides in the moon (1) Ajātasatru said — Do not boast do not boast of him Knowing, that he is great clothed in a white dres (2) Sonin (3) (and) king I adore that spirit (For him) who thus adores him is day by day produced and reproduced (the Soma) his food does not decrease

- (1) And in the mind S
- (2) Because water is the body of life, in the form of the moon S'
 - (3) As Soma, the moon-plant, in the sacrifice S
 - 4. स होवाच गाग्यों य एवासौ विद्युति पुरुष एतमेवाहं ब्रह्मोपास इति स होवाचाजातगत्रुर्मा मैतिस्म सविद्यास्तेजस्वीति वा अहमेत-मुपास इति स य एतमेवमुपास्ते तेजस्वी ह भवित तेजस्विनी हास्य प्रजा भवित ॥

Gargya said, "I adore as Brahman the spirit who abides in lightning" (1) Ajātas atru said "Do not boast, do not boast of him Knowing, that he is glorious, I adore that spirit Whoever thus adores him, becomes glorious, glorious becomes his offspring."

- (1) In the lightning, in the skin, and in the heart. S'
 - 5. स होवाच गार्थो य एवायमाकाशे पुरुष एतमेवाहं ब्रह्मोपास इति स होवाचाजातज्ञ त्रुर्मा मैतिस्मन् सविद्धाः पूर्णमप्रवर्तीति वा अहमेत-मुपास इति स य एतमेवमुपास्ते पूर्यते प्रजया पश्चिमनिस्या-स्माछोकात्प्रजोहर्तते ॥

Gărgya said, "I adore as Brahman the spirit who abides in the ether" (1) Ajātas atru said, "Do not boast, do not boast of him Knowing, that he is full and immoveable, I adore that spirit Whoever thus adores him, has plenty of offspring and cattle, and his offspring is never removed from this world."

(1) In the ether as element, and also in the ether of the heart S

6 स हाताच माया च प्राय पायी पुरच एतमका अक्षताच शी स राकाचानकातुमा किल्लालया श्रव्य श्रव्य पुरुष्ठाउपगण्या महित चा शरीतनुसा र्रात च य ११४० तुनस्ते विश्वतस्य रिख्यमा स्टब्स न्यास ॥

Garkya aid, — Indorens Briliania the spirit who noide in the wind (1). Vita atra said — Do not boast do no boast of him knowin that he is Indam whose strength a indomitable and view ho is are unconquerable. I idore that pirit. Whoever thus notes him became a conqueror a unconquerable by foes and conqueror of his step brothers.

- (1) In the wind in the vital air of the body and in the heat -5
 - 7 स हाताच गाणी य पान्नाती पुरम पान्ना प्रजावाच जी स रावाचाचानप्रमा त्वीसन्त्वारिया शिवासिया वा अद्रमत्त्व पान द्वी च य प्यतमासुपान्त विचानिहरू भवति विचानियान्य प्रचा भवति ॥

Gargyn and — I idore is Brahmini the spirit who abides in the fire (1) Apiticatric and — Do not boast do not boast of him. Knowing that he is a destroyer I adore that spirit. Whoever thus adores him becomes a destroyer, and his offspring becomes a destroyer.

- (1) And in the understanling of the heart -5
- 8 म द्वाराच गा वीं य ण्यायमञ्जु पुरुष एतमेवाह ब्रह्मापास इति स हाता गातालाजुमा भारिमासत्रानिण प्रतिस्थ इति वा अहमे

तम्पास इति स य एतमेवमुपास्ते प्रतिरूप रहेवेनमुपगच्छति नाप्रतिरूपमधो प्रतिरूपोऽस्माजायते ॥

Gargya said, "I adore as Brahman the spirit who abides in the waters" (1). Ajātasatru said, Do not boast, do not boast of him Knowing, that he is the same (2), I adore that spirit Whoever thus adores him, obtains him as the same, not as not the same. Again from him is born what is the same with him "(3).

- (1) In the waters, in the semen and in the heart S'.
- (2) In the Sruti and Smrti
- (3) A son like himself
- 9. स होवाच गाग्यों य एवायमादर्शे पुरुष एतमेवाह ब्रह्मोपास इति स होवाचाजातशत्रुर्मा मैतस्मिन्संबिष्ण रोचिष्णुरिति वा अहमे-तमुपास इति स य एवमेतमुपास्ते रोचिष्ण्रहं भवति रोचिष्ण्रहस्य प्रजा भवत्ययो येः सन्निगच्छति सर्वाप्रतानितरोचते ॥

Gargya said, "I adore as Brahman the spirit who abides in the looking-glass" (1) Ajātasatru said, 'Do not boast, do not boast of him Knowing, that he is resplendent, I adore that spirit Whoever thus adores him, becomes resplendent, and resplendent his offspring, he overcomes in splendour all those with whom he meets'

- (1) In the looking-glass, in other reflective things, and in the heart
 - 10. स होवाच गाग्यों य एवाय यन्त पश्चाच्छन्दोऽनूदेत्येतमेवाहं ब्रह्मोपास इति स होवाचाजातशत्रुमी मैतिस्म सवदिष्ठा अस्रिति

वा अहमेतमुपास **इति स य** एतमेवमुपाम्ते सन् र हैवास्न्हिंगक आनुरेति नेन पुरा कालात्प्राणो जहाति ॥

Gargya said — I adore as Brahman the spirit who when proceeding is followed by noise (1) Ajatasatru said,— Do not beast do not beast of him knowing that he is life, I adore that spirit. Whoever thus adores him obtains the full age in this world—hife does not leave him before the (appointed) time.

(1) Lafe

11 स हो नाच मान्यों य एवाय निमु पुरुष एतमेवाह नामापास इति सहो नाचा नातश्चन मेतिस्मिनन प्रतियो हितीयो इति वा अहमेतिसुनान इति स य एतमे म्युपास्त हितीयमन् ह मचित नास्माह गरिज्योत ॥

Gargya said — I adore as Brahman the spirit who abides in the quarters (1) Againsatric said — Do not boist, do not boast of him knowing that he is double and insepirable (2) I adore him Wheever thus adores him becomes double (3) and his followers never part from him

- (1) In the quarters in the ear and in the heart 5
- (2) Like the Asvins who are the tutelary desties of the quarters
 - (3) By the number of his servants A G
 - 12 स होनाच गार्नो य एवाय जनमन पुरुष एतमेवाह ब्रह्मोपास इति स हानाचा नातराजुर्मा मंत्रास्मिन्सविष्टा मृत्युरिति वा अहमेतसुपास

इति स य एतमेवमुपास्ते सर्व हेवास्मिल्होंक आयुरेति नेनं पुरा कालान्मृत्युरागच्छिति ॥

Gaigya said,—"I adore as Brahman the spirit who abides in the shadow (1) Ajātasatru said, "Do not boast, do not boast of him Knowing, that he is death, I adore that spirit Whoever thus adores him, obtains (his) full age in this world, death does not approach him before the time"

- (1) The spirit, abiding in the shadow, externally as darkness and internally in the heart as ignorance
 - 13 स होवाच गाग्यों य एवायमात्मिन पुरुष एतमेवाहं ब्रह्मोपास इति स होवाचाजातशत्रुर्मा मेतिस्मिन्सविद्या आत्मन्वीति वा अहमेत्रमु-पास इति स य एतमेवमुपास्त आत्मन्वीह मवत्यात्मिन्वनी-हास्य प्रजा मवित स ह तूष्णीमास गाग्यः ॥

Gårgya said, "I adoie as Brahman the spirit who abides in the soul" (1), (in self) Ajātas atru said. "Do not boast, do not boast of him Knowing, that he is possessed of soul (2), adore that spirit Whoever thus adores him, becomes in this would possessed of soul, and also his offspiing" Then Gårgya was silent

- (1) The spirit, abiding in the soul, in Prajapati, and in the intellect of the heart S
 - (2) Of much understanding
 - 14 स होवाचाजातशत्रुरेतावन्तू इत्येतावद्गीति नैतावता विदित भवतीति स होवाच गार्ग्य उपत्वायानीति ॥

After a true and — Does (Brahman) so far extend?
(He answeed) — So far —(Afata a true aid) — Brahman is not comprehended by (a knowledne) which so far extends only (1) Garaxa said — Let me approach the cast disciple."

(1) Why then save I thou bosstier I will teach thee the nature of Brahman ?

15 स हत्यात त्या प्रतिकार विद्यालया स्थितपुरणहर स प्रकारि स्थार स्था स्थितपानि व प्रायत्वय सम्बद्धि स्थार पुरुष कृता व जन्मीतम्मात्य प्रयोग्य सुन्य प्रत्यात स्थापना स्थाप

Ajata atribald — It we there means the rain that a Berhunga should approach a Kaitrian for the pirps of learning (the nature of) Berhunga from him I will explain from to thee. (Thus event to a man who him by the lands and rose. They went to a man who slep! They called him by the name. Mights one clad in white garments. Some Lin, "He did not rise. Spicezing him with the hand he awoke him. He then

16 त होता क्षताक्षात्रकार प्रकृतभूग व्यक्ति का कृत्य क्षेत्र त । सून्य व्यवसायित तरहा सन साथ ॥

A) its strue and — Where was the spirit whose nature is like I nowledge at the time when he thus profoundly slept? Whence did he come? Girgy did not know this

17 स होवाचाजात्तरात्रुर्थनेष एतत्सुप्तोऽभूध एष विज्ञानभथः पुरुषस्तदेषा प्राणाना विज्ञानेन विज्ञानमादाय य एषोऽन्तहृदय आकाशस्तिस्म- असेत तानि यदा गृह्णात्यथं हैतत्पुरुषः स्विपिति नाम तद्गृहीत एव प्राणो भवति गृहीता वाग् गृहीतं चक्षुगृहीतप्श्रोत्रं गृहीत मनः ॥

Ajātas atru said, "When the spirit whose nature is like knowledge, thus profoundly slept, then the ether (1), in the midst of the heart drawing in, together with the knowledge of the senses (2), (their actual) knowledge (3), slept therein (in the ether) (4) When the spirit draws in that (knowledge of the senses), then he sleeps indeed Then life is drawn in (5), speech is drawn in, the eye is drawn in, the ear is drawn in (and mind is drawn in)

- (1) The soul in its independent nature S'
- (2) The intellect of the heart in which Brahman reflected
- (3) The faculty of the senses of manifesting the respective objects, that is to say, in sleep intellect withdraws the senses from their objects, and concentrates them in the heart, where intellect itself is absorbed in the nature of the soul
 - (4) The soul within its own self.
- (5) Means here, according to Sankara, the sense of smelling.
 - 18. स यत्रैतत्स्वभायाचरति ते हास्य लोकास्तदुतेव महाराजो भवत्युतेव महाब्राह्मण उतेवोचावचं निगच्छति स यथा महाराजो जानपदान्

गृहीत्वा स्वे जनपदे यशकाम परिवर्तेनंत्रमेवीय एतत्प्राणान् गृहीत्वा स्वे द्रागिर यशकाम परिवरत ॥

- 'When he is in the state of drenm than become such (conditions as the following) his worlds then he becomes like a great Brahmann, he proceeds as it were to higher and lower places. As a great king, assembling his followers sends them about in his kingdom according to his pleasure so that (spirit resembling knowledge drawing in) the organs sends them about in his body according to his pleasure.
 - 19 अप्र यह सुद्धाः स्वति यह न कृष्यचन वह हिना नाम नाउमे द्वातप्तिनहस्त्राणि ६ म पुरीवनमित्रपिटन्त तामि प्रस्वसूख्य पुरावति होतं स यथा सुनाग या मनागना या महाप्रालगो यातिवीमानन्तस्य गत्या द्वायतिवर्मविष एतच्छेते ॥
 - 'Again when he profoundly sleeps he does not know of anything. There are 72 000 (1) arteries called the good which from the heart proceed everywhere to the body. Returning with them he sleeps in the body. As a youth or a great king or great Brahmann, sleeps enjoying excessive bliss so does he (the spirit resembling knowledge) sleep.
 - (1) Vide Prasna Upanisad 3 6
 - 20 म यत्राणनाभिस्तन्तुनायस्य त्राप्ते क्षुद्रा तिस्तुरिङ्गा अ्वरन्त्येवमे वाम्मादात्मन सर्वे प्राणा मर्व लेका सर्व देवा सत्राणि भृतानि अवरन्ति तम्योपनिक्तसन्यस्य सन्यभिति प्राणा वे सत्य तेपामेव सन्यम् ॥

- "As the spider proceeds along with its web, as little sparks proceed from fire, so proceed from that soul all organs, all worlds, all the gods, all beings. The nearest conception of him is this, that he is the truth of truth. The organs are the truth, he is the truth of them" (1).
- (1) What "the truth of truth" means, will be explained in the two next Brahmanas S'

SECOND BRAHMANA (1)

1 यो ह वै शिशुप्साधनप्सप्रसाधनप्सस्थूणप्सदामं वेद सप्त ह द्वितो स्नातृन्यानवरुणद्भ्यय वाव शिशुर्योऽय मध्यमः प्राणस्तस्ये-दमेवाधानिमद प्रसाधान प्राणः स्थूणानं दाम ॥

Whoever knows the young animal with its abode, the upper part of its abode, its pillar (and) its rope, destroys the seven inimical brother's sons (2). The young animal is the central life (3). This (4) is its abode, this (5) the upper part of its abode, life (6) is the pillar, food the rope (7).

(1) The connection between the former and the present Brāhmana is shown by Sankara, as follows. The topic of Brahman has been introduced by the words, "I will explain Brahman" (p. 137), and declared, The one Brahman is he from whom the world is

produced, of whom it consists and into whom it is dissolved Of what nature again is the world, which is produced and dissolved? It consists of the five elements which consist of name and form Moreover it has been said that name and form are truth (satia) The truth of truth (consisting of the five elements) is Brahman. Why again are the elements truth 7 This question is answered in the Brahmana whose subject is to show the nature of what has form and what is without form On account of their having form and not having form the elements consisting of effect and cause (are truth and thus) also the organs (Pranal). To define the nature (batta) of the elements con isting of cause and effect the two next Prihmana are commenced. This Upanisad is to be explained for Brahman is determined as the truth of the truth by the determination of the reality (atsa) of effect and cause. There (in the former Brah mana) it has been said. The organs are the truth, he is the truth of them Here by the connection of the Brahma Upani ad in this manner. Which are the organs of which nature their objects and which the Upani ad the text determines the nature of the can es in the ame way as wells gardens etc seen on a road are determined

- (a) I are the son of a brother may be inimical or friendly. Here the attachment to the objects of the son esting denoted by the brothers sons. The senses are the seven orther by which object are perceived the attachment produced by them is the brothers son.—
- (3) The subtle body dwelling in the midst of the body subject to it are the organs it is a babe because it is unwitted while the senses are keen with regard to their objects—S
 - (4) The body -5
 - (5) The heal with its seven orifices ->
- (6) Life means according to Safikary the strength produced by food according to other respiration
 - (7) By which the young animal is bound to the pillar
 - 2 तमेता नताक्षितय उपिन्नत त्रया इमा अथन् लाहिन्यो राजन स्थिमिरन श्वाऽन्यानताऽन या अक्षलानस्तामि पतन्या या

कनीनका तयादित्यो यत्कृष्णं तेनाभिर्यच्छुक्तं तेनेन्द्रोऽधरयैनं वर्तन्या पृथिव्यन्वायत्ता चौरुत्तरया नास्यानं क्षीयते य एवं वेद ॥

The seven (deities) who cause (its) indestructibility (1), surround it (2) (the infant) worshipping, viz., Rudra adores it with the red lines in the eye, Parjanya with the water in the eye, Aditya with the sight, Agni with the black in the eye, Indra with the white, the earth adores it with the lower, and the heavens, with the upper eye-lash. The food of him is not destroyed who thus knows it.

- (1) Thus I rendered "Aksıtı" after s' They cause the indestructibility of food
- (2) The babe, when dwelling in the upper part of the abode it rises to the eye S'
 - 3. तदेष श्लोको भवति । अर्वाग्विलश्चमस ऊर्ध्वबुप्तस्तिस्मन्यशो निहित विश्वरूप । तस्यासंत ऋषयः सप्त तीरे वागष्टमी ब्रह्मणा सिव- दानेत्यवीग्विलश्चमस ऊर्ध्ववुप्त इतीदं तिष्क्षर एप हार्वाग्विलश्चमस ऊर्ध्ववुप्त इतीदं तिष्क्षर एप हार्वाग्विलश्चमस ऊर्ध्ववुप्तस्तिस्मन्यशो निहित विश्वरूपमिति प्राणा वे यशो विश्वरूप प्राणानेतदाह तस्यासत ऋषयः सप्त तीर इति प्राणा वा ऋपयः प्राणानेतदाह वागष्टमी ब्रह्मणा सिवदानेति वाग्व्यष्टमी ब्रह्मणा सिवदानेति वाग्व्यष्टमी

This is said in the following memorial verse, "There is a Soma-cup, whose mouth is below, and whose foot is above, therein is put glory of various kinds. On its margin there are seven Rsis, and speech is the eighth, as holding communication with Brahman." The Soma-cup whose mouth is below and whose foot is

nbove is the head for it is like a cup with mouth below and foot above 'Thereia is put flery of various kinds With the various kinds put thereia (1) it (the memorial verse) means the vital airs (2) On its margia there are seven Rsis With the Rsis it nicass the vital winds

'Speech is the eighth, as communicating with Brahmin fer speech as the eighth communicates with Brahman (3)

- (1) As the Sema mice in the cup -S
- (2) The vital airs by which the senses are moved to the performance of their functions
- (3) Brahman is the whole of sounds and speech has communication with it by pronouncing it —A G

ई इमावेव गोतम मध्यानावयमेव गो मोइय भएदान इमावेत विधामि त्रनम्द्रश अयमेव विश्वामित्रोड्य जम्दक्षित्मावेव वसिटत स-पात्रयमेत्र वस्टिडेड्य क्रयपो वागेजात्रिताचा छल्लेचत्रऽत्तिह वै नामत्त्रात्र त्रिरित्ति सर्वस्थाता भनति स्वमस्याल भजति य ण्व वेद ॥

These (ears) are Gantana and Bharadvaja this (ear) is Gautama the other Bharadvaja. These (eyes) are Visvamitra and Jamadagni this is Visvamitra the other Jamadagni. These (aostrils) are Vasistha and Kasyapa this is Vasistha the other Kasyapa speech is Atri for by speech food is consumed for Atri is verily derived from the reot Atri (to out consume) he is the consumer of all. All becomes the food of him who thus kaows.

THIRD BRAHMANA

1. द्वे वाव ब्रह्मणो रूपे मूर्त चैवामूर्त च मर्त्य चामृत च स्थित च यच सच त्यच ॥

There are two modes (1) of Brahman (2), what has form, and what has no form (3), the one mortal, the other immortal, again finite the one, and the other infinite (4), again the one existing and the other beyond

- (1) I use mode here in the sense of "accidental modification of substance"
- (2) Brahman the supreme soul, who, in his absolute nature, is without those two modes S'
- (3) At the time that their differences are not evolved Their differences are stated afterwards S'
- (4) Sthitañ ca yacca, explained by S'usthistam paricchinnam gatipūrvakam yat sthāsnu yacca yātīti yadvyāpyāparicchinnam, what is stationary limited, what after motion has the tendency to stand, and what moves, therefore what is pervading, unlimited
 - 2 तदेतन्मूर्त यदन्यद्वायोश्चान्तिरक्षाचैतन्मर्त्यमेतिरस्थतमेतत्सत्तस्यैतस्य मूर्तस्यैतस्य मर्त्यस्यैतस्य स्थितस्यैतस्य सत एष रसो य एष तपति सतो होष रसः॥

What has form (1), is what is different from the air and the ether (2), this is mortal, this is finite, this is visible. The essence of what has form, what is mortal, finite and visible, is the being that heats (the sun), for he is the essence of what exists.

- (t) What is formed of paris a compound -S
- (2) Namely the other three elements earth water and light
 - अञ्चाम् वाषुश्रान्तिरम चारण्यतम । र्यत्यन्तिय । चार् । नेत् या मृत्यम्य यत पताच तस्येव राज्ञ य पण पति नेन्नव ५ पुरुष स्त्यस्य योष राज्ञ इराधिराताः ॥

Again what has no form is the air and the ether this is immortal this is infinite this is beyond. The essence of what has no form what is immortal infinite and beyond is the spirit who (abides) in this imiverse (1). This refers to the divine relation.

(1) Hiranjagarbha

 वात्रास्थालिक्सेन मृत् य न्यद्याणान यथात्रमन्याराज्ञाका एन-न्यद्यमेतित्स्यतमतत्त्वास्यास्य मृत्येतस्य मायन्यतस्य व्यि तानीतस्य सत् एव स्मा यानु सना धार स्न ।

Now the relation to the soul. Whit has form is different from the air and from the other in the midst of the body (1). This is mortal this is finite this existing. The essence of what has form what is mortal finite and existing is the eye (2) for this is the essence of what exists.

- (1) That is to say the three elements light water and earth as constituents of the body independent of other and air —S
- (2) Because by the eye the whole body assumes substance and because the eyes were first produced in

accordance with the passage, "Ādityā (the sun), the becoming eye," entered the eyes S.

5 अथामूर्त प्राणश्च यश्चायमन्तरात्मन्नाकाज्ञ एतदमृतमेतचदेतत्त्य तस्ये-तस्यामूर्तस्येतस्यामृतस्येतस्य यत एतस्य त्यस्येष रसो योऽय दक्षिणेक्षनपुरुषस्त्यस्य होष रसः॥

Now what has no form is the air, and the ether in the midst of the body. This is immortal, this is infinite, this is beyond. The essence of what has no form, what is immortal, infinite and beyond, is the spirit (Purusa) in the right eye, for is the essence of what is beyond

> 6 तस्य हैतस्य पुरुषस्य रूप यथा महारजन वासो यथा पाण्ड्वाविक यथेन्द्रगोपो यथाऽप्र्यर्चिर्यथा पुण्डरीक यथासकृद्धिद्यत्तण् सकृ-द्विद्युत्तेव या वा अस्य श्रीमेवति य एव वेदाधात आदेशो नेति नेति न ह्येतस्मादिति नेत्यन्यत्परमस्त्यथ नामधेयप सत्यस्य सत्यमिति प्राणा वै सत्य तेषामेष सत्यम् ॥

The form of this spirit (1) is, as cloth dyed by turmeric (2), as the smoke colour of sheep-wool, as the red colour of the Indragopa insect, as the bright colour of the fire-flame, as the white colour of the lotus, as the lightning shines forth at one moment (thus are the modifications of the desires of that spirit). The glory of him who thus knows, shines forth at one moment. After this, therefore, the definition (of Brahman),—"He is not this, he is not this, etc" (3). There is another name, different from that (definition), "He is not this, he is not this," viz, the truth of truth? The organs are the truth he is the truth of them

- (1) The subtle spirit the spirit who is the cause of manifestation
- (2) As cloth dyed by turmerie so is the desire of that spirit in contact with special objects
- (3) If it is asked how by those negations the truth of truth is defined the answor is —by the prohibition of any allegation which may be made with regard to the nature of Brahman name form action quality etc must be denied of Brahman for there is no distinction in Brahman if he is defined this definition refers only to qualities which are alleged of him but his own nature can in no manner be determined except by stating that every attribute is denied of him

LOURTH BRAHMANA (I)

 मैत्रेत्राति हात्राच यात्रक्क्य उचान्त्रन्या अर्द्धकन्मातन्यानारस्मि हन्त तेडन्या काऱ्यानन्यान्त नरवागीति ॥

Maitreyl said Yamavalkva (2) Behold 1 am desirous of raising myself from the order (3) (of house holder) therefore let me divide (my property) amongst thee and Katyayani there

- (1) It is the object of this Brahmana to show that the state of a Sanyasi it the retiring from the world of the forest and the renunclation of all evenonies is indispensable for the knowledge of Brahman—5 The same conversation between Yanavalkya and his wife Maitreyl is with slight variations repeated in the 5th Brahmana of the 4th chapter
 - (2) A Ret Yajñavalkya by name -S
 - (3) To the higher stage of a Sanyasi

2 सा होवाच मैत्रेयी यनु म इय भगोस्सर्वा पृथिवी वित्तेन पूर्णा स्यात्कथं तेनामृता स्यामिति नेति होवाच याज्ञवल्क्यो यथैवोपकरणवती जीवित तथैव ते जीवित स्थादमृतत्वस्य तु नाज्ञास्ति वित्तेनेति ॥

Maitreyī said, "It, O Venerable, this whole world with all its wealth were mine, could I become immortal thereby?" Yājāavalkya said, "Life the life of the wealthy thy life might become, by wealth, however, there is no hope of (obtaining) immortality"

3 सा होवाच मेत्रेयी येनाहं नामृता स्या किमह तेन कुर्या यदेव भग-वान्वेड तदेव से ब्रहीति॥

Maitreyī said, "Of what use would be wealth to me, if I did not become thereby immortal. Tell me. O Venerable, any (means of obtaining immortality) of which thou knowest."

4 स होवाच याजवल्कयः प्रिया बतारे नः सती प्रिय भाषस एह्यास्स्व व्याख्यास्यामि ते व्याचक्षाणस्य त मे निविध्यासस्वेति ॥

Yājñavalkya said, "Behold, (thou wast) dear to us before, (and now) thou sayest what is dear Come, sit down, I will explain to thee (the means of obtaining immortality), endeavour to comprehend my explanation"

5 स होवाच न वा अरे पत्यु कामाय पति. प्रियो भवत्यात्मनस्तु कामाय पति. प्रियो भवति न वा अरे जायार्य कामाय जाया प्रिया भवत्यात्मनस्तु कामाय जाया प्रिया भवति न वा अरे पुत्राणा कामाय पुत्रा प्रिया मवन्त्यात्मनस्तु कामाय पुत्रा: प्रिया भवन्ति न ता भी दित्त य बामाय विश्व प्रियं भवता नेनन्तु बागाव दित्त विश्व भवति ता अरं सक्त्य बागाव त्रत प्रियं भवति ता अरं सक्त्य बागाव त्रत प्रियं भवत्य त्रियं भवति ता आरं सक्त्य बागाव त्रत प्रियं भवति ता आरं त्राव भवति ता वा त्राव भवति ता ता अरं त्रावा भवति ता वा त्राव भवति ता वा त्राव भवति ता वा त्राव भवति वा मान्ति वा मान्ति ता वा त्राव भवति वा मान्ति ता वा त्राव भवति भवति वा मान्ति वा मान्ति वा मान्ति भवति भवति वा मान्ति वा मान्ति वा मान्ति भवति भवति वा मान्ति व

He said — Behold not indeed for the husband stake the husband is dear (1) but for the sake of the self (2) is dear to the hu hand. Behold not indeed for the wife stake the wife is dear but for the sale of the self is dear the wife. Behold not for the sons are dear but for the ake of the self are dear the sons. Behold, not for property stale property is dear but for the sake of the self is property dear. Behold not for the Brahman's sake the Brahman's dear but for the sake of the self is the Brahman's dear. Behold not for the Ksattra's sake the Ksattra's dear. Behold not for the worlds sake the worlds are dear, but for the sake of the self are dear the worlds. Behold not for the gods sake the gods are dear but for the sake of

the self are dear the gods. Behold, not for the Vedas' sake the Vedas are dear, but for the sake of the self are dear the Vedas (3). Behold, not for the elements' sake the elements are dear, but for the sake of the self are dear the elements. Behold, not for the sake of the universe the universe is dear, but for the sake of the self is dear the universe. Behold, the self (ātmā) is verily to be seen, heard, minded (and) meditated upon. Behold, O. Maitreyī, by seeing, hearing, minding, knowing the self, all this (universe) is comprehended.

- (1) To the wife
- (2) Atma, the self, the soul. for the self of the wife, for her own soul
- (3) The last sentence is omitted in the Samskrt text, published by the As Soc.
 - 6 ब्रह्म त परादाद्यां उन्यत्रात्मनी ब्रह्म वेढ क्षत्र तं परादाद्यों उन्यत्रात्मनः क्षत्र वेढ लोकास्त परादुर्यो उन्यत्रात्मनो लोकान्वेद देवास्त परादुर्यो उन्यत्रात्मनो देवान्वेद मूतानि त परादुर्यो उन्यत्रात्मनो भूतानि वेढ सर्व त परादाद्यो उन्यत्रात्मनः सर्व वेदेढ ब्रह्मेद क्षत्रिममे लोका इमे देवा इमानि भूतानी द सर्व यदयमात्मा ॥

The Brahman should disown a person (1), who considers the Brahman (caste) as something, different from (his) self (ātmā), the Ksattra should disown a person who considers the Ksattra (caste) as something different from (his) self, the worlds should disown a person who considers the worlds as something different from (his) self, the gods should disown a person who considers the gods as something different from (their)

self the elements should drown n person who considers the elements as something, different from (their) self the inniverse should disown a person who considers the universe as something different from (its) self. This (own) self is this Brahman, this Kgittra these worlds these gods these elements is this universe.

- (1) A person belonging to the Brahminical caste
- त स या दुन्दु मेहन्यमानस्य न वाखाञ्च्यदाञ्च गुनाह्र्णाम दुन्दु मेन्तु प्रत्णेन दुन्दुम्यामतस्य वा अञा गृहात ॥

As a person, when n drum (unseen by him) is beaten is unable to perceive the sounds proceeding from it (as sounds of a drum) but on the perception of the drum the sounds of a drum beaten is perceived

> े संयम् शङ्गस्य ब्लानमानस्य न माह्याञ्च्यदाञ्चनु मद्युरुणा । ब्राह्मस्य तु प्रत्योन नाह्य सस्य या शन्दो मृहीत ॥

Is a person when a shell (unseen by him) is blown is linable to perceive the sounds proceeding from it (as sounds of a shell) but on the perception of the shell the sound of a shell blown is perceived

 स यना वीणाय वानानाय न नालाञ्ख्याञ्ख्यनुनाद्महणान वाणाय तु भ्रवणेन वीणानादस्य वा शञ् गृहीत ॥

As a person whon a lute (unseen to him) is played is unable to perceive the sounds proceeding from it, but on the perception of the lute the sound of a lute played is perceived (1)

- (1) Sankara supplies the above comparisons with, so is the identity of Biahman with the individual soul only apprehended by general and special knowledge
 - 10 स यथाद्वेंघाग्नेरम्याहितात्पृथम्धूमा विनिश्चरन्त्येव वा अरेऽस्य महतो भूतस्य निःश्वसितमेतचदृग्वेदो यजुर्वेदः सामवेदोऽथवीङ्गिरस इति-हासः पुराण विद्या उपनिषदः श्लोकाः सूत्राण्यनुज्याख्यानानि ज्याख्यानान्यस्यैवैतानि सर्वाणि निःश्वसितानि ॥

As from fire, made of damp wood, proceed smoke, sparks, etc (1), of various kind, thus, behold, is the breathing of this great being the Rg Veda, the Yajur Veda, the Sāma Veda, the Atharvāngirasa, the narratives (Itihāsa) (2), the doctrines on creation (Purāna), the science (Vidyā), the Upanisads, the memorial verses (Slokas), the aphorisms (Sūtras), the explanation of tenets (Anuvyākhyānāni), the explanation of Mantras (Vyākhyānāni), all these are his breathing

- (1) Dhumah (smoke), the plural denotes, according to S', smoke, sparks, ashes, etc
- (2) S' ascerts that the Itihāsa, etc, are the eight topics of the Brāhmanas, viz, Itihāsa, narratīve, as for instance, that of Uvāsi, and Purūiavas, Purāna doctrines on creation, as for instance, "this was before", vidyā, the science of the gods, for instance, "he knows", Upanisads, doctrines about adoration, for instance, "the soul, therefore let it be adored", Slokas, Mantras, occurring in the Biahmanas, as "there follow these Slokas", Sūtras, sentences, which give the pith of a thing, as "the soul, therefore, it should be adored", Anuvyākhyānāni, explanation of Mantras, Vyākhyānāni, praise in honour of a deity, etc
 - 11 स यथा सर्वासामपार समुद्र एकायनमेवर सर्वेषार स्पर्शाना त्वगे-कायनमेवर सर्वेषा गन्वाना नासिके एकायनमेवर सर्वेपार रसाना

जिहुँकायनमेन स् सर्वेषा स्त्याणा चिनुरेकायनमेव सर्वेषार शाराना रश्नोत्रमंकायनमेन सर्वेषार सर्वेषा स्तर्वान स्तर्वेषार स्वर्वान स्तर्वेषा स्तर्वान स्तर्वेषा कमगार हस्तावेकाय नमेन स्तर्वेषामानन्द्रानामुष्ट एकाननमेव सर्वेषा विसर्गणा पानुरेकानमेव सर्वेषा वेदाना वागेकायनमेव सर्वेषा वेदाना वागेकायनमे ॥

As the only site of all the waters is the ser thus is the only site of every touch the skin thus the only site of every smell the nose thus the only site of every colour the eye thus the only site of every sound the ear thus the only site of every determination the mind thus the only site of every knowledge the heart thus the only site of every act the hands, thus the only site of every pleasure the organs of generation thus the only site of every evacuation the anus thus the only site of every motion the feet, thus the only site of every motion the feet, thus the only site of every Veda speech

12 स यत्रा सैन्यत्रिकन्य उदके प्रास्त उदक्तेवानुविशीयेत न हास्योद्गृह-णायेय स्थानतो यतन्त्वान्दात र नणमेत्रेत वा अग इद महज़ूत-मनन्तम्यार विज्ञानन्त प्यतिस्यो मृतेम्य समुद्रशय तान्येवाउनु विनत्यित न प्रेय सङ्गान्तात्यर त्रतीमाति होवाच याज्ञवल्क्य ॥

As a piece of salt when thrown into water is dissolved into more water and none is capable of perceiving it because from whatever place a person might take (water) it would have the taste of salt (but be no piece of salt thus) behold the great being which is infinite, independent and more knowledge Springing forth together with those elements (1), (he) (2) is destroyed, when they are destroyed. After death, no conscience remains (3), thus, "O Maitreyī, I hold," thus said Yājňavalkya

- (1) I give here, in a somewhat different language, some of the images which Sankara uses, in explanation of this passage. As the appearance of the sun and moon in water is a mere reflection, and nothing real, or as the appearance of red in a white crystal is a mere reflection from a red substance and nothing real,—for on removing the water, the sun and moon only remain, not their reflections, or on removing the red substance, the whiteness of the crystal continues unchanged, thus the elements and the individual souls are reflections of the one soul upon ignorance, and nothing real, for on removing the ignorance by knowledge the soul alone remains, while those reflections cease to exist
- (2) He, the individual soul, or as Sankara has it, the division of a particular soul
- (3) For him who has the true knowledge of the eternal soul
 - 13. सा होवाच मैत्रेथ्यत्रैव मा भगवानमूमुहन श्रेत्य सज्ञास्तीति स होवाच याज्ञवलक्यो न वा अरेऽह मोह ब्रवीम्यल वा अर इट विज्ञानाय ॥

Maitreyi said, "With regard to him (Brahman) thou hast be wildered me, O Venerable, by the saying (1),

After death no conscience remains "Yājñavalkya said, "Behold, I verily do not create bewilderment, behold, this (Brahman) is sufficient for knowledge"

(1) Because this seems contradictory to the former statement, that Brahman is the fulness of knowledge.

14 यत्र हि द्वैतिमत्र भत्रति तिदेतर इतर निर्मात तिदेतर इतर पश्यित तिदेतर इतर पश्यित तिदेतर इतर पश्यित तिदेतर इतर भगुते तिदेतर इतर भगुते तिदेतर इतर अगाति यत्र या अस्य सत्रभारमेगाभूतत्केन कि जिने तिदेतर इतर आगाति से अस्य सत्रभारमेगाभूतत्केन कि पश्येत्तत्केन कर्म श्रियेत्तत् केन कि निर्माणीयित ति केन कि निर्माणीयिति हिंदी विज्ञानाति ति केन विज्ञानीयिति ।।

For where (1) there is duality (2) as it were there sees another (3) thing there smells another another thing there hears another another thing there speaks another of another thing there minds another another thing, there knows another another thing but how does one to whom all has become mere soul (Atma) emell anything how see anything how hear inything how speak of inything how mind anything how know anything? How should he know him by whom he knows this all behold how should he know the knower (4)?

- (1) In the individual soul produced by the substrata of ignorance as the totality of causes and effects -S
 - (2) Difference from the supreme seul
 - (3) Any individual soul
- (4) The argument which Sahkara advances in support of this doctrine is essentially as follows Every effect requires a cause or without cause there is no effect therefore if there is ignorance there is the assumption of effect cause and reward as the offect of ignorance but this assumption is not made on a knowledge of Brahman for if all is soul or Brahman there is besides the seul neither cause nor effect nor reward. A knowledge of a cause can take place if there is a difference between the cause and the object of knowledge and there may be an

enquiry on the subject of the knowledge (the knowing soul) and the object of the same, but not of the soul If the latter be the case, such a knowledge (the knowledge of the knowing subject, the soul) would be either produced by the soul itself, or by something else Not the first, for the soul is not an object of the soul, not by something else, for there is nothing else but the soul, and there is therefore no object of its knowledge

FIFTH BRAHMANA (1)

1 इयं पृथिवी सर्वेषा भूताना मध्वस्यै पृथिव्ये सर्वाणि भूतानि मधु यश्चायमस्या पृथिन्या तेजोमयोऽमृतमयः पुरुषो यश्चायमध्यातम् ज्ञारीरस्तेजोमयोऽमृतमयः पुरुषोऽयमेव स योऽयमात्मेदममृतिमदं ब्रह्मेद्दर सर्वम ॥

The earth is honey (2) for all beings, for the earth are all beings honey (3) Both the immortal, luminous spirit (4) (abiding) in the earth, and the immortal, luminous spirit, who exists in the body according to his relation to the soul (are honey for all beings and all beings are honey for them) This (5) is that soul, this (6) is immortal, this is Brahman, this all.

(1) S'ankara explains the connection between the present and the preceding Brahmana, as follows Maitreyī Brāhmana has been composed for the purpose to state that that which is independent of ceremonies and the cause of immortality, is worthy of explanation. This is the knowledge of Brahman pertaining, as has been declared, to all the duties of a Sanvasi Moreover, since by the knowledge of the soul the whole universe is known, and the soul is dearer to every one than every thing else the soul should be beheld It is to be heard to be minded to be pondered upon The different modes of beholding the soul have been stated before To be heard is the soul by means of the teacher to be pendered on by means of disquisition and disquisition has been explained. The proposition soul is the all is presed by the argument that the one soul is the only cause of the generality of the production and of the dissolution of the world and as this may be doubtful the present Brahmana is composed to remove any doubt regarding it. Because the whole world earth etc. is in the mutual dependence of support and supported it is dependent upon one cause of generality production and dissolution Or with other words after the proposition (Pratinga) soul alone is this all has been proved by the argument that the soul is the cause of the creation preservation and dissolution of the world the conclusion (Nigamana) of the proposed meaning is again made in the Madhubrihmana conformably to the definition of logicians that the conclusion in a syllogism is the ropotition of the proposition after the argument has been stated

- (2) Vide Chand Up 3 1—5 whore a similar comparison is mado
- (3) As the bees collect honoy from every kind of flower which again sorves as nourishment for the bees so all the beings are nourishment for the one earth are clianged into its very substance and again the earth is nourishment for all beings it is changed into all that is to say they are the remutually dependent there is no real difference between them they are the same—Brahman
 - (4) The Samskrt term is Purusa
- (5) This ayam this fourfold division 112 the earth all beings the spirit abiding in the earth and the spirit abiding in the body—S
- (6) This idem the knowledge whose object is the fourfold division ---S

2 इमा आपः सर्वेषा भूताना मध्यासामपा सर्वाणि भूतानि मधु यखाय-मास्वप्न तेजोमयोऽमृतमय. पुरुषो यश्चायमध्यातम् रेतसस्तेजो-सयोऽमृतमयः पुरुपोऽयमेव स योऽयमात्मेदममृतिमद ब्रह्मेदर सर्वम ॥

The waters are honey for all beings, for the waters are all beings honey Both the immortal, luminous spirits, abiding in the water and the immortal, luminous spirit, abiding in the semen according to his relation to the soul (are honey for all beings and all beings are honey for them) This is that soul, this is immortal, this is Brahman, this all.

3 अयमिशः सर्वेषा मूताना मध्यस्याग्नेः सर्वाणि मूतानि मनु यश्चाय-मस्मित्रय्नो तेजोमयाऽमृतमयः पुरुषो यथ्रायमध्यातम वाङ्मयस्ते-जामयोऽमृतमयः पुरुपोऽयमेव स योऽयमात्मेदममृतमिद ब्रहोदर सर्वम ॥

The fire is honey for all beings, for the fire all beings are honey Both the immortal, luminous spirit, (abiding) in the fire, and the immortal luminous spirit, abiding in speech according to his relation to the soul, (are honey for all beings and all beings are honey for This is that soul, this is immortal, this is them) Brahman, this all

> 4 अय वायु: सर्वेषा भूताना मध्वस्य वायो: सर्वाणि भूतानि मधु यश्चायमस्मिन्वायौ तेजोमयोऽमृतमयः पुरुषो यश्चायमध्यात्म प्राणस्तेजोमयोऽमृतमयः पुरुषोऽयमेव स योऽयमात्मेदममृतमिद ब्रह्मेंदर सर्वम ॥

The wind is hones for all beings for the wind all beings are hones. Both the immert il luminous spirit, (abiding) in the wind and the immortal luminous spirit who is life according to his relation to the soul (are hones for all heings, and all beings are hones for thein). This is that soul this is immortal this is Brahman this all.

5 अयातिया पर्वाचा प्रताना प्रत्नान्या प्रवाणि भूतानि सुर् यथानानिकारित्यं तात्रान्धाः पुरंश यथानन्यात्म पानुषन्तनाप्रपान्यकाय पुरुषात्रन्यन्त योऽन्तात्मे मधुतीयि प्रतिरूक्तियाः ॥

Adityn is honey for all beings for Adityn all beings are honey. Both the immertal luminous spirit (abiding) in that Adityn and the immertal luminous spirit abiding in speech according to his relation to the soil, (are honey for all beings and all beings are honey for them). This is that soil this is immertal this is Brahman this all

6 न्या न्यि सर्वपा भूताना मध्यामा नियारस्वाणि भूतानि मधु यक्षानमानु नियु तत्रामनाऽभूतमन पुरुषा यक्षानमध्यात्मरश्रात्र प्रातित्रत्यत्वतार्गनाऽभृतमन पुरुषाऽनमन स योऽपमात्मे स-मृतमिन ब्रोहेन्द्रसम्बन्धः।

The quarters are honey for all beings for the quarters all beings are honey. Both the immortal luminous spirit, (abiding) in the quarters and the immortal, luminous spirit abiding in the ear according

to his relation to the soul, (are honey for all beings, and all beings are honey for them) This is that soul, this is immortal, this is Brahman, this all

7. अयं चन्द्रः सर्वेषा भूताना मध्यस्य चन्द्रस्य सर्वाणि भूतानि मधु यश्चायमस्मिश्चन्द्रे तेजोमयोऽमृतमयः पुरुषो यश्चायमध्यात्मं मान-सस्तेजोमयोऽमृतमयः पुरुषोऽयमेव स योऽयमात्मेद्ममृतमिदं ब्रह्मेद्र सर्वम् ॥

The moon is honey for all beings; for the moon all beings are honey. Both, the immortal, luminous spirit (abiding) in the moon, and the immortal, luminous spirit, abiding in the mind according to his relation to the soul (are honey for all beings, and all beings are honey for them). This is that soul, this is immortal, this is Brahman, this all

8. इयं विद्युत्सर्वेषा भूताना मध्वस्यै विद्युतः सर्वाणि भूतानि मघु यश्चाय-मस्या विद्युति तेजोभयोऽमृतमयः पुरुषो यश्चायमध्यातमं तैजसस्ते-जोभयोऽमृतमयः पुरुषोऽयमेव स योऽयमात्मेदममृतिमद ब्रह्मेद्रश् सर्वम् ॥

The lightning is honey for all beings, for the lightning all beings are honey. Both, the immortal, luminous spirit, (abiding) in lightning, and the immortal, luminous spirit, abiding in the light (skin) according to his relation to the soul, (are honey for all beings, and all beings are honey for them). This is that soul, this is immortal, this is Brahman, this all

9 अयर स्तानित्तु सर्वेषा भृताना मध्यस्य स्तानियता सप्ताणि भृतानि मधु यथापमस्मिन्स्तानियत्ति तेनामनोज्यतम्य पुरत्रो यथाय मध्यासम् शान् सीनस्नेनामपीज्यतम्य पुरत्रोजनेन स योजनमारमेदसमृतिन, ब्रह्मिर स्वम् ॥

The thunder is lioney for all beings, for the thunder all beings are honey. Both the immortal luminous spirit (abiding) in thunder and the immortal luminous spirit abiding in sound and musical note according to his relation to the soul (are honey for all beings and all the beings are lioney for them). This is that soul this is immortal this is Brahman this all

10 अनमाताश सर्वेषा भूताना मध्यम्याताशस्य समाणि भूतानि मध्य यन्त्रायमिन्त्रातारो तेनामनाऽध्तमन पुरुषो यश्चायमध्यात्मर हनाकारास्तेनोमनोऽध्तमन पुरुषाऽनमेन स योऽयमात्मेदमधृतिमद् ब्रह्मरूस्यम् ॥

The ether is heavy for all beings for the other all beings are heavy. Both the immortal luminous spirit (abiding) in the other and the immortal luminous spirit abiding as other in the heart according to his relation to the soul (are heavy for all beings and all beings are heavy for them). This is that soul this is immortal this is Brahman this all

11 अय धम संवेषा भृताना मध्यस्य धमस्य मर्वाणि भृतानि मधु यथा ।भस्मिन्धर्मे तेनोमयोऽभृतम् पुरेषो यथायम-आत्म धमस्ते-जोमवोऽमृतमय पुरेषाऽयमेव स योऽयमात्मेदमभृतिमद ब्रह्मेद्र सर्वेम् ॥ Justice is honey for all beings, for justice all beings are honey. Both, the immortal, luminous spirit (abiding) in justice, and the immortal, luminous spirit, produced in justice according to his relation to the soul, (are honey for all beings and all beings are honey for them). This is that soul, this is immortal, this is Brahman, this all

12. इदर सखर सर्वेषा भूताना मध्यस्य सत्यस्य सर्वाणि भूतानि मघु यश्चाऽयमस्मिन्सत्ये तेजोमयोऽमृतमयः पुरुषो यख्चाऽयमध्यातमर सात्यस्तेजोमयोऽमृतमयः पुरुषोऽयमेव स योऽयमात्मेदममृतिमदः ब्रह्मेदर् सर्वम् ॥

Truth is honey for all beings, for truth all beings are honey. Both the immortal, luminous spirit, (abiding) in truth, and the immortal, luminous spirit, produced in truth according to his relation to the soul, (are honey for all beings, and all beings are honey for them). This is that soul this is immortal, this Brahman, this all

13 इंद मानुपर सर्वेषा भूताना मध्वस्य सानुषस्य सर्वाणि भूतानि मधु यश्चाऽयमस्मिन्मानुपे तेजोमयोऽभृतमयः पुरुषोऽयमेव स योऽय-मात्मेदमभृतमिद ब्रह्मेदर सर्वम् ॥

Mankind is honey for all beings, for mankind all beings are honey. Both, the immortal, luminous spirit (abiding) in mankind, and the immortal luminous spirit produced in mankind according to his relation to the soul, (are honey for all beings, and all beings are honey

for them) This is that soul, this is immortal this Brohman this all

11 अयमात्मा सर्वता भृताना मध्यस्यातमा समिण गृतानि मधु यथाय मिन्मनात्मित तनामयोऽमृत त्म पुरुषो यथायमा मा तनामयाऽमृ-तमय पुरुषोऽमम्म स याऽम ग्रह्मे भृतिम प्रतेष्ठ सम्म ॥

The soul is honey for all beings for the soul all beings are honey. Both the immortal luminous spirit (abiding) in the soul, and the immortal luminous spirit who is that so il (are honey for all heings and all beings are honey for them). This is that soul this is immortal this is Brahman this all

15 स वा अयमाता पर्नेषा भृतानापित्रपति सर्वषा गृतानाश्याना तवधा रानाभी च रानमी चारा सा समर्पिता प्यमेत्रास्मि ना भनि सत्राणि भृतानि सर्वे त्या सर्वे लोका सर्वे प्राणा सर पत आत्मान पर्वापता ॥

This soul is verily the lord of all beings, the king of all beings. As all spokes are fastened in the navo and the circumference of the wheel (1) thus also all beings all gods all worlds all organs all souls are fastened in that soul

- (1) See a similar comparison 2 Mund 2 6
- 16 इद वे तत्मधु त्रध्यद्तामणाऽिक्रमानुशच तटतद्वि परवता-चत् । तद्वा नसं सन्वेत्रस्य उप्रमानिक्रमाणि सन्यतुन वृष्टि । त्रध्यद्व इ यन्मन्याममणा वामधस्य शाम्या प्रमतस्ताचित ॥

This honey Dadhyan (1) the sen of Atharvana explained to the two asyms Boholding their doed the

- Rsi (2) said "O ye men, I will manifest your cruel deed (3) (undertaken) for your advantage, as Tanyatu (4) (manifests) rain (from a cloud) The honey which Dadhyan, the son of Atharvana, explained to you through the head of the horse (is this honey)."
- (1) The tale, alluded to in the text, is contained in the Taittiriya Brahmana in the part which treats on the Pravargya sacrifice, and is given by Sankara as follows, Dadhyan, the son of Atharvana, explained to the two Asvins, the physicians of the gods, the Madhu Brāhmana When they came to him for instruction, he told them, that Indra had threatened him to cut off his head, if he repeated the Madhu Brahmana to any body else The Asvins promised to save him from the consequence of Indra's anger They would place his head somewhere else, and meanwhile put a horse's head on his body, when Indra should cut off the head, they would replace it by his own He consented, and explained to them the Madhu Brahmana by means of the head of a horse which they had placed upon his body instead of his own, and on Indra cutting off the horse-head, they restored to him his own This tale, says Sankara, is to illustrate the superiority of the knowledge of Brahman, for the knowledge possessed by Indra is difficult even for the gods to gain, and was gained but with great trouble by the Asvins
 - (2) The Mantra S
 - (3) The cutting off the head of Dadhyan
 - (4) Parjanya
 - 17 इदं वै तन्मबु दध्यङ्डायर्वणोऽश्विभ्यामुवाच तदेतहिषः पश्यन्तवो-चदाधर्वणायाश्विन। दधीचेऽरुव्य<िश्वरः प्रत्येरयतं । स वा मधु प्रवोचहतायन्त्वाष्ट्र यहस्राविष कक्ष्य वामिति ॥

This honey explained Dadhyan, the son of Atharvana, to the two As'vins Beholding this deed, the Rsi

said — O Asvins he placed a horse head on Didhhan the son of Atharvana. Fo keep his promise he explain ed to you O De trovers the hones of Trastri (Adityn) and also the hones, which is to be concealed. (1)

- (1) The honey of Teastra is knowledge, referring to rate the honey to be concealed the knowledge of Brahman -- S
 - 15 क्टीतान्तु रुप्यत्दावकार्यभागतात्त्वार्यः वस्तवस्य स्तारम् । प्रथमितियः प्रथमित १८ स् यः प्रथमित्वापः पुरुष आस्मिति । साम्य प्रथम् प्रवासु प्रयुक्तियां निव किंग्लान्तरः निवर्षात्त्रस्य । ॥

This honey explained Didh an, the son of Athar vana to the two Assums. Beholding their deed the Bai said,— (IIc) (I) created the bodies (purali) of bipeds (and then) the bodies of quadrapeds. At first (purali) being a bird (2) he entered as Purosa the bodies (purali). This Purosa is called thus because he sleeps in all bodies (Purisava). From him nothing is concealed (without)

- (1) Parmorana -S
- (2) That : to way being of subtle body
- 19 इर वं तामतु रुप्यः । त्रमणाऽिम्यामुना व तराष्ट्रीय परयत्रमा चद्रप्य प्रतिप्रशास । रुद्धा मायानि पुरस्य व द्रमत सुना सम्य इत्य त्राता रुप्यस्य व इत्याद्यस्य व द्रमा सम्यानि पुरस्य व द्रमा सम्याणि प्रति चानन्तानि च तादतद्वरमा प्रथमनपरमनन्तरस्यायमन्याना प्रति तत्रानु विवनुना नन्त् ॥

This honey explained Dadhyan, the son of Atharvana, to the two Asvins 'Beholding this deed, the Rsi said, "He became to every nature of every nature, therefore to manifest the nature of him, India appears of manifold nature by his Māyās, for his hundred and ten senses are attached (to the body as horses (1) to a cai), it (the soul,) is the senses it is ten, it is many thousands, nay, infinite, it is Brahman who has not a Before nor an After, nor a Beside, nor a Without this is the soul, Brahman, the perceiver of all (2) Such is the doctrine

- (1) The word "Hail," means in Samskrt "sense" as well as "horse," and denotes here both of them
- (2) This passage may also be translated. This Brahman is without a Before, without an After, without a Beside, without a Without, is this soul (the individual soul), Brahman is the enjoyer of all

Or, This is Biahman, who is without a Before, without an After, without a Beside, without a Without, it is this soul (the individual soul), it is Brahman, the enjoyer of all (the universal soul)

SIXTH BRAHMANA

अय व< ञ. पोतिमाष्यो गौपवनाद्गौपवनः पोतिमाष्यात्पोतिमाष्यो गौपवनाद्गौपवनः कोज्ञिकात्कोशिक. कोण्डिन्यात्कौण्डिन्यः जाण्डिल्याच्छाण्डिल्य. कोज्ञिकाच्च गौतमाच्च गौतम. ॥</p>

Noxt follows the school (1) Pnutimāyja succeed od Gaupavana,—Gaupavana, Pautimāyja —Pautimāyja Gaupavana —Gnupavana Kausika —Kausika, Kaundinya —Knundinya Sāndilya—Sāndilya Kausika and Gautama —Gautama

- (1) Two more lists of teachers are given in this Upanisad 21 4 6 and 6 5 Sankara observes about the present list that it is the list of the Madlu Kinja and given for the praise of the I nowledge of Brahman. The school itself means the succession of teachers for the four preceding chapters of the Brahmana or the two first chapters of the B A U
 - श्रामिनस्थात्ताक्षिते च काणि ज्ल्याचानमिन्द्राता आनिम् म्छातात्रानिमम्छात आनिमन्द्रातात्रानिमन्द्राता गीतमात्रीतम सत्तत्रप्राचीन गेर्थ्याच्या स्तित्रप्राचानगेरची पाराशनात्पाराज्ञाची भारद्वाजाद्वारद्वा ने भारद्वाजाच गीतमाच गीतमा भारद्वा चाद्वारद्वाच पागानान्त् पाराज्ञाचे बैजनापाननार्द्वजनापायन क्रीजिकायने क्रीजिकाननि ॥

Agnivesya — Agnivesya — Sandilya and Anabhimlita — Anabhimlata Anabhimlata — Anabhimlata Anabhimlata Gautima — Gautama Saitiva ind Praeinayögya, — Saitiva and Praeinayögya Parasaya, — Parasaya Bharadvaja — Bharadvaja — Bharadvaja and Gautima — Gautama Bharadvaja — Bharadvaja Parasaya — Parasaya Bajjavapayana — Bajjavapayana Kausikayani— Kau ikayani

उ पृतक्तीविकाद्धृतक्तीविक पाराज्ञर्याक्रमात्पाराश्चर्यण पाराज्ञर्यात्पा राज्ञयों जात्र्रण्यां जानुकाय आसुरायनाच यास्काचासुराय- णस्त्रेवणेस्त्रेवणिरोपजन्धनेरोपजन्धनिरासुरेरासुरिर्भारद्वाजाद्वारद्वाज आत्रेयादात्रेयो माण्टेर्भाण्टिगोतिमाद्वोतमो गोतमाद्वोतमो वात्स्या-द्वात्स्यः शाण्डिल्याच्छाण्डिल्यः केशोर्यात्काण्यात्केशोर्यः काण्यः कुमारहारितात्कुमारहारितो गाळवाद्वाळवो विदर्भीकोण्डिन्याद्विदर्भी-कोण्डिन्यो वत्सनपातो वास्त्रवाद्वत्सनपाद्वास्यवः पयः सौमरात्याः सौमरोऽयास्यादाङ्गिरसादयास्य आङ्गिरस आसूतेत्वाष्ट्रात्वाम् विद्यस्ति विश्वस्त्रपात्त्वाष्ट्राद्विश्वस्त्रपस्त्वाष्ट्रोऽश्विभ्यामिश्वनौ दिघीच आधर्वणाद्दध्यद्वार्थवणोऽध्यवणो देवादथर्वा देवो मृत्योः प्राध्वरसनान्मृत्यः प्राध्वरसनात्प्रध्वरसनात्प्रध्वरसन एकपरेनकिपिविप्रचित्तिर्वप्रधिर्वेष्टः सनारोः सनारः सनातनात्सनातनः सनगात्सनगः परमेष्ठिनः परमेष्ठी ब्रह्मणो ब्रह्म स्वयंमु ब्रह्मणे नमः ॥

Ghrtakausıka, Ghrtakausıka, Pārāsaryāyana, Pārāsaryāyana, Pārāsarya,—Pārāsarya, Jātūkarnya, Jātūkarnya, Āsurāyana and Yāska, Āsurāyana, Straivani Straivani, Aupajandhani, Aupajandhani, Āsuri, Āsuri, Bhāradvāja,—Bhāradvāja, Ātreya, Ātreya, Mānti Mānti, Gautama Gautama, Gautama, Gautama, Vātsya, Vātsya, Sāndilya Sāndilya, Kaisorya Kāpya, Kaisorya Kāpya, Kumārahārita, Kumārahārita, Gālava, Gālava, Vidarbhi Kaundinya, Vidarbhi Kaundinya, Vatsanapāt Bābhrava Vatsanapāt Bābhrava, Panthah Saubhara, Ayāsya Āngīrasa,—Ayāsya Āngīrasa, Abhuti Tvāstra, Abhuti Tvāstra, Vis'varūpa Tvāstra, Vis'varūpa Tvāstra, the Asvins, the Asvins, Dadhin, Ātharvaṇa Dadhyan Ātharvana, Atharvana Daiva, Atharvā-Daiva, Mrtyu

Pradhvanisana, — Mṛtyn Pradhvanisana, Pradhvanisana, — Pradhvanisana, Ekaṛṣi — Ekaṛṣi Vipracitti — Vipracitti Vy iṣṭi — Vyaṣṭi, Sanāru — Sanātana, — Sanātana, — Sanātana, — Sanātana, — Pradhvanisana, Brahman (1) Brahman is the self existent salutation to Brahman

(1) Paramesti Virāt and Brahman denotes Hiraņya garbha —S



THIRD CHAPTER

FIRST BRAHMANA (1)

1. जनको ह वैदेहो बहुदक्षिणेन यज्ञेनेजे तत्र ह कुरुपञ्चालाना ब्राह्मणा अभिसमेता बभूवुस्तस्य ह जनकस्य वेदेहस्य विजिज्ञासा बभूव कः स्विदेषा ब्राह्मणानामनूचानतम इति स ह गवाद सहस्रम-वररोध दश दश पादा एकैकस्याः शृङ्गयोराबद्धा बभूवु ॥

Janaka, the king of the Videhas, performed the sacrifice, named Bahudaksina (2) There were assembled the Brāhmanas of the kurus and the Pāncālas Janaka the king of the Videhas, had a great desire to know, who among those Brāhmanas knew best the Vedas, for this purpose he tied a thousand cows (in a stable), the horns of each of them were surrounded with ten Pādas (of gold) (3)

- (1) The present Kānda, or the Yājñavalkya Kānda, treats the same subject as the Madhu Kānda, but it is no repetition, for while the latter exhibits the knowledge of Brahman in the form of mere enunciation, the former establishes it by argument. The narrative is given in praise of the knowledge of Brahman, and also to show liberality as a means conducive to that knowledge. S'
- (2) Bahudaksına is either a sacrifice of this name, which has been explained in another Sakha, or the

Asyamedha sacrifice as In the latter great donations are required. S

(3) A Pada is according to Sankara equal to the 4th part of a Pala or equal to Sasarpa of gold which according to Walson is equal to about 176 grains Troy

2 सन्तराय कामा भारत्य या सामित गणा । ।।मित ता सामा गण्यापा देशा त्या प्रमाधन ।।गित् सरा सन्तरा पास्स देशी साहार था तह सामार क्षेत्र प्रभाव । । सामार क्षेत्र प्रभाव ।। हासमा या देशा प्रभाव । । प्रमुख मालास मोलाही । देशा प्रभाव । । प्रमुख मालास प्रभाव स्वित्तराय प्रभाव ।। प्रमुख मालास

lie and to them - O Venerable Brilingings who ever an onest you is the best knower of Brahman shall drive home those cows. The Brihman is did not venture (to come forward). Then aid Yanavalkya to his Brahma student - O centle one drive home those (cows) (As you command) O knower of the Sama Ved : (1) with the e words (the di ciple) drove them home The Britimanas became anary (thinking) -How dare he call himself amonest us the best knower of Brahman? There was then Asvala the Hota (2) of Janala line of the Videhis He a ked him -Art thou in very deed amonest us the best knower of Brilman O Yaifavall va? He said. We bow to him who is the best knower of Brahman, we are even desirous of getting the cons Hence the Hota Asyala undertook to question lum

- (1) That is to say, knower of the four Vedas, as the Sama Veda was to be studied after the three other Vedas
- (2) The Rtvik, one who knows the Rg-Veda, or he who arranges the sacrifices
 - 3. याज्ञवल्क्येति होवाच यदिद्र सर्व मृत्युनाप्तर सर्व मृत्युनाभिपन्नं केन यजमानो मृत्योराप्तिमितिमुच्यत इति होत्रित्वजाग्निना वाचा वाग्वे यज्ञस्य होता तथेय वाक् सोऽयमिन्नः स होता स मुक्तिः सातिमुक्तिः ॥

He said, "Yājñavalkya, all this is pervaded by death, all this is subject to death. By what means overcoming the grasp of death is the sacrificer liberated?" (He replied), -"By speech which is fire in the shape of the priest, called Hotā. The speech of the sacrificer (1), is verily the Hotā. This speech (2) is this fire, this (fire) is the Hotā, this (fire of the Hotā) is liberation (3), this (liberation) absolute liberation." (4)

- (1) With reference to the sacrifice. S'.
- (2) With reference to the deities S'
- (3) Cause of liberation S
- (4) Absolute liberation is here the gaining of the state of speech and of the deity of fire S'
 - 4. याज्ञवल्क्येति होवाच यिद्र सर्वमहोरात्राम्यामाप्त सर्वमहोरात्राम्या-मिपन्न केन यजमानोऽहोरात्रयोराप्तिमित्मुच्यत इत्यध्वर्युणित्वजा चक्षुषादित्येन चक्षुर्वे यज्ञस्याध्वर्युस्तबदिदं चक्षुः सोऽसावादित्यः सोऽध्वर्युः स मुक्तिः सातिमुक्तिः ॥

He said, "Yājñavalkya, all this is pervaded by day and night (1), all this is subject to day and night.

By what noise overcoming the prisp of day and night is the sacrificer liberated? (He replied)— By the even which is Aditya (the un) in the shape of the priest called Adityaryu. The even of the sacrificer is verily the Adityaryu. This even the Aditya this (Adityaryu bis che is the Adityaryu this (Adityaryu) is liberation this (liberation) absolute liberation.

- (1) The cause of the continual change of such rites as the Darya and Puryama a 18 time for although time is included in work yet in lependent of the performance of work time before and after work is observed to change the causes of rites for which reason the liberation from time must be reparately explained—5

He said— Yanavalkya all is pervaded by the light and dark halves of the limar mouth (1) all this is subject to the light and dark halves of the linar months. By what means overcoming the prisp of the light and dark halves of the limar mouth is the sacrificer liberated? (He replied)— By the vital breath which is the wind in the shape of the priest called the Udgata. The vital breath of the sacrificer is verily the Udgata. This vital breath is this wind this (wind) is the Udgata this (Udgata) is liberation absolute liberation.

- (1) Although time, as containing lunar days, is included in time, characterized by day and night, and although the sun is the Ruler of day and night, yet this is only the case in general, but not with regard to days and nights, where there is an increase or decrease which are ruled by the moon, and in this respect a liberation from time as represented by lunar days, is necessary S'
 - 6 याज्ञवल्क्येति होवाच यदिवमन्तिरक्षमनारम्मणिमव केनाक्रमेण यज-मानः स्वर्ग छोक्षमाक्रमत इति ब्रह्मणित्वजा मनसा चन्द्रेण भनो वै यज्ञस्य ब्रह्मा तद्यदिद भनः सोऽसो चन्द्रः स ब्रह्मा स मुक्तिः सातिभुक्तिरित्यतिमोक्षा अथ सपदः॥

He said, "Yājñavalkya, this atmosphere is without foundation, as it were, by what approach (1) does (man) approach then the place of heaven?" (He replied), "By the mind which is the moon in the shape of the priest, called the Brahman. The mind of the sacrificer is verily the Brahman, mind is the Brahman, this (moon) is the Brahman, this (Brahman) is liberation, this (liberation) absolute liberation. So far the absolute emancipation (from death) Next the means (2)

- (1) In 3 5, the liberation of the sacrificer from death has been declared, but not the means by which he effects it. These means are explained in the present section S'
- (2) Means are either all the appliances necessary for the performance of sacred rites, or the knowledge of those means S'
 - 7. याज्ञवल्क्येति होवाच कतिभिरयमधर्मिहोतास्मिन्यज्ञे करिष्यतीति तिसृभिरिति कतमास्तास्तिस् इति पुरोऽनुवाक्या च याज्या च जस्येव तृतीया कि तामिर्जयतीति यत्किचेदं प्राणमृदिति ॥

He said — Anjanvalkin by how many Rks (1) of the Ry Veda does this Hota in this significe to day perform the praise?

(He replied) — By three — By what three? — By tho e to be recited before (the sperifice) by tho e to be recited for the sike of the sperifice and by those to be recited for the sike of privac. What does be conquer by them? *All that be irrelife (2)

- (I) A Rk does not mean here a in he were of the Rg Vola but it refers to certain kinds of Rk verses which may include any number of Mantras
- (2) The three worlds according to 5 since they are the supporter of life and the three worlds correspond with the three kinds of Rks
 - पा पाल्की पिताना । पर्यक्त कार्यकु सिल्यव आहुण हों प्रांति तिल्य होता क्षत कारियम होते या हुता कहता । या हुता अतिन हस्त या हुता अधि । ते ति तामि कोति या हुता कहता कि देव क्षत्रेच तामिल्यति होंद्या हम हिन्दु । या या हुता अतिवहत्ते कि क्षत्रेच तामित्रयोग हि पितृ को मा हुता अधि । ते मह कारत्यम्य तामित्रययोग हम हिन्दु यो ते ॥

He and — 'Yajhaxalkya how many oblations (1) does this Adhvarya offer to day in this serifice? (He replied) — Three 'Which are these three? The oblations which flame upwards the oblations which find downwards (2) What does be conquer by them? "By the oblations that flame upwards he conquers the world of the gods for the world of the gods

(deva) shines (dipyate) as it were, by the oblations which make a great noise, he conquers the world of the forefathers, for the world of the forefathers is very noisy (3), as it were, by the oblations which fall downwards, he conquers the world of man, for the world of man is down below, as it were"

- (1) Oblations, fire offerings.
- (2) In the oblations, flaming upwards, butter, in those making a great noise, meat, and in those falling downwards, milk or the Soma juice is offered
- (3) The noise refers to the lamentations of those who are punished for their crimes S'
 - 9 याज्ञवल्क्येति होवाच कतिभिग्यमच ब्रह्मा यज्ञं दक्षिणतो देवताभि-गोंपायतीत्येक्येति कतमा सैकेति मन एवेत्यनन्त वे मनोऽन्ता विश्वेदेवा अनन्तमेव स तेन छोक जयति ॥

He said, "Yājñavalkya, by how many deities (1) does this Biahman (seated on the chair) to the right, protect to-day this sacrifice?" (He replied), "By one" "Which is the one?" "The mind (2), the mind is infinite (3), infinite are the Visvedevas, he conquers thereby the world Infinite"

- (1) The plural instead of the singular according to S', is either used to connect this question with the former ones, or to deceive Yajñavalkya
 - (2) For by the mind is Biahman meditated upon
- (3) This means, that the modifications of the mind are infinite

10 यान्यत्वयति हाताय त्ययकात्राज्ञातिन्ताः स्वाप्तिया स्वेत्याति । ति वि व कान्यान्तिस्य इति पुर्यव्यक्तिया न वावकी व शत्य तृतीय काच्या या अध्या वीति प्राण प्राप्तु काव्यव्यक्ति याच्या स्वाप्ता हि त्यानिविधाति पृथियाच प्रमेत पुर्वव्यक्तिया व्यवस्तिरियञ्जक्षाच्या पुर्वे अस्यया राजा ह हत्ताव्य व्यवस्था ॥

He said — Yanavillya how many hymns of praise (1) will the Udgata sing to day in this sacrifice? (He replied) — Three — Which are these three? Those to be recited before (the icrifice) those to be recited for the ake of the significe and thirdly those to be recited for the also of praise.

Which are those (three) according to their relation to the soul? The vital air that goes forwards (respiration) is those to be recited before (2) the vital air that goes downward these to be recited for the sake of the scientific (3) the vital air that equalises those to be recited for the sale of praise. What does be conquer by them?" By the Mantres to be recited before he conquers the world of man by those to be recited for the sake of the scientific the world of the atmosphere by those to be recited for the sake of praise. Hence the Hota Asvala became silent.

- (1) Rks either of the Rk or Sama Veda
- (2) Both going up, ards
- (3) As the win I going downwards causes a noise

SECOND BRAHMANA

1 अथ हैनं जाग्तकारव आर्तभागः पप्रच्छ याञ्चवल्यचेति होवाच कति प्रहा कत्यतिप्रहा इत्यष्टौ प्रहा अष्टावतिप्रहा इति ये तेऽछौ प्रहा अष्टावतिप्रहाः कतमे त इति ।

Then asked (1) him Ārtabhāga (2), from the family of Jaratkāru, "Yājñavalkya," said he, "how many fetters (3) are there, and how many auxiliaries to them?" (He replied), "Eight fetters and eight auxiliaries" "Which are the eight fetters and the eight auxiliaries?"

- (1) The absolute liberation from death in the relation of the latter to time and work, has been explained in the preceding Brāhmana. What then is the nature of death itself? Death is an attachment, produced from the natural ignorance of man, as to the material world and to the soul. Death is therefore a bond, and the senses and their objects by which death is characterised, are called chains and their enhancers, and it is the object of this Brāhmana to show the liberation from death, as characterised by the sensual chains and their enhancers, the sensual objects. S'
 - (2) The son of Rtubhaga
- (3) The terms in the text are "graha" and "atigraha," graha, by which something is seized and atigraha, which aids in this seizure, by which the seizure becomes stronger
 - 2 प्राणो वै ग्रहः सोऽपानेनातिग्राहेण गृहीतोऽपानेन हि गन्धाञ्जिप्रति ।

The vital air that goes forwards (1) is a fetter, it is enhanced by the vital air going downwards (2), which is its auxiliary, for by the vital air that goes downwards, smell is perceived

- (1) The vital air that goes forward is her the sense of smell from its connection with the present topic -5
- (°) The vital air that fore downwards is here the sensation of smell -5
 - े वा ४ एर् स नत्याधिमध्य मृतेता प्राप्ता ति नापान्य स्थित।

Speech is a fetter at is cohanced by the word which is its invalidity for by speech word are pronounced

1 निद्रा प्रस्तः परमपापियदण पूर्वता विद्या विद्यानियनाः जाति।

The tongue is a fetter at is enhanced by tiste which is it surthers for by the tongue various lands of tiste become namelest

ें चुर प्रत्याभिक्षात्रम् । व्याप्ता हिल्लाम व विता

The eye is a fetter at is enhanced by colour which is its auxiliary for by the eye colours are seen

धात्र वे यह स गन्द्रभातिबाहण गृहा । भात्रेग दि गन्नान्तृभाति ।

The car is a fetter at is enhanced by sound which is its auxiliary for by the ear sounds are heard

7 मना प्रभन स प्रामनानिमाहत सूत्रा भारतना निकासन्तर स

The mind is a fetter at is enhanced by desire which is its auxiliary for by mind desires are formed

8 हस्ती वं प्रत्य व पमणातिष्राहण गृहाता हस्ताभ्यार हि कम पराति। The hands are a fetter, it is enhanced by action which is its auxiliary, for by the hands actions are done

- 9 त्वग्वे ग्रहः स स्पर्शेनातिग्राहेण गृहीतस्त्वचा हि स्पर्शान्वेद यत इत्येतेऽधे ग्रहा अष्टावतिश्रहाः।
- "The skin is a fetter, it is enhanced by touch which is its auxiliary, for by the skin the various kinds of touch become known. These are the eight fetters and the eight auxiliaries" (1)
- (1) The fetters above mentioned, as speech, and their auxiliaries are the impressions of words, etc
 - 10 याज्ञवल्क्येति होवाच यदिद्र सर्व भृत्थोरनं का स्वित्सा देवता यस्या मृत्युरन्निमत्यग्निर्वे भृत्यु सोऽपामन्नमप पुनर्मृत्यु जयति ।

He said, "Yājñavalkya, all this is the food of death Which then is the deity whose food is death (himself)?" (He replied) "Fire verily is death, the same is the food of the water; (thereby) death again is conquered."

11 याज्ञवल्क्येति होवाच यत्राय पुरुषो म्नियत उदस्मात्प्राणाः क्रामन्त्याहो ३ नेति नेति होवाच याज्ञवल्क्योऽत्रैव समवनीयन्ते स उच्छ्रवत्याध्मायत्याध्मातो मृतः शेते ।

He said, "Yājñavalkya, when this spirit (Purusa) dies, do then the organs ascend from him?" (1) Yājñavalkya said, - "No, by no means, no by no means, there (2) united they are dissolved in him (3), he becomes

swollen he becomes full of wind when blown upon the dead sleeps (1)

- (1) From him who knows Brahman
- (2) In him who knows Berliman
- (3) In the supreme Brahman they become of the same nature with him
- (4) There is no actual death, when the bonds are destroyed the liberated does not proceed anywhere
 - 12 यात्रव्ल्येति हात्राच यत्राय पुरमा मित्रत विमेन उ नहातीति नामयनन्त व नामानन्ता विशेदेवा अनन्तमय म तन लाक जबति ।

He said — Yann wall ya when this spirit dies then what does not leave him? (He replied) — The name The name indeed is infinite infinite, are the Vissadevishe conquers thereby the world of the infinite

13 यान-स्न्येति हाताच पत्रास्य पुरणस्य मृतस्याप्ति वागप्येति वात प्राणधनुराश्चिय मनधन्त्र शिंग भात्र मृतियोश अर्परमाकाशमाश्चीववार्गमानि चनन्यतान्त्रेशा अप्सु राहिते च रेतध नित्रायत काय तदा पुरणा भवतीत्याहर साम्य हस्तमातभागात्रामवितस्य विश्वयाता न नावेतत् सनन इति ती हात्कास्य मन्त्रयाचकात ती ह यद्चति कम हैच तद्वस्तुर्थ य त्र शास्मत् कम हैच तद्वपार्यस्तु पुण्या च पुण्येन रमणा भत्रति पाप पापनित तता ह जारत्कारच आत्रभाग उपराम।

He said - Yajñavalkya (1) -when the speech (2) of the spirit departed is dissolved into fire the vital air

into the wind, the eye into the sun, the mind into the moon, the ear into the quarters, the body into earth, the soul (3) into the ether, the hairs of the body into annual herbs, the hairs of the head into trees, blood and semen into the waters, where then does this spirit remain?"

(He replied), "Take, O gentle Ārtabhāga, my hand, (and let us go to a lonely place, there) we shall know (the answer of) this question, this our (question) cannot be (decided) in a crowded place" Going there they deliberated. What they said there, was work (4) what they praised there, was work. By holy work verily a a person becomes holy, unholy by unholy". Hence Ārtabhāga from the family of Jaratkāru became silent

- (1) In 10 and 11, the state, which is obtained by the liberated, has been mentioned. What then does become after death of him who is not liberated? The answer is given in the present section.
- (2) By speech, the vital airs, etc, according to S', the tutelary derives are here to be understood, and not the organs, because they do not depart
- (3) The soul (ātmā) means here the place of the soul, the ether of the heart
- (4) Work as the material cause of man again assuming a body, etc

THIRD BRAHMANA (1)

1 अथ हैन भुज्युर्लाह्यायनि. पप्रच्छ याज्ञवल्क्येति होवाच मंद्रेषु चरकाः पर्यव्रजाम ते प्रतञ्चलस्य काप्यस्य गृहानैम

तस्यानीद दृदिता मन्यवर्ष्ट्रीता उत्तपृष्ट्याः। काउमानि माऽवर्गतम्परन्याऽद्विरम् इति तः यथा त्यवानामन्याः चर्च्छामानिकाम्म कः पर्मादिता अभवनिति कः पानिनता अभवन् म स्वा पृच्छानि याण्यस्तस्य कः पानिनता अभवनिति ।

Then asked him Bhuyu the son of Lahya—'Yannankya said he wandering (one) in the country of the Madras for the sake of studying the Vedas—ve came to the house of Pataneala of the family of Kapi. His daughter was possesed by a Gradharva (2)—We asked him,—Who art thon? He answered—I am Sudhanva of the family of Jugirasi When asking him about the boundaries of the world we said to him—Where are the Pariksitas—where are the Pariksitas.

- (1) This Brahmana according to 5 is to show that the rites have only worldly offects and that absolute liberation is thereby impossible. The highest among all rites is the performance of the hor o sacrifice in its double form either as an actual sacrifice accompanied with know lodge or as a symbolical sacrifice by montal representation. The effect resulting from the horse sacrifice is either in dividual ziz the obtaining of the nature of fire and other dottes or universal as referring to the doity of the universal ziz the obtaining of the nature of Hiraqyagarbha the first born that is to say by neither of these offects absolute liberation from the world or identity with Brahman is obtained.
 - (2) A being of superhuman power By saying that he has his knowledge from such a boing Bludya means to assert that his knowledge must be superior to that of Yajinavalkya as not derived from such a source—S

2 स होवाचोवाच वै सोऽगच्छन्वै ते तध्त्राश्वभेधयाजिनो गच्छन्तीति क्व न्वश्वभेधयाजिनो गच्छन्तीति द्वात्रिप्जत वै देवरथान्ह्यान्थयं लोकस्त्रप्समन्त पृथिवी दिस्तावत्पर्येति ताप्समन्त पृथिवी दिस्तावत्पर्येति ताप्समन्त पृथिवी दिस्तावत्समुद्रः पर्येति तद्यावती क्षुरस्य धारा यावद्वा मिक्षकायाः पत्रं तावानन्तरेणाकाशस्तानिन्दः सुपर्णो भूत्वा वायवे प्रायच्छत्तान्वायुरात्मनि धित्वा तत्रगमयध्त्राश्वभेधयाजिनोऽभवन्नित्येविमव वै सवायुभेव प्रशश्चरस तस्माद्वायुरेव व्यष्टिवीयुः समिष्टरप पुनर्मृत्युं जयित य एवं वेद ततो ह भुज्युर्लोह्यायनिरुपरगम ॥

He (Yājñavalkya) said, "Verily he (the Gandharva), said They (the Pāriksitas) went where the performers of the As'vamedha-sacrifice go" "Where then go the performers of the Asyamedha-sacrifice?" "This world (1) extends to thirty-two days (of the journey) of the car of the sun, the earth extends twice as far everywhere, the ocean extends twice as far as the earth everywhere There (2) as far as the edge of a razor, or the wing of a fly (extends), so far extends the ether in the midst Indra (3) in the shape of a falcon surrendered them (the Pariksitas), to the wind, the wind placing them upon himself (4) carried them there, where the performers of the Asvamedha-sacrifice abide In this manner he (the Gandharva) praised the wind, therefore the wind is indeed the individuality, the wind the totality Whoever thus knows, conquers the second death" Hence Bhujyu, the son of Lahya, became silent

- (i) This word is according to 5 the space enclosed by the mountains of the rising and the setting sun
- (2) There according to 5°s explanation means at the aperture of the two halves of the mundane egg. To this end of the world leads a read of the length above described and through the aperture which is the other the perfermers of the herse sacrifice ge to be united with the wind that surrounds it
 - (3) Parmosvara —S
 - (4) Making them like himself

FOURTH BRAHMANA

शय हैनमुपन्तथाकायण पत्रच्छ याद्यारूपेति हात्राच यत्साक्षा द्वराक्षाक्रम य आत्मा अवान्तरस्त मे न्याचस्य इत्येव त आत्मा सवान्तर अतमो याद्यवस्य सवान्तरो य प्राणेन प्राणिति स त आत्मा सवान्तरो योऽपानेनापानिति स त आत्मा सवान्तरो यो ज्यानेन व्यानिति स त आत्मा सवान्तरो यो ज्यानेन व्यानिति स त आत्मा सवान्तरा य उदानेनीदानिति स त आत्मा मतान्तर एप त आत्मा सर्वान्त

Then asked (1) him Usasta the son of Cakra—Yajūavalkya, said he do explain to me that Brahman who is a witness and present (2), that soul, which is within every (being) (He replied)— It is thy soul which is within every (being) Which soul is within every one O Yajūavalkya? 'That which breathes by the breath is thy soul (3) which is within every (being) that which descends by the descending air is thy soul which is within every (being) that

which goes everywhere by the air going everywhere, in thy soul which is within every (being), that which ascends by the ascending air, is the soul which is within every (being), this thy soul is within every (being)'

- (1) The connection between the present question and the two preceding ones is thus stated by S It has been declared that, seized by the fetters and their auxiliaries. viz, the senses and their objects, which are produced by virtue and vice, man roams about in the world, again and again shaking off those fetters and again and again assuming them. The highest elevation to be gained by virtue has also been explained, that is to say, the acquirement of the same nature with the two-fold nature of Hiranyagarbha, viz. with his nature as totality or with his nature as separated within individual existence. The present question is to enquire, whether he who, seized by the senses and their objects, assumes one body after the other, exists, or not, and if he exists what is his nature, that is to say, it shows, how to distinguish the soul from every other thing as the indispensable means of liberation. for the nature of the soul being comprehended, liberation ensues from the above described bondage.
- (2) Witness and present, the first, according to S', means "not obstructed by anything," and the second "without attributes"
 - (3) The soul, whose nature is knowledge S
 - 2. स होवाचोषस्तश्चाक्रायणो यथा विद्ययादसौ गौरसावश्च इत्येवमे-वैतद्ध्यपदिष्ट भवति यदेव साक्षादपरोक्षाद्वह्म य आत्मा सर्वान्त-रस्त मे ज्याचक्ष्वेत्येष त आत्मा सर्वान्तरः कतमो याज्ञवल्क्य सर्वान्तरो न दृष्टेर्द्रष्टार पञ्चेन श्रुतेः श्रोतार शृणुयान्न मतेर्मन्तार मन्वीथा न विज्ञातेर्विज्ञातार विज्ञानीया एप त आत्मा सर्वान्तरोऽतोऽन्यदार्त ततो होपस्तश्चाक्रायण उपरराम।

Usista the son of Cakra said—'As some one may by This is a cow this is a horse thus is this (Brahman) described by thee (1). Do (now) explain to me that Brahman who is a witness and present that soil which is within every (being). It is thy soil which is within every (being). Which soil is within every (being). Which soil is within every (being). Yajavalkya? 'Thou couldst (2) not behold the beholder of the beholding thou couldst not hear the hearer of the hearing thou couldst not nind the minder of the minding them couldst not know the knewer of the knowing. This thy soil is within every (being) every thing different from it is transient. Hence Usasta the sen of Cakra became silent.

- (1) To show Brahman by such signs as respiration of the same as if some body who engages to define a cow etc defines it merely by signs saying for instance the animal which goes there is a cow that is to say thy definition is an improportion
- (2) Yajūavalkya declines to give a definition of Brahman in the way as it is given of a jar etc. And his reason for declining it is that such a definition is contra dictory to the nature of Brahman. For the nature of Brahman consists in the agency described as beholding etc. The soul is the beholder of the beholding. The beholding hearing knowing etc is therefore two fold the common one and that to which it in reality applies. The common beholding etc is a modification of the internal ergan by means of its connection with the eye etc. This is an effect and has therefore a commencement and an end but the beholding etc of the soul the beholding of the beholding has by its own nature no beginning and no end

FIFTH BRAHMANA

1 अथ हैन कहोलः कौषीतकेयः प्रमुच्छ याज्ञवल्क्येति होवाच यदेव साक्षाढपरोक्षाद्वस य आत्मा सर्वान्तरस्त मे व्याचक्ष्वेत्येष त आत्मा सर्वान्तरः कतमो याज्ञवरूक्य सर्वान्तरो योऽज्ञना-यापिपासे ज्ञोक मोहं जरा मृत्युमत्येति एत वै तमात्मानं विदित्वा बाह्मणाः पुत्रेषणायाश्च वित्तेषणायाश्च छोकेषणायाश्च व्युत्थायाथ मिक्षाचर्य चरन्ति या होव पुत्रेषणा सा वित्तेषणा या वित्तेषणा सा छोकेषणोभे होते एषणे एव मवतस्तस्माद्वाह्मणः पाण्डित्यं निर्विध बाल्येन तिष्ठासेद्वाल्य पाण्डित्यं च निर्विधाथ मुनिरमीन च मौन च निर्विधाऽथ ब्राह्मणः स ब्राह्मणः केन स्याह्मन स्यात्तेनेदश एवातोऽन्यदार्त ततो ह कहोलः कौषीतकेय उपरराम।

Then asked him Kahola, the son of Kusītaka, "Yājñavalkya," said he, "do explain to me that Biahman, who is a witness and present, that soul, which is within every (being)" (1). He replied ——"It is thy soul, which is within every (being)" "Which (the soul), O Yājñavalkya, that is within every (being)?" "(It is the soul) which conquers hunger, thirst, griet, delusion, old age, (and) death. When Brāhmanas know this soul (2), then elevating themselves from the desire of obtaining a son (3), from the desire of wealth, and from the desire of gaining the worlds, they lead the life of wandering mendicants, for the desire of a son, is also the desire for wealth, the desire for wealth (4) is also the desire for the worlds, for both are even desires. Therefore knowing wisdom let the Biāhmana arm

himself with strength (5) Knowing wisdom and strength (6) the thinker knowing the thinking and the not thinking (7), of Brahman will become a (true) Brahmana

By what (work) will the Brilimana live? He will remain such an one by any (work) (8) Any (state) different from this (state of a Brilimana) is perishable. Then Kahola the son of Kusitaka became silent

- (1) In the first three Brahmanas the bendage of the soul together with its cause has been declared. In the fourth the existence of the so bound soul and its independence of every other thing has been set forth. The fifth Brahmana is to show the knowledge of the soul in connection with the renunciation of the world as the cause of the liberation of the soul from bondage. In this and the preceding Brahmanas the question Txplain to me that Brahman otc is the same and it might therefore be justly asked whether the two questions refer to one and the same soul or to two the supreme and the individual souls. The latter case appears the correct one on the first glance for if it is one and the ame soul the second question seems useless on a closer consideration however this view must be ahandoned for one and the same whole of causes and effect is possessed of soul merely by one soul. The difference between the present and the preceding questions is this that in the latter the soul is described as far as it has existence and is independent of the body while in the former the particular knowledge in connection with the renunciation of the world is described by which the liberation from that bondage takes place -- S
- (2) Knuw the soul that is to say their own soul as the suprome Brahman
- (3) The desire of being united with a wife for the purpose of obtaining a son by whom they are able to conduct the world —S

- (4) Wealth is two fold, human, and divine wealth Human wealth supplies the means to perform rites, by these rites, if unaccompanied with knowledge the world of the forefathers is gained. Divine wealth is knowledge, by rites, connected therewith, the world of the gods is gained, and the same also by mere knowledge. From this knowledge also an elevation is necessary to obtain perfect liberation from the world, for in reality that knowledge is ignorance. S'
- (5) Wisdom, Pandityam, the knowledge of Brahman, strength, such as results from the knowledge of Brahman
- (6) The thinker, Muni, explained by S' mananat Muni, that is a Yogi
- (7) Not thinking and thinking, thus I have translated literally, different from S's explanation, who explains "Amaunam," the knowledge of soul and the removing a belief of non-soul, and "Maunam" the ultimate effect from removing a belief in the non-soul
- (8) The sense is, for him who has acquired the true knowledge of Brahman every work is indifferent, he is above work

SIXTH BRAHMANA

1. अथ हैनं गार्गी वाचक्कवी पप्रच्छ याज्ञवरूक्वेति होवाच यदिहरू सर्वमण्स्वोत च प्रोत च किस्मन्नु खल्वाप ओताश्च प्रोताश्चेति वायौ गार्गीति किस्मन्नु खल्व वायुरोतश्च प्रोतश्चेत्यन्तिरक्षलोकेषु गार्गीति किस्मन्नु खल्वन्तिरक्षलोका ओताश्च प्रोताश्चेति गन्धर्वलोकेषु गार्गीति किस्मन्नु कलु गन्धर्वलोका ओताश्च प्रोताश्चेत्यादित्यलोकेषु गार्गीति किस्मन्नु खल्वादित्यलोका ओताश्च प्रोताश्चेति चन्द्रलोकेषु गार्गीति किस्मन्नु खल्वादित्यलोका ओताश्च प्रोताश्चेति चन्द्रलोकेषु गार्गीति किस्मन्नु खल्वादित्यलोका ओताश्च

प्रागिधी वसप्रशेनेषु गार्गाति किनातु सारु नसप्रशेका जाताथ प्राताथित दवशकेषु गार्गाति किनातु सारु नसप्रशेका जाताथ प्राताथिति दवशकेषु गार्गाति किनातु सार्वाद्यक्तः। अवाध्य प्राताथिति प्राताथिति प्राताथिति किनातु सार्गाति किनातु प्राताथिति प्राताथिति प्राताथिति प्राताथिति प्राताथिति प्राताथिति प्राताथिति स्रताश्च गार्गाति किनातु सार्ग् प्राताथिति स्रताशि स्राताथिति स्रताशि सार्गि प्राताथिति स्रताशि स्रताथिति स्रताथ

Then usked (1) him Gargi the daughter of Vacakav - Yajaavalkya said she 'all this (earth) is woven and rewoven on the waters (2) upon what then are the waters woven and rewoven? (He replied) - On the wind (3) O Garki On what then is woven and rewoven the wind? On the worlds of the atmosphere (4) Gargi On what then are woven and rewoven the worlds of the atmosphere? On the worlds of the Gundharvas O Gargi On what then are woven and rewoven the worlds of the Guadharyas? "On the worlds of Aditya O Gargi 'On what then are weven and reweven the worlds of Aditya? On the worlds of the moon O Garki On what then are woven and rewoven the worlds of the moon? On the worlds of the stars, O Garki On what then are the worlds of the stars woven and rewoven? On the worlds of the gods O Gargi On what then are woven and rewoven the worlds of the gods? On the worlds of Indra O Gargi On what then are woven and lewoven the worlds of Indra?" "On the worlds of Prajāpati, O Gārgi" "On what then are woven and rewoven the worlds of Prajāpati?" "On the worlds of Biahman, O Gārgi," "On what then are woven and rewoven the worlds of Brahman?" "Gārgi," said he, "do not ask an improper question (5), in order that thy head may not drop down Thou askest the deity which is not to be questioned. Do not question, O Gārgi Thence Gārgi, the daughter of Vacaknu, became silent"

- (1) In the last two Brāhmanas it has been declared, that the soul is within all, the next three Brahmanas are to give a more exact definition of this \bar{A} G
- (2) This is the case, because the earth everywhere from without and within is pervaded by the water, otherwise it would be scattered everywhere like a handful of pounded rice. Here applies this argument, all that is an effect that is finite and gross, is pervaded by something, which is a cause which is infinite and subtle, and this commences from the five elements up to the soul. S'
- (3) Instead of "on the wind," the answer "on the fire" should be here expected, but says S', the fire has no existence of its own, independent of water and earth, and is therefore not mentioned separately
- (4) The worlds of the atmosphere are composed of the five elements S'
- (5) Do not ask an improper question, because it cannot be decided by argument, but only by the Sastra S

SEVENTH BRAHMANA

1. अथ हैनमुद्दालक आरुणि: पप्रच्छ याज्ञवल्क्येति होवाच मद्रेज्ववसाम पत्रज्ञलस्य काप्यरय गृहेपु यज्ञमवीयानास्तस्या- सीक्षाया मन्ध्यमृहीता तमप्रच्याम कोऽमीति सोऽन्नवीत्कान्य आग्नाग इति साऽन्नवीत्पत्थम् लाप्य यानिकाण्य वरन सु त्य काप्य तत्थम् येनाय च लाक पर्थ लाक सर्वाण च मृतानि सङ्ग्यानि मवन्त्रीति सोऽन्न्योत्पत्थयः काप्य वानिकाण्य मृतानि सङ्ग्यानि मवन्त्रीति सोऽन्न्योत्पत्थयः काप्य गाहिकाण्य वर्षे काप्य तपन्त्रवामिण य इम च लोक पर च लाक्य साणि च भृतानि योऽन्त्या यमयशाति सोऽन्यीत्पत्ययः काप्य गाहिकाण्य यो वै तत्काप्य स्वाप्य वान्त्रवामिणमिति स नक्षित्रत्य लाक्ष्य यो वै तत्काप्य सूत्रवित्त लाक्ष्य यो वै तत्काप्य सूत्रवित्त सोऽन्योत्पत्ययः काप्य वाक्षित्रत्व विवत्काप्य सूत्रवित्त लाक्ष्य स्व विवत्काप्य सूत्रवित्त लाक्ष्य स्व विवत्काप्य सूत्रवित्त लाक्ष्य स्व विवत्व यानवल्यय सूत्रविद्वा स्व वानवित्व लाक्ष्य स्व विवत्यत्वीति वेद वा लाक गौतम तत्स्य त चान्त्रवामिणमिति यो वा इदं किष्ट्रस्त्रवादेदवेदिति यगा वेद्य तमा मृहीति ।

Then asked Uddalaka the son of Aruna,—Yajnavalkya, said he in the country of the Madras we (1) abode in the house of Patancala of the family of Kapi, for the sake of studying the science of offering. His wife was possessed by a Gandharva We asked him (the Gandharva). Who art thou? Ho said 'Kabandha the son Atharvana. He said to Patancala of the family of Kapi and to (us) priests, O Kapya knowest thou that Throad hy which this world and the other world and all beings (2) are bound together? Patancala, of the family of Kapi, said—'I do not know it O Venerable. He said to Patancala of the family of Kapi, and to (us) priests (3) 'Knowest thou

O Kapya, that Inner Ruler who within rules this world and the other world, and all beings?' Patancala, of the family, of Kapi, said, 'I do not know (this), O Venerable' He said to Patañcala, of the family of Kapı and to (us) priests, 'O Kāpya, whoever knows the Thread and the Inner Ruler, knows Brahman, knows the worlds, knows the gods, knows the Vedas, knows the elements (4), knows the soul, knows all' (Then the Gandharva) said (all about all Thread and the Inner Ruler) to them Therefore do I know this If thou, O Yajñavalkya, ignorant of the Thread and the Inner Ruler, hast taken away the Brahma-cows, (5) thy head will certainly drop down " "I know verily, Gautama, the Thread and the Inner Ruler" "Any one may say this, I know, I know, but tell the manner in which thou knowest"

- (1) Patancala's pupils
- (2) From Brahman downwards to manimate matter S'.
 - (3) Patancala's pupils
 - (4) Or the beings
- (5) The cows destined for the best knower of Brahman
 - 2. स होवाच वायुर्वे गौतम तत्सूत्रं वायुना वै गौतम सूत्रेणायं च लोकः परश्च लोकः सर्वाणि च भूतानि सदृष्धानि भवित्ता तस्माद्वे गौतम पुरुष प्रेतमाहुर्व्यस्त्र<सिषतास्याङ्गानीति वायुना हि गौतम सूत्रेण सदृष्धानि भवन्तीत्येवमेवैतद्याज्ञवल्क्यान्तर्यानि

He said — The wind, O Guitima is the Thread, by the wind as by a thread are this world the other world all homes bound together, O Gautama. Therefore, O Gautama, at is said of a dead main, that his members are relaxed for by the wind. O Gautama, is by a thread they are bound together. This is so O Y 430ay alky a (now) explain the liner Ruler.

प पृथित्वं तिष्यु पृथ्वित्रा अन्तरा प पृथिती । पर यन्या पृथिती
 तम प पृथितितनाम प्रतरस्य त आस्तान्त्रपान्यप्र ।

He who dwelling in the earth is within the earth whom the earth does not know (1) whose body is the earth who within rules the earth. I this soul the linner Ruler immortal.

- (1) The delty of the earth
- ी याऽन्तु तिहत्र×पाऽन्तम याापो त मिद्रवस्थाप त्रामि याऽपान्तम यम्म मम्त्र आस्तान्तयास्यमृतः ।

He who dwelling in the waters is within the waters whom the waters do not know whose body are the waters who within rules the waters is thy soul, the Inner Ruler immortal

- माउन्नी तिष्ठजेतेरन्तरी यद्भिन चद् यस्याति नरीर यादितमन्तरी यस्य पय त आर सन्त्रयास्यम् । 1
- ' Ho who dwelling in the fire is within the fire whom the fire does not know whose body is the fire who within rules the fire is thy soul the Inner Ruler immortal

- 6. योऽन्तिरक्षे तिष्ठन्नन्तिरक्षादन्तरो यमन्तिरिक्ष न वेद यस्यान्तिरिक्षद्र शरीरं योऽन्तिरिक्षमन्तरो यमयत्येष त आत्मान्तर्याम्यमृत: ।
- "He who dwelling in the atmosphere is within the atmosphere, whom the atmosphere does not know, whose body is the atmosphere, who within rules the atmosphere, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal
 - 7 यो वाचौ तिष्ठन्वायोरन्तरो य वायुर्न वेद यस्य वायुः शरीर यो वायुभन्तरो यमयत्येष त आत्मान्तर्याम्यभतः ।
- "He who dwelling in the wind is within the wind, whom the wind does not know, whose body is the wind, who within rules the wind, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal
 - 8. यो दिवि तिष्ठन्दिवोऽन्तरो यं द्यौर्न वेद यस्य द्यौः शरीर यो दिवमन्तरो यमयत्येष त आत्मान्तर्याम्यमृतः।
- "He who dwelling in the heavens is within the heavens, whom the heavens do not know, whose body are the heavens, who from within rules the heavens, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal."
 - 9. य आदित्ये तिष्ठन्नादित्यादन्तरो यमादित्यो न वेद यस्यादित्यः शरीरं य आदित्यमन्तरो यमयत्येष त आत्मान्तर्याम्यमृतः।

He who dwelling in the sun, is within the sun, whom the sun does not know, whose body is the sun, who from within rules the sun, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal

10 यो दिमु तिष्टिन्द्ग्म्योऽन्तरो य दिज्ञा न निदुषम्य निज्ञ असीर या दिनाऽन्तरो यमयत्येष त आत्मान्तवाम्यसत ।

Ho who dwelling in the quarters is within the quarters whom the quarters do not know whose body are the quarters, who from within rules the quarters, is thy soul the Inner Ruler immortal

11 यक्षकताम्के तिष्ठरक्षकताम्बाग्नतस्य चन्द्रतामक न चन्न्यस्य चन्द्रतास्करः नसस्य यक्षन्त्रतास्क्रमन्तरः यस्त्रत्येषः त आत्मन्त्रयास्यमतः।

He who dwelling in the meen and stars is within the moon and stars whom the meon and stars de not knew, whose body are the meon and stars who from within rules the moon and stars is thy soul the Inner Ruler immertal

12 य आकाशे तिस्त्राकाशास्त्रत्तरा यमाका हो न वेद यस्याकाश शरीर य आकाशमन्तरा यमात्वेय त आत्मान्तयाम्यमृत ।

Ho who dwelling in the ether is within the other, whom the ether does not know whose body is the other, who from within rules the other, is thy soul the Inner Ruler immortal

13 अल्लमित तिष्ठ<स्तमसाअन्तरा य तमा न वद यस्य तम शरार यसमोऽन्तरा यमअत्येष त आरमान्तआम्यमृत ।

Ho who dwolling in the darkness is within the darkness whom the darkness does not know, whose

body is the darkness, who from within rules the darkness, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal

14 यस्तेजिस तिष्ट्ररस्तेजसोऽन्तरो य तेजो न वेद यस्य तेजः शरीरं यस्तेजोन्तरो यमयत्येष त आत्मान्तर्याम्यमृत इसिंदैवतमथाधि- भूतम् ।

He who dwelling in the light, is within the light, whom the light does not know, whose body is the light, who from within rules the light is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal

This is (his) relation to the gods. Next of (his) relation to the elements

15. य. सर्वेषु भूतेषु तिष्ठन् सर्वेभ्यो भूतेभ्योऽन्तरा य< सर्वाणि भूतानि न विदुर्थस्य सर्वाणि भूतानि जरीर य सर्वाणि भूतान्यन्तरो थभयत्येष त आत्मान्तर्याम्यमृत इत्यिधमूतम्याध्यातमम् ।

He who dwelling in all elements, is within the elements, whom the elements do not know, whose body are the elements, who from within rules the elements is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. This is (his) relation to the elements. Next of (his) relation to the soul.

16 यः प्राणे तिष्ठन्प्राणादन्तरा य प्राणो न वेद यस्य प्राणः अर्धार यः प्राणमन्तरा यमयत्थेप त आत्मान्तर्याम्यमृतः।

He who dwelling in the vital air, (1) is within the vital air, whom the vital air does not know, whose body is the vital air, who from within rules the vital air, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal

- (1) In smell accompanied by the air of respiration-5
- 17 या वाणि तिरामाधाऽस्तान य नामु न या यस्य वार शता या

He who dwelling in peech i within speech whom speech does not know, whose body is speech who from within rules speech is the soul the Inner Ruler importal

15 यक्षपुषि किल्क्षपुरोडन्सम् य प्राप्त वर यस्य प्राप्त वर्षाः प्राप्त ।

He who dwelling in the eye is within the eye whom the eye does not know who-e body is the eye who from within rules the eye is thy soul the Inner Ruler immortal.

19 य श्रीते जिल्लाचा न्तरा यः भात्र । यह यस्य श्रातः गरीर य श्रीत्रान्त । यस्य प्रथा आ गाल्यपास्त्रतः ।

He who dwelling in the car is within the eir whom the ear does not know who e body is the cir who from within rules the eir is thy soul the Inner Ruler immortal

20 या मर्जात तिष्टनमानाजनाम य मार्गात थ यय मार्गाया मनाजन्तम य अर्थित ते आत्रान्तवास्यकाः।

Ho who dwelling in the mind is within the mind whom the mind does not know who e body is tho mind who from within rules the mind is thy soul the Inner Ruler immortal 21 यस्त्विच तिष्ठ स्त्वचोऽन्तरो यं त्वड् न वेद यस्य त्वक् ज्ञारीर यस्त्वचभन्तरो यमयत्येष त आत्मान्तर्यास्यमृत: |

He who dwelling in the skin, is within the skin, whom the skin does not know, whose body is the skin, who from within rules the skin, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal

22. यो विज्ञाने तिष्ठन्विज्ञानादन्तरो य विज्ञानं न वेद यस्य विज्ञानः । शरीरं यो विज्ञानमन्तरो यमयत्येष त आत्मान्तर्याम्यमृतः ।

He who dwelling in knowledge, is within knowledge, whom knowledge does not know, whose body is knowledge, who from within rules knowledge, is thy soul the Inner Ruler, immortal

23 यो रेतिस तिष्ठन् रेतसोऽन्तरो यप्रेतो न वेद यस्य रेतः शरीर यो रेतोऽन्तरो यमयत्येष त आत्मान्तर्याम्यमृतोऽदृष्टो द्रष्टाऽश्चतः श्रोत्राऽमतो मन्ताऽविज्ञातो विज्ञाता नान्योऽतोऽस्ति द्रष्टा नान्योऽतोऽस्ति श्रोता नान्योऽतोऽस्ति मन्ता नान्योऽतोऽस्ति विज्ञातेष त आत्मान्तर्याम्यमृतोऽतोऽन्यदार्त ततो होद्दालक आरुणिरुपरराम ।

He who dwelling in the seed (1), is within the seed, whom the seed does not know, whose body is the seed, who from within rules the seed, is the soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal Unseen he sees, unheard he hears, unminded he minds, unknown he knows. There is none that sees, but he, there is none that hears, but he, there is none that knows, but he (2). He is thy soul, the Inner Ruler,

immortal Whatever is different from him, is perish able. Hence Uddalala the son of Arina became silent

- (1) In procreation —S
- (2) V₁de Br A 3 4 2 Prayna U 419 and katha U
 6 12 Mund 3 8 Taitt 2 4 Talax U 1 3

FIGHTH BRAHMANA

- 1 अप ह वाचकान्युवाच प्राह्मणा भगनता हत्ताहमिम ही प्रश्नी प्रश्न्यामि ती चेत्ने वक्ष्यति न व जातु युष्माकमिम कश्चिद्रक्षाच जेतति प्रच्छ गार्गाति ।
- Then (1) the drughter of Vacaknu said O vener able Brahmanas I wish to isk him (further) two questions. If he answers them then indeed none of you will over defeat him in argument concerning Brahman. (They replied) Ask (him), O Gargi
- (1) This Brahmana is to show the present visible Brahman as independent of any attributes —S
 - 2 सा हावाचाह वे त्वा याअवल्यय यत्रा काश्या वा वैदेहा वीअपुत्र उज्ज्य धनुर्गविज्य कु वा ही वाणवन्ती मपत्रातित्याधिनी हस्त कृत्योपारिष्ठदेवमेबाह त्वा हाम्या प्रश्नाम्यामुपोन्स्या ती मे त्रहीति पृच्छ गार्गाति ।

She said,— As the king of the Kasis or of the Videhas the offspring of heroes when he has bound the

string to the stringless bow, rises in sight with two foe-piercing arrows in his hand, so I will rise before thee with two questions. Do thou make answer to me."

"Ask, O Gārgi."

3. सा होवाच यदूर्घ्व याज्ञवल्क्य दिवो यदवाक् पृथिव्या यदन्तरा द्यावापृथिवी इमे यद्भूतं च मवच मिवण्यचेलाचक्षते किस्मियस्तदोतं च प्रोतं चेति ।

She said, "What is above the heavens, O Yājña-valkya, what is beneath the earth, what is between, and what is these two, heavens and earth, and what is called the past, the present and the future, upon what is all this woven and rewoven?"

4 स होवाच थदूर्ध्य गार्गि दिवो यदवाक् पृथिव्या यदन्तरा द्यावा-पृथिवी इमे यद्भूत च भवश्च भविष्यचेत्याचक्षत आकाहो तदोत च प्रोतं चेति ।

He said, "What is above the heavens, O Gargi, what is beneath the earth, what is between, and is these two, heavens and earth, and what is called the past, the present and the future,—all this is woven and rewoven on the ether"

5. सा होवाच नमस्तेऽस्तु याज्ञवल्क्य यो म एत व्यवोचोऽपरस्मै धारयस्वेति पृच्छ गार्गीति ।

She said, "I bow to thee, O Yājñavalkya, who hast explained this (question) to me, be prepared for the other." "Ask, O Gārgi."

- 6 सा होवाच यदूर्ध्य बाइनल्क्य दिवा यदवार् पृतिन्या य न्तरा चावापृत्रिवा इमे यदूत च भवच भविष्योत्याचक्षते कस्मिन्तरात च प्रोत चेति ।
- She said What is above the heavens, what is beneath the earth what is between, and what is those two, heavens and earth and what is called past present, and future—upon what is all this weven and reveven (1)!
- (1) The second question which is same with the first is intended firmly to establish the truth declared before -S
 - 7 म होवाच यद्भ्य गार्गि निवा यन्वार प्रिज्या यन्त्तरा वाजा-पृज्ञिया न्म यद्भत च भन्न भनित्यायाचभत आकाश एव तत्रात च प्रात चेति परिमन् स्वल्याकाश आतथ प्रातथति ।

He said — What is above the heavens O Gargi what is beneath the earth what is between and what is these two, heavens and earth and what is called past, present and future—is woven and reweven on the other. Upon what then is the other woven and reweven?

8 स होवाचितद्व तदक्षर गागि ब्राह्मणा अभिवन्न्यस्युर मनण्यक्ष्यम् दीयमजोन्तिमश्रेहमच्छानमतमोऽनाञ्चनाकाज्ञमभद्रमग्मगन्यम् चश्रुष्कमश्रोत्रमनागमनाऽतेजस्कमश्रागमसुष्मगात्रमनन्तगमनाह्य न तदक्षाति किचन न तदक्षाति कथन ।

He said — It is called by the Brahmanas (1) the indestructible one O Gargi (This) is not of a gross

body, it is not subtle (2), not long, not wide, not red (3), not viscid (4), not shadow, not darkness, not air, not ether, not adhesive (5), not taste, not smell, not eye, not ear, not speech, not mind, not light, not life, not entrance (6), not measure, not within, not without It does not consume anything, nor does any one consume it (7)

- (1) The Brahmanas are here such as know Brahman S.
 - (2) Prasna U, 4, 9. Mund., I, 5
 - (3) Like fire S.
 - (4) Like water. S
 - (5) Like lac S'
- (6) Not entrance, to whom there is no entrance, unapproachable
- (7) All these negatives are to establish the conviction, that Brahman has no attributes Vide Vaj S U. 8, Katha U., 3, 15, Mund. 1, 6
 - 9 एतस्य वा अक्षरस्य प्रशासने गार्गि सूर्याचन्द्रभसौ विधृते तिष्ठत एतस्य वा अक्षरस्य प्रशासने गार्गि चावापृथिव्यौ विधृते तिष्ठत एतस्य वा अक्षरस्य प्रशासने गार्गि निमेषा मुहूर्ता अहोरात्राण्यर्धमासा मासा ऋतवः सवत्सरा इति विधृता-स्तिष्ठन्त्येतस्य वा अक्षरस्य प्रशासने गार्गि प्राच्योऽन्या नद्यः स्यन्दन्ते श्वेतेभ्यः पर्वतेभ्यः प्रतीच्योऽन्या या या च दिशमन्वेतस्य वा अक्षरस्य प्रशासने गार्गि ददतो मनुष्याः प्रश्-सन्ति यजमान देवा दवीं पितरोऽन्वायत्ताः।
- "By the command of this indestructible (being), O Gargi, sun and moon are upheld in their places (1) by

the command of this indistructible (being) O Girst heavens and earth stay upheld in their places by the command of this indestructible (beins) O Garst, minutes hours days and nights the half months the months the seasons the veries stay upheld in their places by the command of this indistructible (being) O Garst, the eastern river flow from the snows mountains (to the eastern quarter) the western (2) to the western quart rand the other to the quarters (ordained for them). By the command of his indestructible (beins) O Garst men private the silver sods follow the sacrificer (and) fore fathers the oblition.

- (1) Kasha U G 2 Taltt U 2 5
- (') The Ganga etc 3 The Sindhu etc S
- 10 वा वा णार स मा गीतिसा चिहात पुराति वता १० उन्ते द्वान प्रवास ने प्रवास प्रवास । द्वान प्रवास ने विकास । विविध्या नार गीति । विविध्या ।

Whoever ignorant of this inde tructible (being) O Gargi in this world performs offerings adores the gods (and) prichies an territes even many thousands of years consumes in list the (fruit of his works) Whoever ignorant of this indestructible (being) O Gargi departs from this world becomes a unser (1) again whoever knowing this indestructible (being) O Gargi departs from this world is a (true) Brahmana

- (1) Stores up the effect from works, like a miser riches
 - 11 तद्वा एतदक्षरं गार्ग्यदष्ट द्र्ष्ट्रश्चत्र श्रोत्रमत मन्त्रविज्ञातं विज्ञातः नान्यदतोऽस्ति द्रष्टु नान्यदतोऽस्ति श्रोतः नान्यदतोऽस्ति भनतः नान्यदतोऽस्ति विज्ञात्रेतस्मिन् खल्वक्षरे गार्ग्याकाश ओतश्च प्रोतश्चेति ।
- "This indestructible (being), O Gargi, although unseen sees, unheard hears, unminded minds, unknown knows. There is none that sees, but he, there is none that hears, but he, there is none that minds, but he, there is none that knows, but he (1). On this indestructible (being), O Gargi, the ether is verily woven and rewoven."
 - (1) Prasna, 4, 8 Talavakāra U, 1, 4 8
 - 12. सा होवाच ब्राह्मणा भगवन्तस्तदेव बहुमन्येध्व यदस्मान्तमस्कारेण मुच्येध्व न वै जातु युष्माक्रमिमं कश्चिद्रह्मोद्यं जेतेति ततो ह वाचक्रव्यपरराम ।

She said, "O venerable Brāhmanas, highly respect this (my word) and acquit yourself towards him (Yājñavalkya) with salutation. None among you will ever become his conqueror in argument concerning Brahman". Hence the daughter of Vācaknu became silent

VINTH BRAHMANA

1 अध हैन निरुध झाकल्य पप्रच्य पति देवा याझ्यल्य नेति म हैत्येत्र निविदा प्रतिनेद यायन्ता ग्रंग्येवस्य निनियुच्यन्त त्रयक्ष त्री च झाना प्रवध त्री च सहस्रत्यामिति हावाच कृत्यव देवा यान्त्रल्येति प्रयान्त्रशात्रियोमिति हात्राच क येव दवा यान्त्रल्येति प्रदित्यामिति हात्राच क्त्यत्र देवा यान्त्रल्येति त्रय त्यामिति होत्राच कृत्यत्र दत्रा यान्त्रल्ययित द्वावित्यामिति होत्राच कृत्येत त्या याज्ञल्यनेत्यत्र इत्यामिति हावाच कृत्य ते प्रवध त्री न त्राव त्रयन त्रा च नहस्त्री ।

Then asked (1) hua Vidagdia the son of Sakala -How many gods are there O Yninivalkya? Ho (answered). This can be learnt from the Nivit (2) as many (gods) as are mentioned in the Nivit of the Vaisvadova (Sastra) (so ming are there) (112) three and three hundred and three and three thousand (3 306) (3) He said - Om 1 Hew many gods are there O Yamavalkva? Thirty three -He said - Om! How many geds are there? He said --Six He said - Om! How many hods are there O Yajaavalkya? Three He said - Om ! How many gods are there O Yajanvalkya? Two He said-Om! How many gods are there O Yajnavalkya? ' Adhyardha (4) He said - Om! Hew many gods are there O Yajaavalkya ? One He said - Om i Which are these three and three huadred and three and three thousand

- (1) After it has been stated, that the earth, the other elements, etc, by their successively more and more subtle nature are one with regard to another in the state which has been called "woven and rewoven," Brahman has been declared to be within all (Brāhma, 4-6) It has been further stated, that Brahman has the attribute of "Ruler" in the divisions of the thread which pervade the whole manifested world, for all that is manifested requires to be understood, the Ruler as its cause. The present Brāhmana undertakes now to show, how the nature of Brahman as a witness, and as present, can be comprehended by the maximum and minimum numbers of the gods.
- (2) The title of a set of Mantras, defining the number of deities S'
- (3) This is no doubt the amount of the number of the text, according to the explanation of Sankara, the number given in the Tika 3,336, is probably owing to the misapprehension of a copyist who added "trimsat" (30)
- (4) Adhyardha, which is more than half, half of two would be one, to distinguish it from the next, this term appears to have been chosen, see the explanation, given in 9
 - 2 स होवाच महिमान एवेषामेते त्रयित्र रशत्वेव देवा इति कतमे ते त्र त्रथित्र रशिद्यष्टौ वसव एकादश रहा द्वादशादित्यास्त एकत्रि रशिद्यश्चेव प्रजापतिश्च त्रयित्र रशिवित ।

He said, "This is even for their glory, there are (in reality) thirty-three gods" "Which are those thiry-three?" "Eight Vasus, eleven Rudras, twelve Adityas. These are thirty-one, besides Indra and Prajāpati There are thirty-three"

3 कतमे वसव इत्यग्निश्च पृथिवी च वायुश्चान्तिरक्ष चादित्यश्च द्यौश्च चन्द्रमाश्च नक्षत्राणि चैते वसव एतेषु हीदं सर्व× हितिभिति तस्माद्दसव इति ।

- 'Which are the Visus? The fire the earth the wind the atmosphere, the sun, the heavens the moon and the stars. These are the Vasus for upon them this all is founded this means Vasu, therefore they are called Vasus.
 - 1 कतमे रुद्रा इति दश्मे पुरुषे आणा आत्मेतादशस्ते यटा स्माच्यतीरान्यत्यादुरकामन्त्य र गेदयन्ति तद्यद्राट्यन्ति तम्मादुद्रा इति ।

Which are the Ridras? The ten organs (Prinah) in man, and the soul as the eleventh. When they leave this body after death, they weep [Fhorefore because they weep (Rodayanti) they are called Rudras.

- 5 कनम बाल्या इति द्वादश व मासा सवत्सरक्षेत आदिया एत हीद्र सम्मादाना यन्ति ते यदिद्र संयमाददाना यन्ति तस्माटादित्या इति ।
- 'Which are the Adityss? The twelve menths of the year are the Ādityas for taking all this (1) they pass Because taking all this they pass (adadana yanti), therefore they are called Ādityas
- (1) All this the age of man and the fruit derived from work -S
 - 6 कतम इन्द्र कतम प्रजापतिरिति स्तनिधुरोचेन्द्रो यज्ञ प्रजापतिरिति कतम स्तनिधनुरित्यशमिरिति कतमो यज्ञ इति पञ्च इति ।

- "Who is Indra, who is Pajāpati?" "The cloud is Indra, the sacrifice is Prajāpati" "Which is the cloud?" "The lightning" "Which is the sacrifice?" "The animals"
 - 7 कतमे षिडसिग्निश्च पृथिवी च वायुश्चान्तिरक्ष चाहिसश्च चौर्श्चते षडेते हीदर्स्सर्वर् षिडिति ।
- "Which are the six?" "The fire (Agni), the earth, the wind, the atmosphere, the sun and the heavens (1). for they are six, for this all is six"
- (1) In this number the moon and the stars are omitted
 - 8. कतमे ते त्रयो देवा इतीम एव त्रयो छोका एषु हीमे सर्वे देवा इति कतमौ तौ हौ देवावित्यन चैव प्राणश्चेति कतमोऽध्यर्ध इति योऽयं पवत इति ।
- "Which are the three gods?" "The three worlds(!), for within them all those gods are (comprehended)" "Which are the two gods?" "Food and life" "Which is the Adhyardha?" "He who purifies" (2)
- (1) Earth and fire together are here considered as one god, the atmosphere and the wind as the second, and the heavens and Aditya (the sun) as the third god A G
 - (2) The wind
 - 9 तदाहुर्यदयमेक इवैव पवतेऽय कथमध्यर्घ इति यदस्मिनिद्र सर्वमध्याभौत्तेनाध्यर्ध इति कतम एको देव इति प्राण इति स ब्रह्म तदिसाचक्षते ।

Here it is objected He who purifies is one even how then is he Adhyardha (1) Because all obtains increase in him (2) therefore is he Adhyardha Which is the one god? Life this is called Brahman this what is beyond (3)

- (1) The objection seems to be made from the literal meaning of Adhyardha which is lialf
 - (2) Adhyardhnodadhı rdhim prapnoti
 - (3) Vid 2 3, 1
 - 10 पृथि येव यस्यायतनमित्र रोंको मनोःच्यातियों वं त पुरुष निचात्सवस्थात्मन परायण स वै वेदिता स्याद्यानगल्यय वेढ वा अह त पुरुष स स्वस्यात्मन परायण यमात्म य एवायप शारीर पुरुष स एव वेदैव शाकल्य तस्य का ठेवतेयमृतमिति होवाच ।
- 'Whoover knows that spirit (Purusa) whose abode is the earth whose place (of sight) (1) is the fire whose light is the mind—as the highest locality of every soul, is in truth the one who knows. I know indeed O Yajnavalkya that spirit the highest locality of every soul of whom thou speakest. He is the spirit who abides in the body. Say O Sakalya which is the deity of the same? He said. Immortality
 - (1) Place (of sight) lokah lokayati aneneti -S
 - 11 काम एव यस्पायतन इदय राक्ता मना ज्यातियों व त पुरुष विद्यात्सवस्यात्मन परायण स व घिन्ता, स्यादाञ्च । त्वस्य व प्रवास वा अह त पुरुष सबस्थात्मन परायण यमात्य य एवाय

काममय: पुरुषः स एष वदैव जाकल्य तस्य का देवतेति स्त्रिय इति होवाच ।

"Whoever knows that spirit, whose abode is desire, whose place (of sight) is the heart (1), whose light is the mind, as the highest locality of every soul, is in truth one who knows" "I know indeed, O Yājñavalkya, that spirit, the highest locality of every soul, of whom thou speakest He is the spirit, whose nature is desire." "Say, O Sākalya, which is the deity of the same?" He said, "Women"

- (1) The heart, intellect S
- 12. रूपाण्येव यस्यायतन चक्षुलोंको मनो ज्योतियों वै त पुरुष विद्यात्सर्वस्थातमनः पराथण्य स वे वेदिता स्थाद्याज्ञवल्क्य वेद वा अह त पुरुष्य सर्वस्थात्मनः परायण यमात्थ य एवासावादित्ये पुरुषः स एष वदैव ज्ञाकल्य तस्य का देवतेति सत्यमिति होवाच।

"Whoever knows that spirit whose abode are colours, whose place (of sight) is the eye, whose light is the mind, as the highest locality of every soul, is in truth one who knows" "I know indeed, O Yājñavalkya, that spirit, the highest locality of the whole soul, of whom thou speakest. He is the spirit in the sun" "Say, O Sākalya, which is the deity of the same?" He said, "Truth"

13. आकाश एव यस्थायतन श्रीत्र लोको मनो ज्योतियों वे त पुरुप विद्यात्सर्वस्थातमनः परायण स वे वेदिता स्थाद्याज्ञवल्क्य वेद वा अह त पुरप< सबस्यातमन परायण यमातः य एवाय< श्रीत प्रातिश्रुत्क पुरप स एप वदेव ज्ञाकल्य तस्य का देवतेति दिज्ञ इति होवाच ।

Whoever knows that spirit whose abode is the ethor, whose place (of sight) is the err, whose light is the mind,—as the locality of the whole soul is in truth one who knows—I know O Yajnavalkya the spirit the locality of the whole soul of whom the speakest He is the spirit who abides in the err to whom all herring is subject—Say O Sikalya which is the deity of the same? He said,— The quarters

14 तम एव यस्यायतन् हृद्य टोका मना ज्यातियों वे त पुरुष विद्यात्सर्थस्यात्मन प्रायणार् स व वेदिता स्थानाइनक्य वद वा बह त पुरुष स एप वर्डव ज्ञाकल्य तस्य का देवतेति एत्युरिति हावाच।

Whoover knows that spirit whose abode is darkness, whose place (of sight) is the heart whose light is the mind—as the highest locality of the whole soul is in truth one who knows. I know indeed O Yanavilkyn the spirit who is the highest locality of the whole soul of whom thou speakest. He is the spirit whose nature is slindow. Say O Sakalya which is the deity of the same? He said—' Death

15 रूपाण्येव यस्यायतन चक्षुळोंको मनो ज्यातियों वे त पुरुष विद्यात्सवस्थात्मन परायण< स वे विदिता म्याद्याञ्चवल्वय वेद</p> रूपेष्विति चक्षुषा हि रूपाणि पश्यति कस्मिन् रूपाणि प्रतिष्ठितानीति हृदय इति होवाच हृद्येन हि रूपाणि जानाति हृदये ह्येव रूपाणि प्रतिष्ठितानि भवन्तीत्येवमेवैतयाज्ञवल्क्य ।

Which deity art thou in the eastern quarter?" "The sun" "Where is the sun located?" "In the eye" "Where has the eye its locality?" "In colours, for by the eye colours are seen." "Where is the locality of colours?" He said, "In the heart, for colours are produced by the heart, the heart therefore is the locality of colour" "Thus is it, Yājñavalkya

21 िक्षदेवतोऽस्या दक्षिणाया दिश्यसीति यभदेवत इति स यमः किर्मन्प्रतिष्ठित इति यज्ञ इति किर्मन्तु यज्ञः प्रतिष्ठित इति दक्षिणायामिति किरमन्तु दक्षिणा प्रतिष्ठितेति श्रद्धायामिति यदा ह्येव श्रद्धते उथ दक्षिणा ददाति श्रद्धायाभ ह्येव दक्षिणा प्रतिष्ठितेति श्रद्धायाभ ह्येव दक्षिणा प्रतिष्ठितेति किरमन्तु श्रद्धा प्रतिष्ठितेति हृदय इति होवाच हृदयेन हि श्रद्धा जानाति हृदये ह्येव श्रद्धा प्रतिष्ठिता मवतीत्येवमेवत्याज्ञवलक्य ।

Which deity art thou in the southern quarter?" "The deity of Yama" "Where is the locality of Yama?" "In sacrifice" "Where is the locality of the sacrifice?" "In the bestowal of gifts" (1) "Where is the bestowing of gifts?" "In faith, for if a man have faith, he gives gifts, faith therefore is the locality of bestowal of gifts" "Where is the locality of faith?" "In the heart," said he, "for by the heart he knows faith, the heart therefore is the locality of faith" "Thus is it, O Yājāavalkya.

- (i) To the officiating priests from whom the sacrificer purchases the sacrifice -- 5
 - 22 निद्यताजन्या प्रांच्यां दिस्पतित यरणद्यत रीत च सरण किन्नितिय द्यव्यित किन्नित्याप प्रीर्धिता रीत्रितिति। किनु रेत प्रीर्धितिति दृष्य इति तल्वारिय प्रतिकत्य चात्राबुद्दरयारिय सुन्ना दृष्यारिय शिक्षर रीति रूप रीत्र रेत प्रतितित सर्वति तस्मी सार्यन्यस्य ।

Which deity art thou in the western quarter?"
The deity of Vuruna" 'Where is the locality of Varuna? In the waters. Where is the locality of the waters? In the semen 'Where is the locality of the scinen? In the heart for it is said of a sen who re embles (his father) he is dropped from this) heart, as it were he is made of this) heart as it were the heart therefore is the locality of semen 'Thus is it O Yajhavalkya

23 किन्यतोऽभ्यामुनाज्या नित्यमीति सामन्यत इति म माम किन्निन्नितिष्टि इति दीनापामिति किन्नितु दीना प्रतिनितिति सन्य इति तत्यात्रिष दीति रामाष्ट्र सत्य यदि। सत्य तेया दोना प्रतिष्टिति किन्नितु सत्य प्रतिनितिति इदय तति होताच इ येन हि जत्य जानाति इत्ये तेत सत्य प्रतिष्टित भवतीरवेयमेथैतवाराज्यस्य ।

Which doity art thou in the northern quarter?
The deity of Soma Where is the locality of
Soma? In the initiatory rite (1) Where is the
locality of the initiatory rite? In truth for we say

concerning a person, who has performed the initiatory rite, ~speak the truth, the heart therefore is the locality of the initiatory rite" "Where is the locality of truth?" "In the heart," said he, "for by the heart man knows truth, the heart therefore is the locality of truth" "Thus is it, O Yājñavalkya

- (1) A rite previous to the sacrifice This may be also rendered, 'In the initiatory Mantra," the Mantra which the sacrificer receives previous to the sacrifice
 - 24. किदेवतोऽन्या ध्रुवाया दिश्यसीत्यभिदेवत इति सोऽग्निः कस्मिन्प्रतिष्ठित इति वाचीति कस्मिन्नु वाक् प्रतिष्ठितेति हृदय इति कस्मिन्नु हृदय प्रतिष्ठितमिति ।

Which deity art thou in the polar quarter?" (1) "The deity of Agni" "Where is the locality of Agni?" "In speech" "Where is the locality of speech?" "In the heart" "Where is the locality of the heart?"

- (1) The quarter which is above those who dwell on the mountain Meru —-S'
 - 25 अहिल्रिकेति होवाच याज्ञवल्भयो यत्रैतदन्यत्रास्मन्मन्यासै यद्ध्येत-दन्यत्रास्मत्स्याच्छ्वानो वैनदधुर्वया<िस वैनद्विमशीरित्रति ।

Yājñavalkya said, "O Ahallıka (1), if thou believest at any time that the heart is in any other place than in our (body), if the same is in any other place than in our (body), then why do the dogs not eat it, or the birds not tear it?"

(1) Ahainka, ahani liyate, a being who disappears in the day time, a ghost S'

26 किस्मनु त्य चात्मा च प्रतिष्टितो स् ३ इति प्राण इति किस्मनु प्राण प्रतिष्टित इत्यवान इति किस्मन्यपान प्रतिष्टित इति व्यान इति किस्मनु त्यान प्रतिष्टित इति व्यान इति किस्मनु त्यान प्रतिष्टित इति विस्मनुदान प्रतिष्टित इति समान इति स एव तिनित्यासागृद्यो निह गृत्यतेऽतियो निह गृत्यतेऽति सान इति स एव तिनित्यत्यति न त्याते न विश्वत्यतान्याम्यान्याम्यान्या व्याप्ति । त्याप्ति अधी पुरापा स वन्तान्युर्व । तिर त प्रत्युत्याय्यकामत त्योपनिषय पुरुष पृत्यतामि त चेन्ते न निवस्यति मृत्या त विवित्यतिति त र इ न मेन झावल्यत्यत्य इ मृत्या विविद्यातिषि हास्य परिमोषिणाऽन्धीत्यव नदुर-यन्यन्यमाना ।

' Where art then and where is self (1) located? In the vital air that goes forwards Where is the location of the vital air that goes forwards? In the descending air Where is the location of the descending air? In the circulating air Where is the location of the circulating air? the ascending air Where is the location of the ascending air? "In the equalising air This soul which is norther this nor that nor aught else is intangible for it is not to be laid held of it is not to be dissipated for it cannot be dissipated it is without contact for it does not come into contact it is not limited it is not subject to pain ner to destruction -Thoro are eight abodes eight places (of sight) eight doities and eight Purusas Wheever understands these Purusas in their division (2) and again in their union (3) has overcome (the world) I ask thee about the Purusas in the Upanisads And thou explain not him to me, thy head will fall off." S'ākalya knew him not so his head fell off Moreover robbers took away his bones, mistaking them for something else (4).

- (1) Atma means here the body S'.
- (2) In their eight-fold division S
- (3) As they are united in the heart S'
- (4) While his body was being carried for the performance of the funeral rites by his disciples to his house S'
 - 27. अथ होवाच ब्राह्मणा भगवन्तो यो वः कामयते स मा पृच्छतु सर्वे वा मा पृच्छत यो वः कामयते तं वः पृच्छामि सर्वीन्वा वः पृच्छामीति ते ह ब्राह्मणा न दधृषुः ।

Then he said, "O venerable Brāhmanas, let any one among you who wishes it, question me, or do you so all together Or I will question any one among you who desires it, or I will question you all together" The Brāhmanas dared not

28, तान् हैतै: श्लोकै: पप्रच्छ ॥ यथा वृक्षो वनस्पतिस्तयेव पुरुषोऽमुषा ॥ तस्य लोमानि पर्णानि त्वगस्योत्पाटिका बहि: ॥ त्वच एवास्य रुधिरं प्रस्थिन्द त्वच उत्पटः ॥ तस्मात्तदा तृण्णात्प्रैति रसो वृक्षादिवाहतात् ॥ मार्सान्यस्य शकराणि किनाटर स्नाव तिस्थरम् ॥ अस्थीन्यन्तरतो दारूणि मज्जा मज्जोपमा कृता ॥ यद्वक्षो वृक्णो रोहति मूलान्नवतरः पुनः ॥ मर्त्यः स्विन्गृत्युना वृक्णः कस्मान्मूलात्प्ररोहति ॥ रेतस इति मावोचत जीवतस्तत्प्रजायते ॥ धानारुह इव वै वृक्षोऽज्ञसा प्रेल संभवः ॥ यत्समूलमावृहेयुवृक्ष न पुनरामवेत् ॥ मर्त्यः स्विन्मृत्युना वृक्णः

कस्मान्म््रात्प्ररोहिति ॥ जात एव न जायते कोन्चेय जनवत्पुन ॥ विद्यानमानन्द ब्रह्म रातिरातु परायण तिष्टमानस्य तिहद इति ।

He questioned them by these Slokes - ' As the tree is identical with the lord of the forest (1) so is the Purpsy identical with truth. His hair are the leaves his skin the external bark. From his skin blood flows as san from the bark therefore if he he burt blood proceeds from him as sup from a wounded tree. The parts of flesh are the layers (2) (of weed) the inner bark is firm like the tendons. The bones are the inner layers of wood the pith is made like the marrow a tree be cut down it springs up anew from the root From what root rises mertal (man) if out down by death? Do not say from the somen because this is produced from the living The tree springs (also) from seed after it has died away it is visibly produced (again from seed) If the tree is destroyed together with its roots (3) it does not spring up afresh. If then mertal (man) be cut down by death from what root shall be spring up? He who has (onco) been born cannot be born (any more) Who (then) produces him afterwards again? (4)

It is Brahman who is knowledge and bliss the highest aim of the giver of wealth (5) (as he is) of that one who abideth (in Ilim) and knoweth him (6)

(1) Vanaspati lord of the forest is another name for tree

- (2) This evidently refers to the outer woody layer or alburnum
 - (3) Or with its seed S'.
- (4) Here is the end of the nairative. The Brahmanas could not answer the question of Yajñavalkya with reference to the last cause of the world, and thereby the superiority of his knowledge was established. In the next sentence the answer is given by Sruti itself. S'
- (5) Of him who performs ceremonies, of the sacrificer S'
- (6) Of him, who no longer practising rites knows Brahman in his true nature S'

FOURTH CHAPTER

I IRST BRAHMANA

- जनको ह वदह शासाचकेऽत्र ह याज्ञयल्यय आवत्राज तद होवाच याज्ञयल्यय किम्प्रमापारी प्रकृतिच्छ्ययवलानीत्सुमयमेव समा डिति होवाच ।
- Janaka (1) the king of the Videhas sat (en his throne) Then came Yajaavalkya Hesaid Why hast thoucome O Yajaavalkya? Is it socking cattle or subtile (questions)? Even both O king of kings said he
- (1) The connection of the two next Brahmanas with the preceding chapter is thus explained by Sankars -It has been stated that the eight Purusas (Fide 3 9 10-17) are separated from each other and again united in the heart that this again separates into five according to the division of the quarters (1 c 18-24) again that they the heart and the bedy in their mutual dependence are one in the Sutra or the soul of the world Samana by name who o nature is present in the five vital airs (e 1 26) and lastly that the Purusa of the Upanisad surpasses the soul of the world or the Sutra and is to be defined by negation and at the same time (pe itively) as the present Brahman and the substantial cause of all in the words. Knowledge and bliss (e 1 28) In the next two Brahmanas it will be ex plained in what manner this Brahman may again be comprehended by the desties of speech etc Anandagira

expresses the connection briefly thus In the former chapter the nature of Brahman, as existence, knowledge and bliss, has been defined after the manner of wrangling dispute, in the present it is explained in the form of argument

2. यत्ते कश्चिद्रव्रवीत्तच्छूणवामेस्यव्रवीन्मे जित्वा शैलिनिर्वाग्वे ब्रह्मेति यथा मातृमान्पितृमानाचार्यवान्त्र्यात्तथा तच्छेलिनिर्व्ववीद्वाग्वे ब्रह्मेस्यवदतो हि किप स्यादिस्यव्यवीत्तु ते तस्यायत्न प्रतिष्ठा न मेऽव्रवीदित्येकपाद्वा एतत्सम्राडिति स वै नो ब्रूहि याज्ञवल्क्य । वागेवायत्नमाकाञ्चाः प्रतिष्ठा प्रज्ञेत्येनदुपासीत का प्रज्ञता याज्ञवल्क्य वागेव सम्राडिति होवाच वाचा वे सम्राड्वन्धुः प्रज्ञायत अवेदो यज्ञेवेदः सामवेदोऽथर्वाङ्गरस इतिहासः पुराणं विद्या उपनिषदः श्लोकाः सूत्राण्यनुव्याख्यानानि व्याख्यानानीष्ठप्रहुतमाञ्चित पायितमय च लोकः परश्च लोकः सर्वाणि च भूतानि वाचैव सम्राट् प्रज्ञायन्ते वाग्वे सम्राट् परमं ब्रह्म ननं वाग्जहाति सर्वाण्येन भूतान्यिभक्षरन्ति देवो भूत्वा देवानप्येति य एव विद्वानेतदुपास्ते हस्त्युषभप् सहस्र ददामीति होवाच जनको वैदेहः स होवाच याज्ञवल्क्यः पिता मेऽमन्यत नाननिज्ञाच्य हरेतेति ।

"Let us hear, what any has taught thee" "Jitvā, the son of Silina taught me, speech is Brahman" "As one having an excellent mother, father and teacher, the son of Silina taught, speech is Brahman for of what use is a person who cannot speak? He, no doubt, taught thee his (Brahman's) place and site" (1) "He did not teach me that Brahman is only one-footed (2), O king of kings" "Then, O Yājāavalkya, do thou give us the explanation" "Verily, speech (3) is the place, the

other, the site the knowledge! In this view (4) let one meditate on him (Brahman) 'What knowledge (5) O Yamavalkya? He said - Verily speech O king of kings By speech O king of kings in friend is inide known (so are made known) the Rg Veda, the Ynjur Veda the Sama Veda the Atharvas and Angarasa the narratives the doctrines of creation, the science the Upanisads the memorial verses the uphorisms the explanation of tenets the explanation of Mantras (6) the fruits of sacrifices of offerings of bestowing food of bestowing drink this world and the other world and all beings By speech O king of kings is Brahman known speech, O king of kings is the supreme Brahman Speech does not desert him who with this knowledge meditates on that (Brahman) all beings approach him (7) having become a god verily he goes to the gods! I will give thee a thousand cows big as elephants said Janaka the king of the Videhas Yannavalkya said - My father admonished me-where one does not instruct one should not take (gifts)

(1) According to S place (ayatana) means body and site (Pratistha) the continual existence in the past pre out and future times, where body sooms to denote the tran ient and site the permanent nature of Brahman with regard to time

⁽²⁾ This passage is rather obscure and admits of several explanations. The literal translation may be two fold. First he did not tell me so. This Brahman is one footed. O king of kings. And the apparent meaning is. Thou hast determined Brahman by two attributes as having place and site. But this is not correct for being single in 1840.

all respects, Brahman can have only one attribute This explanation, however, does not agree with the next passage, where speech, representing Biahman, has four attributes, viz, place, ether, site, and knowledge, unless the last attribute (knowledge) be considered as the true one which defines the nature of Brahman Or secondly, - 'He did not tell me, that this (Brahman) is only one-footed," as thou supposest it to be, but rather four-footed Sankara adopts neither of these interpretations, but explains the passage as follows, "The other, (Yajñavalkya) said, 'He did not tell me (so)' The other (the king) said, 'If this is the case, then is Brahman one-footed,' '(The idea of Brahman is without effect, if he be meditated upon as having only three feet, O king of kings)' 'If so, then explain the matter to me according to thy knowledge, O Yajñavalkya' S's explanation agrees in sense, although not in detail, with the second version, above given"

- (3) Speech, the speech of Brahman, represented by the deity of speech S
- (4) By this knowledge, being the fourth foot of Brahman of the Upanisad S'
- (5) This question means, is it knowledge itself, or the cause of knowledge. Is it distinguished from Brahman, as his place and foundation are, or is it not distinguished from him? And the answer implies, that it is not distinguished S'
- (6) Vide p 179, where the above terms have been explained
 - (7) With offerings and presents
 - 3. यदेव ते कश्चिदब्रवीत्तच्छृणवामेस्यब्रवीन्म उदद्गः शील्वायनः प्राणा वे ब्रह्मेति यथा मातृमान्पितृमानाचार्यवान्ब्र्यात्तथा तच्छील्वायनोऽब्रवीत्प्राणो वे ब्रह्मेत्यप्राणतो हि किर्स्स्यादिस्य ब्रवीत्तु ते तस्यायतन प्रतिष्ठा न मेऽब्रवीदित्येकपाद्वा एतन्सम्राहिति स वे नो ब्र्हि याज्ञवल्क्य प्राण एवायतनमाकाञः

प्रतिष्टा प्रियमित्येनदुपासीत का प्रियता याउवस्वय प्राण एव सम्राडिति हानाच प्राणम्य व सम्राट् कामायायाज्य याजय स्वप्रतिगृशस्य प्रतिगृह्णस्यपि तत्र ववागङ्क मनति या दिशमित प्राणस्यन सम्राट् कामाय प्राणा न सम्राट् परम नहा नेन प्राणो चहाति सन्यप्येन भूतान्यमिश्चरन्ति देवा भृत्वा दवानग्येति य ण्व विगनेतदुपास्ते हस्तृप्रभस्सहस्त न्यामीति हानाच जनको वेदेह स हानाच याजनस्वय पिता मेडमन्यत नाननुशिष्य हरेतति ।

Let us hear what another has taught thee Udanka the son of Sulba told me life is Brahman As one having an excellent mother father and teacher the son of Sulba taught life is Brahman for of what use is a person who has no life? He no doubt taught thee his place and site. Ho did not teach me that Brahman is only one footed O king of kings 'Then O Yainavalkya give us the explanation Life is even the place the other the site that which is dear to us! In this view let one meditate on him dear object O Yamavalkya? He said - Verily life is the dear object, O ling of kings For leve of life O king of kings one desires what is not desirable seizes on what is not to be scized for the desire of life O king of kings arises dread of being killed (1) wherever one goes Life O king of kings is the supreme Brahman Him who that knowing meditates on that (Brahman) does life not desert him all beings appreach having become a god he even goes to the gods?

"I will give thee a thousand cows, big as elephants," said Janaka, the king of the Videhās Yājñavalkya said, "My father admonished me where one does not instruct, one should not take (gifts)"

(1) From robbers, etc

- 4 यदेव ते कश्चिदबवीत्तच्छृणवामेलबवीन्मे वर्कुर्वार्ज्णश्चक्षुर्वे ब्रह्मेति
 यथा मातृमान्पितृमानाचार्यवान्ब्रूयात्तथा तद्दार्ज्जोऽब्रवीचक्षुर्वे
 ब्रह्मेलपञ्यतो हि किर स्यादिलबवीत्तु ते तस्यायतन प्रतिष्ठा न
 मेऽव्रवीदित्येकपाद्दा एतत्सम्राहिति स वै नो ब्र्ह्म याज्ञवल्क्य
 चक्षुरेवायतनमाकाद्यः प्रतिष्ठा सल्पमित्येनदुपासीत का सत्यता
 याज्ञवल्क्य चक्षुरेव सम्राहिति होवाच चक्षुषा वै सम्राद्
 पश्यन्तमाहुरद्राक्षीरिति स आहाद्राक्षमिति तत्सत्यं भवति
 चक्षुर्वे सम्राद् परमं ब्रह्म नेन चक्षुर्जहाति सर्वाण्येन
 भूतान्यमिक्षरन्ति देवो भूत्वा देवानप्येति य एव विद्वानेतदुपास्ते
 हस्त्यृषम्य सहस्र ददामाति होवाच जनको वेदेहः स होवाच
 याज्ञवल्क्यः पिता मेऽभन्यत नानन्त्रिष्ट्य हरेतेति।
- "Let us hear, what another has taught thee"
 "Varku, the son of Visna, taught me, the eye is Brahman" "As one having an excellent mother, father and teacher, the son of Vrsna taught, the eye is Brahman, for of what use is one who does not see? He, no doubt, taught thee his place and site" "He did not teach me that Brahman is only one-footed, O king of kings" "Then, O Yājňavalkya, give us the explanation" "Verily the eye is the place, the ether, the site, the truth! In this view let one meditate on him' "Which truth, O Yājňavalkya?" rle said, "The eye,

O king of kings. When one who sees with the eye is asked. O king of kings hast then seen? (Should) he answer I have seen than that (which he has seen) is truth. The eye O king of kings is the supreme Brahman. The eye not deserts him who with this knewledge medicates on that (Brahman) all beings approach him having become a god, verily he goes to the gods. I will give thee a thousand cows higher elephants, said Janaka the king of the Videhas. Yajhavalkya said—My father admonished me—where one does not instruct one should not take (gifts).

5 यदंच ते दिध व्यक्तिक्र गुम्मामेन्यवर्गिन्से गद्दभानिपीती भार द्वाज श्रात्र ये त्रवेति य ग मातृमानि नतृमाना नानमान्वपात ग तद्वारद्वाचेऽइत्र क्रिय्य ने निर्देश प्राप्त है कि स्मान्यित मे त्रवानि देव क्षिय स्मान्यित मे त्रवानि से कि स्मान्य स्मानि से त्रवानि से कि समानि से त्रवानि से कि समानि से त्रवानि से कि समानि कि समानि समान

'Let us hear what another has taught thee Gardabhi Vipita of the family of Bharadvaja taught me the car is Brahman (1) As one having an excellent mother father and teacher Bharadvaja

taught, the ear is Brahman, for of what use is he who does not hear? He, no doubt, taught thee his place and site." "He did not teach me that Brahman is only one-footed, O king of kings." "Then, O Yajňavalkya, give us the explanation" "Verily the ear is the place, the ether, the site, the infinite! In this view let one meditate on him" "What infinite, O Yajnavalkya!" He said, "Verily the quarters are infinite, Oking of kings. Therefore, O king of kings, none comes to the end of any quarter whichsoever; for the quarters are infinite The quarters, O king of kings, are the ear, the ear, O king of kings, the supreme Brahman The ear not deserts him, who with this knowledge meditates on that (Brahman), all beings approach him, having become a god, verily he goes to the gods." "I will give thee a thousand cows, big as elephants," said Janaka, the king of the Videhas Yajñavalkya said, "My father admonished me where one does not instruct, one should not take (gifts)"

- (1) And thus the eye differs from the other senses, for instance, from the evidence of work where doubt may arise
 - 6 यदेव ते कश्चिदब्रवीत्तच्छृणवामेत्यव्रवीन्मे सत्यकामो जावालो मनो वै ब्रह्मेति यथा मातृमान्पितृमानाचार्यवान्ब्र्यात्तथा तज्जा-बालोऽब्रवीन्मनो वै ब्रह्मेत्यमनसो हि किर्स्यादिस्ब्रवीत्तु ते तस्यायतन प्रतिष्ठा न मेऽब्रवीदित्येकपाद्वा एतत्सम्राडिति स वै नो ब्र्र्हि याज्ञवल्क्य मन एवायतनमाकाञ्चाः प्रतिष्ठाऽऽनन्द इत्येनदुपासीत का आनन्दता याज्ञवल्क्य मन एव सम्राडिति

होवाच मनसा व सम्राट् श्वियमभिशयते तस्या प्रतिग्र्य पुने। जायते स आनन्दो मनो व सम्राट परम प्रक्ष नेन मनो जहाति सवाण्येन भूतान्यभिक्षरन्ति देवा भूत्व। देवानग्येति य एव बिद्धानेतनुपास्त हस्त्यपम्य सहस्र ददामीति होवाच जनको वदेह स हावाच याञ्चनल्य पिता मेऽमन्यत नाननुशिय हरेतित।

Let us hear what mother has taught thee 'Satvakama the son of Jabala taught me the mind is Brahman As one having an excellent mother fathor and teacher, the son of Jabala taught the mind is Brahman for of what use is he who has no mind? Ho no doubt trught theo his place and sito did not teach me that Brahman is only one footed O king of kings "Thon, O Yamavalkya givo us the explanation 'The mind is the place the ether the site happiness | By this lot one meditate on him' "Which bliss, O Yajñavalkya? He said - Verily the mind O king of kings By the mind O king of kings one has a desiro after a wife through hor a son similar (to one self) is born he the son is bliss The mind O king of kings is the supreme Brahman Mind not deserts him who with this knowledge meditates on that (Brahman) all beings approach him having become a god vorily he goes to the gods 'I will give thee a thousand cows, big as elephants, said Janaka, the king of the Videhas Yājňavalkya said - 'My father admonished me-where one does not instruct one should not take (Lifts)

supreme Brinnan Heart not deserts him who with this knowledge meditates on that (Brahman) all beings approach him having become a god verily he goes to the gods "I will give thee a theusand cows, big as elephants said Janaka the king of the Videhas Yujaavalkya said—' My father admenished me—where one does not instruct one should not take (gifts)

SECOND BRAHMANA (1)

1 जनको ह बदेह क्चोहुपात्सपञ्चाच नमस्तेऽस्तु याद्ववल्य्यात् मा शाधाति स हावाच यम वे सम्राण्मकान्तमञ्चानमे यन् रथ वा नाम वा समाद्रदीतमभैवैतामित्पनिपट्टि समाहितात्मान्येव वृन्द्रारक आज्य सन्त्रमीत्येद उक्तोपनिपत्क इता विसुच्यमान क गमित्यसीति नाह तद्वग्यन्वद यम मिन्यामीत्यम वे तेऽह तद्वस्थामि यम गमित्यसीति व्यातु भगवानिति ।

Junala the king of the Videhas (rising) from (his) throne approached (Yajūavalkya) with humility saying — 'I bow to thee O Yajūavalkya do thou instruct me He said — As one who is going to travel a great distance (takes a chariot or a boat) so art thou prepared in mind (for the knowledge of Brahman) by those Upanisads (2) thus art thou venerable wealthy, thus hast thou read the Vedas and art instructed in the Upanisads (3) (I now ask thee) when liberated from this (body) where art thou to go? I know not O

venerable, where I am to go" "Then I will tell thee, where thou art to go" "Say, O Venerable"

- (1) In the antecedent Brāhmana have been mentioned some modes of meditations as conducive towards the knowledge of Brahman, the present Brāhmana is to set forth the states of waking, etc, as means of attaining the knowledge of Brahman \bar{A} G
- (2) The term "Upanisads" means here of course not the knowledge of Brahman, but certain meditations with regard to Brahman which have been explained in the antecedent Biāhmana
- (3) But though thou art furnished with all these appliances, yet thou hast not attained the highest object of man, unless thou have the knowledge of Brahman S'
 - 2 इन्धो ह वै नामैष योऽय दक्षिणेऽक्षन्पुरुषस्तं वा एतिमन्बर सन्तिमिन्द्र इत्याचक्षते परोक्षेणेव परोक्षिप्रया इव हि देवाः प्रत्यक्षद्विषः।

Indha (1) is verily the name of that Purusa who dwells in the right eye. Him whose true name is Indha, they call Indra by an indirect name, for the gods like indirect names, and dislike to be named directly (2)

- (1) It is intended to show the fourth (or supreme) Brahman by recapitulating what has been said before with reference to Brahman considered as Visva (or the soul, endowed with a gross body), as Taijasa (or the soul, endowed with a subtle body) and as Prājña (or the soul endowed with a causal body) —Ā G
 - (2) Vide a similar passage in the Aiter U, 1, 3, 14
 - 3 अथैतद्वामेऽक्षणि पुरुषरूपमेषास्य पत्नी विराद् तयोरेष सप्तावो य एषोऽन्तर्हदय आकाशोऽथैनयोरेतदन्नं य एषोऽन्तर्हदये लोहित-

पिण्डोडयैनमोरेतत्प्रावरण मदेतदन्तहदये जालक्रिममायैनयोरेपा सृति सचरणी येपा हत्मादूर्या नाटयुचर्रात यमा केण सहस्रवा भिन्न एवमस्येता हिता नाम नाज्योऽन्तहदये प्रतिष्ठिता भमन्त्येव तामिमा एवमस्वता सिन्न तस्मादेप प्रभिविकाहान्तर इनैव भवत्यस्माच्छारीरादात्मन ।

Again that which in the shape of a Purusa dwells in the left eye is his wife the Virat (1). The union of them (2) is the ether within the heart (3) again their food is the red lump within the heart again their place of retreat is the net work (4) within the heart again the road to be travelled (5) on is the artery which rises upwards from the heart As a hair a thousand times divided thus do its (the bodys) veins called the good (6) become which are located within the heart. Proceeding by these (veins) that (food) proceeds. There is as it were nourish ment yet more subtle than that. From this corporeal soul (is nourished the higher soul) (7)

- (1) Vaisvānara although one is in the present sen tence and in the preceding section represented as a couple of a line and Indran for the purpo e of meditating upon him—Ā G That Ā is right is evident from the term Virat another name of Vaisvānara which refers to both Indra and Indrani and points out the idea according to which they are to be comprehended as one
- (2) The union of Indra and Indrani which in the state of waking is called Vista (Vaisvanara Virat) bears in the state of dream the name of Taijasa —Ā G
- (3) The union of them (as Taijasa) takes place in the ather of the heart and the heart is here the lump of flesh on

which they subsist To understand this it is necessary to know the different kinds of food for the different parts of the body Food undergoes a double modification by the process of digestion, a gross and a more subtile one. The product of the former is carried off by excretion. The product of the latter is again modified by the digestive heat and converted into two juices. The one juice of mean subtlety, consisting of the five elements, nourishes the gross body by its change into blood, etc. The other juice is very subtle, and constitutes the red lump which, after it has entered the fine blood vessels of the heart, supplies the sustenance of the subtle body of Indra (as Taijasa), when united with Indran in the heart.

- (4) It is like a net-work from the countless number of apertures between the subtle blood-vessels S'
- (5) The road from the place of waking to the place of dream S'
 - (6) Vide Aitt U, 1, 3, 14
- (7) Or, there is yet (a higher soul) than the one mentioned, whose food (the food of the higher soul) is more subtile. The corporeal soul is Vaisvanara, and the higher, Taijasa
 - 4 तस्य प्राची दिक् प्राञ्चः प्राणा दक्षिणा दिग्दक्षिणे प्राणाः प्रतीची दिक् प्रसञ्चः प्राणा उदीची दिगुदज्ञः प्राणा ऊर्ध्वा दिगूर्ध्वाः प्राणा अवाची दिगवाञ्चः प्राणाः सर्व दिज्ञ सर्वे प्राणाः स एष नेति नेत्यात्माऽगृद्धो निह गृद्धतेऽज्ञीयों निह जीर्यतेऽसङ्गो निह सज्जतेऽसितो न व्यथते निरुधत्यमय वै जनक प्राप्तोसीति होवाच याज्ञवल्क्यः सहोवाच जनको वैदेहोऽसय त्यागच्छित्वाच वाज्ञवल्क्य यो नो मगवल्रसय वेदयसे नमस्तेऽस्त्विमे विदेहा अयमहमस्मि।
- Its (1) eastern quarter are the vital airs that go to the east, its southern quarter the vital airs that go to the

south its western quarter the vital airs that go to the west its northern quarter the vital airs that go to tho north his ascending quarter the ascending vital airs his descending quarter the descending vital airs his descending quarter this descending vital airs all quarters are vital airs. This soul which is neither this nor aught ele which is intangible (2)—for it cannot be laid hold of—not to be dissipated for it cannot be dissipated—without contact—for it cannot come into contact—not limited not subject to plin nor to destruction this fearless (3) (soul)—O Janaka is obtained by thee thus said Yajhavalkya—Janaka—the king of the Videhās said—May that fearless (Brahman) become thy own O Yajhavalkya—which thou O Venerable hast taught us I bow to thee lot this kingdom of the Videhās and this myself be thine (4)

- (1) Faijasa whose abode is in the heart when supported by subtle life becomes life (Prana) that is to say the Prana which is called Prana (the soul of imperfect knew ledge or the third state of the individual soul corresponding to the third state of the universal soul which is called Isvara the ruler). This rofors to him who knows and who from the state of Vaisvanara has gradually obtained the state of Taijasa and of life (Prana)—S
- (2) One who thus knows attains gradually the general soul. When he has identified the individual soil with the universal out he obtains the fourth Brahman by nich negations as he is not this, he is not that Slide Br A 3.9.26.
- (3) Fear ess because it is beyond any cause of fear as birth death etc -S
 - (4) Vide Chh U 3 12 6 and Katha Up 1 27 29

THIRD BRAIDANA

- जनक ह वेदे ह याजवल्क्यो जगाम स मेन न विद्य इत्यय ह यजनक्ष्य वेदेही पाजवल्क्यशासिहींत्र समृद्दी तस्में ह याजवल्क्यो वर ददी स ह कामप्रदामेव वेदे तल्हासमें ददी तल सम्राहित पूर्व पप्रच्छ ।
- , 2. याजवल्क्य किञ्योतिग्य पुरुष इति आदिल्पज्योतिः सम्राडिति होवाचादित्येनेवाय ज्योतिषागते पल्ययते कर्म कुरुते विपल्येतीत्येवमेवेतयाज्ञतल्क्य ।

Yājāavalkya (1) went to Janaka, the king of the Videhās. He bethought him I will not say aught. (It happened that formerly), when Janaka, the king of the Videhās, and Yājāavalkya had held converse together about the fire-offering (2), that Yājāavalkya had given him (permission to ask) a boon. The boon he chose was, to question (Yājāavalkya) according to his pleasure. He gianted that boon to him. The king of kings first asked him (3), "Yājāavalkya, of what light is this Puruṣa (4)?" He said, "Of the light of the sun, O king of kings. By the light of the sun (man) sits down, walks about, performs his work and returns (home)" "It is even so, O Yājāavalkya"

(1) In his introduction to the present Brahmana showing its connection with the pieceding section, S recapitulates the principal points of the third chapter. The visible and piesent Biahman is also the supreme Brahman whose nature is knowledge, which is evident from such passages as "There is none that sees but he" (3, 8, 11) This (Brahman), after he has entered the body, is divided

according to the function of speech etc. In the Vinibu kan's in the part relating the con erection of Af taxatru Bahman i canceled be the attributes of lominion and enjoyment of life etc (2 4 1") (22 some him different from life etc.) Again in the question of U asta r present ine him a der the attribut tof hie etc (3 4) he is compre hendel in a senere manner b uch words a which breather be breath etc. It et and in the full power of bi nature b. the west. He I the behol is of the behotting Of it a now wear lack, he had nterupon a would state which is not be true nature be an wired The we ils tate of him i the attribute of the upreme one henorancel 1 it i an effect of h nor per if a rope a de er place a mither of pearl shell the ether e c be respectively on then I a a nake as water liver impuretc as this is an effect of ignorance and no their own nature lea is it an effect of igno once if a world's tata he att thuted to the so ill. tlirabrent who is without attribute and unattainable by word to mindl must be defined by penation as lie i not this he i not that etc anli (affirmatively) comprehended as the visible pre ent soul (3 i) periading all a the it lestrictible lirahman (3 4) as the Inner linter (3 7) as the Lurusa of the Duani ads (3 9 26) a the Urshman who e nature is knowledge and bit s
(4 1) This Brahman is again conceived under the name of Inlha (4 2) as the soul nonrished by subtle fool (Vale sanaral (again) as the soul of the subtle buly lim time Taijasa) nourished by fool mor white than that (of Vales mars) Greater than this for both Val samars and Tailaral is the soul of the well having the attribute of life I rim this again is dl. limuiched by knowledge the soul of the world having the attribute of life b, the words - He is not this he is no that a a suake ce lis distin guished by knowledgel from a rope etc. and thus the sightle and pre ent Brahman (the fourth) who is within all is com-

prehended (1 1) In the same mann r ha Janal a obtained the fearless Brahman by t'e executed instruction of lains sall ya according to the doc rine of the Sutri There (4 3) are the states of raking dream profound sleep and of the fourth mentioned for another purpose (for the purpose showing the gradual liberation of the soul from the attachment to the world, as the effect of those kinds of meditation. A G), viz, Indha (4, 2, 2), he whose nourishment is subtle (4, 2, 3), all life (4, 2, 4), and "He is not this, he is not that," (e l) In the present Brāhmaṇa Brahman is to be comprehended by means of the states of waking, dream, etc, through the operation of profound discussion, as the fearless, the existence of the soul (is to be comprehended) by removing any doubt arising from dispute, its nature (is to be comprehended) as independent, pure, similar with light, of omnipotent power as infinite bliss and as being without duality. For this purpose the present Brāhmana is commenced.

- (2) And Yājñavalkya was gratified by the king's knowledge
- (3) Ic, On the present occasion the introductory episode of the boon and right to put questions being concluded
- (4) Purusa, the being, who consists of the combination of causes and effects, and has head, hands, etc. Of what light, means, by what light as cause does man discharge the business of life S'S' makes here some very good reflections about the nature of this light, whether it is external or internal, separated or not separated from the body, but they are rather S's reflections than those of the Upanisad and we will therefore omit them. The progress from one idea to another in the Upanisad is very clear, viz, any light which is found in external nature, is incapable of being the cause of the intellectual functions of man for it ceases to act at certain times, the light by which man acts, must therefore be something distinct from any of them
 - 3 अस्तमित आदित्ये याज्ञवंद्रभय किज्योतिरेवायं पुरुष इति चन्द्रमा एवास्य ज्योतिर्मवतीति चन्द्रमसैवायं ज्योतिषास्ते प्रत्ययते कर्म कुरुते विप्रत्येतीत्येवसेवैतद्याज्ञवरूक्य ।

'Of what light O Yapanalkya is this Purusa, when the sun has set 'The moon is even his light By the moon light he sits down, walks about performs his work and returns (home) It is even so O Yapanalkya

 अन्तिमिन आश्वरिय यान्यस्त्रप्य चन्द्रमस्यस्तिमिन वि न्योतिरियायं पुरंग इत्यिप्रियास्य ज्योतिर्भनतीत्यिम्न ॥य ज्यातिपानत पल्ययते कम पुरंत निपरं थेती थे गर्भेवतचार मल्ययः।

Of what light O Yajaavalkya is this Purusa

fire is even his light. By the fire light he sits down wilks about performs his work and returns (home) 'It is even so O Yajian alky ?

5 अस्तमित आदिन्ये यान १००४ चन्द्रभन्यस्तमिते आन्तेऽग्री

when the sun has set and the moon has set

5 अस्तमित अदिन्ये यान्यस्त्रय चन्त्रमस्यस्तमिते भान्तेऽग्नौ फिन्यातिरक्षात्र पुरुष इति मागेतास्य ज्यातिमत्रताति तार्चवाय ज्योतिपास्ते पल्ययते कर्म कुरते निपल्पेतीति तस्माई सम्राडिष यत्र स्व पाणिन विनि ॥नतेऽत्र यत्र वागु ॥स्त्युपेत तत्र न्येतारपेवमेनीत्रश्चनस्त्रय ।

"Of what light O Yajnavnikya is this Purusa.

when the sun has set, the meen has set and the fire is at rest? Speech (1) is even his light. By the light of speech he sits down walks about, performs his work and returns (home) Therefore, O king of kings at a time (2) when one cannot distinguish his own hand he resorts there whence speech proceeds (3) 'It is even so O Yajaavalkya

- (1) Speech means here sound, the object of the sense of hearing. When this sense is enlightened reflection is produced in the mind. By the mind effort to obtain external things is made, for by the mind one sees, one hears. S'
- (2) When it is dark from clouds, or when every other light has ceased to shine S'.
- (3) Where sound rises be it the neighing of horses or the braying of donkeys, etc. Speech serves here only as an illustration and includes the other senses. S'
 - 6. अस्तिमत आदित्ये याज्ञवरूक्य चन्द्रमस्यस्तिमते ज्ञान्तेऽग्नौ ज्ञान्ताया वाचि किज्योतिरेवायं पुरुष इत्यात्मैवास्य ज्योति-भेवतीत्यात्मनेवाय ज्योतिषास्ते परुययते कर्म कुरुते विपरुयेतीति ।
- "Of what light, O Yājāavalkya, is this Purusa, when the sun has set, the moon has set, fire is at rest, and speech is at rest?" "Soul (1) (ātmā) is even his light. By the light of the soul he sits down, walks about, performs his work and returns (home)"
- (1) Different from the whole of the causes and effects in the body and its parts, manifesting the effects and the causes, like the sun and other external lights, and not manifested by any thing else. It is light which is within and at the same time independent of effects and causes. It is not perceived by the eye or any other organs like the sun, but inferred from its effects. S'
 - 7. कतम आत्मेति योऽय विज्ञानभयः प्राणेषु ह्यन्तज्योतिः पुरुषः स समानः सन्तुभौ लोकावनुसचरति ध्यायतीव लेलायतीव स हि स्वप्नो भूत्वेम लोकमितज्ञामित भृत्यो रूपाणि ॥
- "Which soul 9" (1) "That soul which among the organs has the nature of knowledge, which abides in

the heart, and is the Purusa who is light (2). He (3) being the same (4) (with the heart) migrates (5) to both sorlds (6). He as it were timils he as it were, moves (7) for having become dreim (8) he quits this world (4) (he quits) the forms of de (th. (10)).

- (11 Although It has been proved that the soul has an existence independent (of the gross bods) and abides within (the bods) set seeing that (the un ciel which assists the bods in the operation of its functions 1 of the same kind (with the bods)! there may proceed the delusion (that the call which is ight re 5 also be of the same nature with the body ic there is in no inference between the kind of assistance) and from the want of distinction the question may arise is the soul one of the organs or some thing different from them? Or in o her word though it is proved that the soul i different from the bods set all the organs partials of the nature of knowledge and since no distinction is apprehended between the oul and the organs the question of the text is necessary which soul?
- (2) The whole sentence may be explained according to S in a threefold manner
- 1 The first part contains the question. Which soul? and the second the answer to it. The Purusa who among the organs has the nature of knowledge and is the light of the heart.
- 2 Or Which is the soul among the organs that has the nature of knowledge ? The answer in this case is.

 The Purusa who is light alone abiding in the heart.
- 3 Or Which is the soul that among the organs has the nature of knewledge and in the Purnsa who is light alone abiding in the heart. ?

Sankara profers the first explanation. Has the nature of knowledge means according to him resembles knowledge or intellect (buddlis) and 'heart the intellect which has its place in the organ of the heart.

- (3) He, the Purusa The Purusa who is light alone, who manifests all and is manifested by any thing else, cannot be comprehended in the state of waking, since none of the organs is an object of perception, and since from the confusion, arising from the united action of so many causes and effects of the senses, the soul in its nature as light alone cannot be shown. The Upanisad, therefore, explains it by means of state of dream. S'
- (4) Being the same, or similar This refers to the "heart," as is clear from the topic and from the relation which the soul has to the heart (or intellect). As light, manifesting red, green, etc, colours, becomes itself red or green, so the Purusa, manifesting intellect, manifests thereby the whole body, and by this intellect he becomes also like every other thing. S'.
- (5) The cause of his migration is his similarity with intellect, on his own accord such a migration does not take place. He migrates by leaving his present body and entering another and another in endless succession. S'
 - (6) Both worlds, the future and the present world.
- (7) He as it were thinks, that is to say, in reality he does not think or reflect for reflection does not belong to his nature S (and is the effect of his being considered under the attribute of intellect)
- (8) He becomes all that intellect becomes and intellect assuming the state of dream, the Purusa also assumes it S'
- (9) This world, characterised by the functions practised during waking S'
- (10) Death means, work, ignorance, etc., his forms, causes and effects -S'
 - 8 स वा अय पुरुषो जायमानः अरीरमिसपद्यमानः पाप्मिसः सन्दर्भन्यते स उत्कामन् म्रियमाणः पाप्मेनो विजहाति ।

This Purusa (1) when born (2), when assuming a body is allied to sin (3) when rising apwards, when dying, he lays aside the sins (4)

- (1) As the Purusa in this body, when assuming the state of dream quits the forms of death, and remains in his own light, so when born he is allied to sins —S
- (2) When born when assuming the state of the soul within a body -S
- (3) Sins means causes and offects depending upon vice and virtue -S
- (4) He lass them aside he becomes free from them As the Purusa abiding in one and the ame bels in consequence of his similarity with intellect by assuming and laying aside causes and effects in the form of sin continually migrates from the state of waking to that of dream and vice versa so by assuming and laying avide those causes and offects he continually migrates through birth and death to this and to the other world until he is finally liberated It is therefore evident that the soul which has the nature of light is different from sins as causes and offects because it is joined to and separated from them
 - 9 तस्य वा प्रतस्य धुर प्रस्थ हे एव स्थाने भगत इन च परा किम्मान च सन्ध्य तृतीयर स्थमन्यान तिस्मिन्मन्ध्ये स्थाने तिष्ठकेते उभे स्थाने प्रत्यतान च परा किस्मान च अथ यथावनोऽष्य परा किम्माने भगति तमावनमावन्योभयान्याप्यन आनन्ता नथ्य प यति स यत्र प्रस्थिप वस्य लोकन्य मयावतो मावामपानाय स्थय विहस्य स्थय निर्माय स्थन भासा स्थेन च्योतिपा प्रस्थिपयाय पुरुष स्थयन्योतिभगति ।

There are even two places of this Purusa this place and the place of the next world the place of dream (1), which is between them, is the third. Abiding in the middle place, (man) sees (2) both places, this and the place of other world. In proportion to the endeavour (3) with which one is (striving to obtain) the place of the other world, does he accordingly see sin (4) or bliss. When he sleeps, (when), putting on a rudiment (only) of this world which consists of all elements (5), himself prostrating (his body), himself raising a building (6) by force of his own splendour and manifestation, he sleeps then becomes this Purusa unmingled light.

- (1) Dream is not a world but only the union of two worlds, as the place between two villages is not a village itself -8
- (2) How then it is proved, that there is another world different from the place of union, or dream? The an wer is, because the Purusa sees in dream both the present and another world
- (3) The endeavour being considered as the seed from which the tree of the body rises
- (4) Sin, the effect of sin, unhappiness. He sees them in the hape of impressions, received in a former world Wart is the proof of this? Because he sees in dream many transport to be perceived in this birth, for dream mean not a perception of transport seen before which is called read interaction. Therefore, beside the places of waking and dream, there exist this cate world.
- (3) Which can iste of all elements (sarvavan), admits of a lambe expension. Sarvam evaluate preceive all, or are an area in which contains everything. And the contains of errotion.

to the added as

10 न तत्र रत्रा न रत्रयोगा न पन्यानो भनन्यत्र रत्रावत्रयामान्यत्र सुन्ते न तत्रानन्दा मुट प्रमुटो भनन्यत्रामन्दान मुट प्रमुट सुनते न तत्र वंशान्ता पुनिष्य स्वनन्त्या भनन्यत्र वेशान्तान् पुनिष्य स्वनन्ता ।

No chariots (1) are there (2) no heres nor reads then he creates chariots here and reads. No pleasures are there no joys nor rejoicings then he creates pleasures joys and rejoicings. No tanks are there no lakes nor rivers then he creates tanks lakes and rivers for he is the agent (3)

- (1) What has been said before that the Purusa in dream is life alone is here expressed in another manner \bar{A} G
- (2) There in dream where there are ne objects of the senses as in waking --S
 - (3) He is the agent for the dream land -5
 - 11 तटेते स्ठोका भवन्ति ॥ स्वप्नेन शारीग्मिभिप्रत्यानुस सुभानिष्य चात्रशाति ॥ सुक्रमानाय पुनरेति स्थानप हिरण्यन पुरुष ण्यहरस ॥

Here apply these Slokas (1) 'In dream prostrating (2) the body, himself dreamless he develops the modes of dreaming. After he has assumed the pure (form) the goldhko (3) Puru a the one wanderer proceeds (4) again to the place (of waking)

⁽¹⁾ Slokas means hear Mantres (memorial verses) They apply here that is to say to the view that the Purusa is light alone

- (2) Annihilating the action
- (3) Gold-like, he whose nature is the light of consciousness S
 - (4) As the consequence of his action S
 - 12. प्राणन गक्षत्रवर कुलाय विहिप्कुलायादमृतश्चरित्वा ॥ स ईयतेऽमृतो वत्र कामर हिरण्मयः पुरुष एकहरस ॥

By (the power of) life (1) preserving (from death) the inferior nest (2), and roaming outside (3) of it, he, the immortal one, the goldlike, Purusa, the one wanderer, proceeds where his desire leads him

- (1) Life in its division into the five vital airs
- (2) Nest, the body
- (3) Although the Purusa, while yet in the body, sees dreams, yet he is considered to be outside, because he has no connection with it.
 - 13 स्वप्तान्त उचावचमीयमानो रूपाणि देव: कुरुते वहूनि ॥ उतेव स्त्रीमि. सह मोदमानो जक्षदृतेवापि भयानि पश्यन् ॥

In his dream passing from high to low (1), he, the god, displays manifold forms, either playing with women, or laughing, or beholding fearful sights

- (1) Becoming, as fancy leads him, a good or a brute creature --S.
 - 11 आरामभन्य पश्चित्त न न पश्चित कथनेति त नायन वोबयेदित्याहुः दुनि न्य हारमे सर्वति यमेप न प्रतिस्वतेऽयो स्त्रतानु शीगरितदेश प्रवास्त्रप्र होते यानि होए जागन्यश्चिति वानि सुध ह्याउत्ये

पुरम् ारवज्यक्तिभन्निः चडर भगातः ४५४ ८। तः अध्ये विक्तासम्बद्धिः

His pleasure grounds (1) are viable but he is visible to none they say none comprehends the Pervader Difficult to be cured as the body, when the Purusa attains not that (door of the senses) (2) (Some) say indeed (the place of dream) is (the same as) his place of waking for he sees in help the same (forms) which he does when iwake. This is not so (for) here the Purusa becomes a self-shimma light. 'I will give thee O Venerable in thom and (covis) Speak next of liberation.

- (1) Pleasure grounds in the shape of impressions -S
- (2) I translated thus in accordance with 5 sexplanation. The meaning is If the Purusa does not return to the waking state through the same door of the sense; through which he entered into the state of dream if he re enters in any other manner then diseases are produced such as blin lines deafness etc. which are difficult to cure
 - 15 स वा ण णानिस्तन ॥६ गया पार्या दृष्य पुण्य न पाप न पुन प्रान्तिक प्रतिपात्रका न पत्र रिक्षिप करान्यागतस्त भवका । स्थाप पुण्य क्ये वसकादान्यस्य नाइत भवका स स्व ८००था उन्ध्रं विकासिक ग्राणि।
- 'Having (!) in the state where there is perfect blies (2), enjoyed blies wandered about and seen what is holy and what is sinful (3) he proceeds again in a roverso order to the place of his birth to Dream. He is

not chained by what he sees (4) there (in dream) (5), for the Purusa is untouched (thereby)" "This is so, O Yājāavalkya, I will give thee, O Venerable, a thousand (cows) Speak next of liberation"

- Brahmana, that the soul is seif-shining light, has been proved by the text "There that soul becomes self-shining light" But with regard to the text "Being dream, he quits this world," the meaning is doubtful, for it may be said, he may indeed quit the forms of death but not for this reason death himself, for it is evident that although separated from effect and cause, one may yet experience in dream joy, terior, etc., therefore he does not in truth quit death, for death being work, his effects, joy, terror, etc., are visible And if one of his own nature be bound by death, liberation is not obtained by him, as he cannot be liberated from his own nature. Therefore we must conclude, death cannot be one's own nature and liberation from him is possible. To show this, is the object of Yajñavalkya in his answer to the question of the king.—S
 - (2) That is to say, in the state of profound sleep, for there none experiences any grief S'
 - (3) The effects of them S
 - (4) Because, in dream, he does not actually do what is holy and evil, he is not chained by either, for good or evil actions and their consequences are not imputed to the mere spectator for them. Therefore in dream he does not only overcome the forms of death, but death himself. He does not act in dream, and is therefore different in nature from death who is work, and therefore he is free from him.—S
 - (5) As as evident from common experience, for no one as blomed or praise i for what he does in dream —8

16 स वा ण्य एतिसन्त्यां ग्ला चित्त्वा दृश्व पुण्य च पाप च पुन प्रतिन्याय प्रतियान्याद्ववित बुद्धान्तायेव स यत्त्रत्र विचि त्परययनन्वागतस्तेन भग्यसङ्गा द्वाय पुरुष इत्येवमेत्रत्याद्वात्व्यय सोऽह भग्यत सहस्र तम्यत कथ्य विमाक्षाय्य प्रहीति ।

Having in that dram enjoyed bliss wandered about and seen what is holy and sinful, he proceeds again in the reverse order to the place of his birth to the walling state. He is not chained by what he sees there (1) for the Purusa is untouched (2). This is so, O Yanavalkya. Speak next of liberation

- (1) In dream
- (2) Untouched as being without form -S
- 17 स वा एप एतम्मिन्धुदान्ते रत्वा चरित्वा दृष्ट्रेय पुण्य च पाप च पुन प्रतिन्यान प्रतियोज्यादनति स्वमान्तायेन ।

Having (1) onjoyed bliss wandered about and seen what is holy and sinful, during his waking state he proceeds again in the reverse order to the place of his birth to dream

(1) But how can he be untouched in the waking tate as he does good and evil acts and sees their con sequences? This is not the case says S for if there is an agent the notion of agency is admissible. It has been declared that the soul is a self-shining light and that manifosted by its own light as cause and effect it is an agent. Therefore if he be comprehended under the notion of an agent attributes are assigned to the soul which it has not of its own nature and this is done by means of intellect. In this passage the soul is however considered as it exists.

in its or a nature, and not as represented by attributes taken from its connection with something else. S.

15 नपदा महामल्स्य उमे क्लेउनुमचरित पूर्व चापर चैत्रमेवाय पुरुष जनादुमावन्तामनुनंचरित स्वमान्तं च बुदान्तं च ।

As a large fish (1) glides between both banks (2) the right and the left one, so glides the Purusa between both boundaries, the boundary of dream and the boundary of the waking state

- (1) That the Purusa is not touched by sin has been shown in the three preceding sections, this is here illustrated by a similar -5
- (2) Without being seized by the current of the stream S.
 - 10 नव तिस्त यथे स्वती ता मुल्ली वा निर्माणय श्रान्त न हरा पत्नी स्वतु त्या दियन क्रमेंद्राय पुरुष एत्स्ला अन्ताय धार्मन या मुनी स यदन काम आधाने न कवन स्वत प्रशीत ।

- (3) To his own self free from every wordly attribute and from the distinctions of agent work or fruit —S
 - 2) ता वा अस्यता हिता जाम नाट्या यना फेल सन्सवा भिनस्तानताणिमा तिन्धन्त शुक्रम्य नीलम्य पिङ्गरस्य हित्तस्य लेलिन्य पृत्रा अन यंत्रन पन्तीन जिनन्ता हरतीन निच्छाययित गनीमन पति पदेन जाप्रद्वय पत्यति त नाविनना मन्यत्वतः यत्र देव इव गजिवाहमेवेन्य सर्वोऽस्मीति मन्यते सोऽन्य प्रमा नोक ।
- His (1) vessels which are called good are of the fineness of a hair, a thousand fold divided and filled with white (2) blue yellow green and red juice Therefore all the objects of terror which a man sees when awake, are through ignorance fancied by him (in dream) when any body seems to kill him seems to subdue him an elephant seems to put him to flight (or when) he falls into a pit again (3) when he seems to be conscious I am a god I am king I am even all this he has ittained his highest place
- (1) It is the nature of the Purusa to be free from worldly attributes which are the offects of ignerance. Here the question arises whether ignorance belongs to his own nature or is only accidental? If it be accidental liberation from it is possible. Further is there any evidence of ignerance being accidental and in what manner is ignorance an attribute of the non soul? The pre ent section has the object to show the nature of ignerance.
- (2) Food when digested becomes blue if there be an abundance of the airy humour yellow by an abundance of bile white by an abundance of phlegm green by a deficiency of bile and rod by an equal mixture of all the

humours, and in this manner, the vessels also through which the humours flow, assume the same colour according to Susruta A G In those very fine vessels, abides the subtle body consisting of 17 parts (the five organs of intellect, the five organs of action, the five vital airs, intellect and mind) Dependent upon the subtle body are all the impressions produced by the belief in the worldly attributes of a higher or lower state S

I give here in substance the train of S'ankara's ideas on this subject Ignorance being subdued and knowledge prevailing, what is the object of knowledge and what its character? The highest place, the highest state of the soul, is, when it exists as the soul of all in its own inherent nature On the other hand, if the soul be considered as different from the soul of all, however little the difference may be, the state of ignorance is the consequence. The result of ignorance are the lower worlds down to the state of manimate matter, where the nature of the soul is not comprehended Beyond the worlds which are the objects of worldly action, the state of the universal soul, which is all-pervading, and which is without an Other and without an Out, is his highest state. Therefore, ignorance being subdued, and knowledge having attained its perfection, the state of the universal soul, liberation occurs In the same manner ignorance prevailing and knowledge having disappeared, the effect is described in the passage, "When any body seems to kill him" The respective effects, then, of knowledge and ignorance are the state of the universal soul and that of the individual soul From pure knowledge the state of the universal soul proceeds, from ignorance, a state which is not universal. Therefore the state of ignorance consists in this, that the universal existing soul is conceived under the notion of the soul which is not universal, and that something, different from the soul, and which does not exist, is substituted for the soul nature of ignorance has been explained together with its effects, and the effect of knowledge, the state of the universal sout, has been shown to be opposite to ignorance

therefore must conclude that ignorance is not an attribute of the soul and that liberation from it is possible

21 तद्वा अस्थेत तिच्छन्त अपस्तवाप्पाऽभय १ रूप तद्या प्रियस ज्ञिया समिरियका न मात्र कियन वन नान्तरभेशभेशय पुरप प्रानेनात्मन। सविध्यका न मात्र कियन वन नान्तर तद्वा अन्येतनास्तामासम्भमन। स्टब्स नामान्तरस्य।

This is his (true) nature which is free from desire, sin (1) and fear (2). As in the embrace of a beloved wife one is unconscious of aught from without or within so embraced by the all knowing (3) soul this Purusa is unconscious of all without or within This is his (true) nature when all desires are satisfied (4) where the (only) desire is for the soul where there is no desire where there is no grief

- (1) Sin means here both ain and virtue
- (2) Though the state where no fear exists has been already referred to at the close of the last Brahmana (p. 325) yet it has been there only enunciated while it is here established by discussion —S
- (3) All knowing Prajua the supreme soul according to its own nature
- (4) Knowledge its object and the agent who knows not existing there can be no manifestation of a special knowledge as a desire—S
 - 22 अत्र पिताऽपिता भन्नति मानाऽभाता राक्षा अस्या इया अदेवा वरा अन्या अत्र स्तेनाऽभतेना भन्नति भूगकाऽभणहा चाण्याःगऽचाणदारु पौल्यभाऽपील्यस ध्रमणाऽध्रभणस्याप

सोऽतापसोऽनन्वागत पुण्येनानन्यागत पापेन तीणों हि तदा सर्वाञ्छोकान्हदयस्य भवति ।

- Then (1) the father is no father, the mother no mother, the worlds no worlds, the gods no gods, the Vedas no Vedas Then the thief (2) is no thief, the murderer of a Brāhmana (3) no murderer of a Brāhmana, the Canḍāla (4) no Candāla, the Paulkasa no Paulkasa (5), the religious mendicant (Sramana) no religious mendicant, the ascetic no ascetic, he is unconnected with aught that is holy, he is unconnected with sin for he is then beyond every grief of the heart (6)
- (1) Then, when the Purusa has obtained the form, free from ignorance from desire and from work, of which state profound sleep is the type. And this change takes place because the notions of a father mother, etc., express a relation, established by work, which relation of course ceases when work has ceased. S
- (2) Thief means here, according to S., one who steals the gold of a Brahmana as indicated by its connection with Bhrūnaha
- (3) Bhrūnaha means literally the murderer of an embryo I have translated it in accordance with S 's and \overline{A} G. s explanation
- (4) Candala the son of a Brahmana woman by a S'ūdra S
- (5) Paulkasa, the son of a Ksatriya woman by a Sūdra
 - (6) Intellect, abiding in the heart

23 वर्द्र तन्न न्यति पन्यन्ये तत्र पत्र्यति न हि इष्ट्रुवेरियिन्योपा विचतऽप्रिनादिल्यान्न तु तद्रद्वितायमस्ति तनाउन्यद्विभक्तं यत्परयेत् ।

It is not true that being thus, (in profound sleep) seeing (1), ho sees not ho does see for there is no loss of sight to tho see er since it is indestructible (2) and there is no second (3), no other separated from him which could see

- (1) But is it no contradiction that the soul whose nature is perfect knowledge should not know? It is not from the reason assigned in the text —
- (2) But here the following inference come to apply seeing is an action at it performed by the agent who sees and every action is transient. However the inference is not applicable because the seeing is only seeing and includes no agent. It is the nature of the soul to manifest and this not through the intercession of an agent as there is nothing else but the soul.—S
- (3) It has been declared that what is known in waking or in dream is a second and does not (really) exist and that no difference is known in profound sloop. If this latter state be the nature of the soul from what proceeds the difference and if its nature i to know the difference why does it not know this difference (in profound sleep)?—S
 - २४ वर्ष नन्न निप्तति जिन्नन्त्र तन्न निप्तति न हि प्रानुप्तातिविष्णि । यो विद्यतेऽपिनािल्यान तु तद्दृहितायमस्ति ततोऽन्यहिभक्त यिन्तिन् ।

It is not true that being thus smelling he smells not he does smell for there is no loss of smell to the smeller, since it is indestructible, and there is no second, no other, separated from him which could smell.

25, यहै तन रसयते रसयन्ये तन रसयते नहि रसयित् रसयतेर्विपरिछोपो विद्यतेऽविनािशत्वान तु तद्षितीयमरित तताऽन्यिहमक्तं यदसयेत्।

It is not true, that, being thus, tasting, he tastes not, he does taste, for there is no loss of taste to the taster, since it is indestructible, there is no second, no other, separated from him which could taste.

26 यहै तन वदित वदन्वै तन वदित न हि वक्तुर्वक्तेर्विपरिलोपो विद्यते-ऽविनाशित्वान तु तद्दितीयमस्ति ततोऽन्यद्दिभक्त यद्ददेत्।

It is not true, that, being thus, speaking, he speaks not, he does speak, for there is no loss of speech to the speaker, since it is indestructible, and there is no second, no other, separated from him which could speak.

27 यहै तन्न शृणोति शृण्वन्वै तन्न शृणोति न हि श्रोतु श्रुतेर्विपरिलोपो विद्यतेऽविनाशित्वान तु तद्द्रितीयमस्ति ततोऽन्यद्विमक्तं यच्छृणुयात् ।

It is not true, that, being thus, hearing, he hears not, he does hear, for there is no loss of hearing to the hearer, since it is indestructible, and there is no second, no other, separated from him which could hear

28 यद्दै तन्न मनुते मन्वानो वै तन्न मनुते न हि मन्तुर्भतेर्विपरिछोपो विद्यतेऽविनाशित्वान्न तु तद्दितीयमस्ति ततोऽन्यद्दिभक्तं यन्मन्वीत।

It is not true that being thus minding, he minds not he does mind for there is no loss of minding to the minder since it is indestructible, and there is no second no other, separated from him that could mind

29 यद्वे तज्ञ स्पृजाति स्पृशन्य तज्ञ स्पृशाति नहि स्प्रष्टु स्पृष्टिनिपरिलोपो नियतेऽनिनाशिल्याच तु तद्दद्वितायमस्ति ततोऽन्यद्विभक्त यत्स्पृशेत्, ।

It is not true that, being thus touching he touches not he does touch for there is no loss of touching to the toucher since it is indestructible and there is no second no other separated from him that could touch

30 यद्र तल विजानाति निजानन्यं तल विज्ञानाति न हि विज्ञातुर्विज्ञाते निपिग्लापो विद्यतेऽविजाशित्याल तु तद्दितीयमस्ति ततोऽ-न्यद्विभक्त यद्विजानीयात् ।

It is not true that being thus, knowing he knows not he does know, for there is no loss of knowing to the knower since it is indestructible and there is no second, no other separated from him that could know

31 यत्र वान्यदिव स्थातत्रान्योऽन्यत्पृश्चेदन्योऽन्यक्षिः न्योऽन्यदस्य सयेदन्योऽन्यद्वेदन्योऽन्यृणुनारन्याऽन्यन्मन्वीतान्योऽन्यत्स्यृ कोरन्याऽन्यद्विजानीयात् ।

Wherever some other thing as it were, exists there let another see another thing another smell another thing another taste another thing another speak another thing another hear another thing another mind another thing, another touch another thing, another know another thing

32 सिंट एको द्रष्टाऽदैतो भवत्येप ब्रह्मलोकः सम्राहिति हैनमेनुशशास याजवल्क्य एषास्य परमा गतिरेषास्य परमा सपदेषोऽस्य परमो लोक एपोऽस्य परम आनन्द एतस्यैवानन्दस्यान्यानि भूतानि मात्रामुपजीवन्ति ।

Like water (purified), the one see-er without duality, is the Brahma world (1), "O king of kings", thus Yājñavalkya instructed him "This is his highest aim, his highest wealth, his highest world, his highest happiness. Of this happiness, all other beings enjoy only a part"

- (1) The Brahma world, the highest world And this is the state of the soul in profound sleep S'
 - 33 स यो मनुष्याणा पराद्वः समृद्धो मवलन्येषामधिपतिः सर्वेमीनुष्यकैमोंगैः सपन्नतमः स एकः पितॄणा जितलोकानामानन्दोऽथ ये
 जतं पितॄणा जितलोकानामानन्दाः स एको गन्धर्वलोक आनन्दोऽथ
 ये जत गधर्वलोक आनन्दाः स एको गन्धर्वलोक आनन्दोऽथ
 ये जत गधर्वलोक आनन्दाः स एक कर्मदेवानामानन्दाः स
 एक आजानदेवानामानन्दाः स एक प्रजापतिलोक आनन्दाः स
 एक आजानदेवानामानन्दाः स एक प्रजापतिलोक आनन्दा यथ्य
 श्रोत्रियोऽवृजिनोऽकामहतोऽय ये जत प्रजापतिलोक आनन्दा
 स एको ब्रह्मलोक आनन्दा यथ्य श्रोत्रियोऽवृजिनोऽकामहतोऽथेष
 एव परम आनन्द एव ब्रह्मलोक्षः सम्राहिति होवाच याज्ञवल्क्यः
 सोऽह भगवते सहस्र ददाम्यत ऊर्व्व विमोक्षायेव ब्रूहीत्यत्र ह

या । (ल्या विभया स्कार मेधारी गाम स्वभ्या मान्तस्य इस्रोत्सारिति ।

(The bliss of one) (1) who notone men is perfect in high wealthy a sovereign lord of others and who has the foliess of all human comments is the highest bliss of men. Further a hundredfold the bliss of men is one bliss of the forefathers who have overcome the worlds (2) Further a hundredfold the blis of the forefathers who have overcome the worlds is one bliss of the world of the Gandharvas Further a hundredfold the bliss of the vorld of the Gai dharvas is one blies of the ritual code (3) who gain their divinity by rites. Further a hundredfold the blus of the ritual gods is one blies of those who are cods by birth and of him who knows the Vedas, and is free from sin and desire. Further a hundredfold the bliss of those who are gods by birth is one bliss of the world of Prayipati (4) and of him who knows the Vedas and is free from sio and desire (5) Further a hundredfold the bliss of the world of Prangiti is one bliss of the world of Brahma (6) and of him who knows the Vedas and is free from 510 and desire (7) Further the highest blis (8) is even the world of Brahma (9) Oking of kings thus said Yanivalkya (He said) - I will give thee O Vecerable a thousand cows Speak ouxt of liberation Theo Yanavalkya was afraid that the wary king should drive him from all his last positions (10)

- (1) Vide Taitt Up, 2, 8 which corresponds almost liter, with this whole section
- (2) Those who by such ceremonies as the Srāddha have obtained the world of the forefathers
- (3) The ritual gods are such as have gained their divinity by the performance of Vedic rites, as the fire-offering, etc. S'
 - (4) Of Prajapati in the body of the Virat. S'
- (5) And who at the same time knows this, that is to say, who has meditated on the soul in its form as Virat S
 - (6) Or Hiranyagarbha S.
- (7) And who has also meditated on the nature of Hiranyagarbha S'
- (8) All the happiness up to that of the world of Brahma, compared with this happiness is like a drop of water, compared with the sea. And this state is gained by him who comprehends himself as the one identical Brahman. S
- (9) For the sake of comparison, I give here the scale of the degrees of happiness in the Taitt Up, Man, Gandharvas, Divine Gandharvas, Forefathers, Ritual Gods, Gods by birth, Indra, Brhaspati, Prajapati and Brahman.
- (10) From the solutions of the questions which he had given He was not afraid, because he was deficient in knowledge, but he was afraid that the king who by the acuteness of his understanding always observed some point not yet wholly defined, should, under the pretence of Yajñavalkya's promise to answer any question of the king, allure him to communicate to him all his knowledge S
 - 34 स वा एप एतिस्मिन्स्वप्तान्ते रत्वा चरित्वा हेट्टैव पुण्य च पाप च पुनः प्रतिन्थाय प्रतियोन्या द्रवति बुद्धान्तायैव ।

Having enjoyed bliss (1), wandered about and seen what is holy and sinful during his dream he proceeds upin in the reverse order to the place of his birth the waking state.

- (1) The antecedent exposition (11 of the woking state of dream and profound leep) serves only as an illustration (of the soul in its hondoge and liberation). The following sections undertake to describle liberation and bondoge themselve bondage being like the state of droom and woking and liberation like profound sleep. The present section (34) seems to be an opt ode to reapitulate which has been said before obout the nature of dream
 - 35 प्राया १ स्वताहि । स्वयायात्रयम्यायः शार्थः आमा प्राप्ते नारपान्यस्य उत्सवन्याति वत्रतदश्योच्यामा भवति ।
- As (1) a well laden eart (2) moves on notedy so the embodied soul, directed by the omniscient soul (3) at the time when breathing its list
- (1) Honco to the end of this Brahmana and the commoncement of the next the worldly state of the soul is described. To explain the first proposition that the soul proceeds from the body of which it is presently possessed to another body in the some manner on proceeds from dream to the state of waking on illustration is given—S
 - (2) Directed by the driver -5
- (3) The supreme soul which is self shining light in its own nature -S
 - 36 स व्यावनीमान न्येति जग्या वापतवता वाणिमान निगच्छति तद्यवाम पीटच्या वा पिष्पट वा वस्त्रवाहमुख्यत एवमवाय पुरुष फयोडङ्गेस्य स्वतुष्ट्य पुरु प्रतित्याय प्रतियास्यादवित प्राणायमः

When it gains its subtle state (1), when it obtains its subtle state by old age or disease, then, as the fruit of the mango tree, or of the glomerate fig-tree, or of the holy fig tree becomes free from its bond (2), becomes free this Purusa from those members (3) and proceeds again (4) in the reverse order (5) to its birthplace for (the obtaining of) a body

- (1) At what time does the soul attain this state, by what cause, in what manner, and for what end? The answer is given as follows. The time is when man assumes the subtle body, the cause of it is either old age or disease, the manner is illustrated by number of similes to show the various ways in which the event may happen, and the end is the assuming of another body. S'
 - (2) This Purusa who abides in subtle body S
- (3) From the eye and the other members of the body which he does not preserve as before in profound sleep (vide p 192) (12), by the agency of life S
- (4) As before, when quitting one body and assuming another S'
- (5) In the order opposite to that of his entrance into the body S'
 - 37 तद्यथा राजानमायान्तमुश्राः प्रत्येनसः सूत्रप्रामण्योऽनैः पानैरावसथैः प्रतिकलपन्तेऽयमायान्ययमागच्छतीत्येव हैवविद सर्वाणि मूतानि प्रतिकलप्यन्त इद ब्रह्मायातीदमागच्छतीति ।
- As (1), on the approach of the king, men of violent deeds (2), (and) such as are addicted to every crime, charioteers and governors of villages stand prepared with food and drink and palaces, (saying,) he comes, he

approaches, so on (the approach of) the conscious one (3) all beings (3) stand prepared (saving) this Brahman comes this Brahman approaches.

- (1) But how can the Purusa build another body, himself having no power in absence of any assistants? The present section gives the answer —S
- (2) Ugra either means people of a particular tribe or men of violent deeds -5
- (3) Example he who knows the finit derived from work the worldly soul -S
- (4) All beings such as Adits a upon whom the existence of holy depends, and who render assistance to the organs for the performance of their work
 - ३६ त्राच्या राजा प्रविदासन्त कुल च वेनल सुक्रान्ययोऽभित्र-महन्त्यम् । व सन्त क्षां व प्राप्त अभिलगदन्ति यमत्रकृतिय्यामी भवति ॥

As when the king is desirons of coming men of violent deeds, (and) such as are addicted to every crime characters and governors of villages go to meet him so it the time of death all the organs (1) go to meet the soul, when breathing its last

(1) Speech and the rest -S

POURTH BRAHMANA

1 म यत्रापमा माजल्य न्येन्यमभाइमित्र न्येल्येनेमेत प्राणा अभिममाजन्ति म प्रतान्तज्ञामात्रा समस्याददानो हृद्य в 4 मेवान्ववक्रामित स यत्रैष चाक्षुषः पुरुषः पराड् पर्यावर्ततेऽयारूपज्ञो भवति ॥

When the soul (1), after having come to a state, where it has no strength (as it were) (2), comes to a state of unconsciousness, as it were (3), then the organs go to meet it. Having wholly seized those organs which are throughout resplendent with light (4), the soul enters the heart (5) When the Purusa dwelling in the eye (6), altogether returns, then (the soul) is unconscious of colour

- (1) It has been declared, that the Purusa is liberated from his bodily members, at what time and in what manner this liberation takes place, is now more fully to be described S.
- (2) No strength, the want of strength of the body is here transferred to the soul, although in reality it cannot be attributed to it.
- (3) No consciousness, no power of reflection, as reflection also is the effect of the act of transferring, for to the soul neither reflection nor its absence can be ascribed, this sense is indicated in the text by the term "as it were" S.
- (4) They are resplendent by their power of manifestation.—S
 - (5) The heart, the ether of the heart S.
- (6) The Purusa, dwelling in the eye, is a part of Aditya (the deity of the sun), who for the benefit of the soil in its worldly function- remains in the eye as long as life last. After death he quits his office, and is reunited with Aditya—S

2 एकीभगति न पद्मतीन्याद्देकामगति न िम्मीन्याद्देकीभगति न म्मयत व्याद्देकीभगति न म्हणतीन्याद्देकीभगति न स्थातीं व्याद्देकीभगति न विज्ञाति विज्ञ

He is one (1) he does not see as it is said. He is one he does not smell as it is said. He is one he does not tasto as it is said. He is one he does not speak as it is said. He is one he does not speak as it is said. He is one he does not hear as it is said. He is one he does not touch as it is said. He is one he does not know as it is said. He is one he does not

- (1) With all his organs or they become ene with the subtile soul
- (2) Becomes luminous as in dream by the light of the seul -S

- (3) The soul, characterised by knowledge, and placed in the subtile body S'
- (4) From the eye, in order to obtain the world of Aditya, from the head to obtain that of Brahman, and so with regard to the other parts of the body according to man's good or evil actions S'
- (5) Endowed with knowledge, viz, with such knowledge as it has in dream, which is a knowledge of impression referring to their respective objects. And this knowledge is the effect of actions, not of the soul. S'
- (6) Knowledge, which is enjoyed, such as refers to the soul, which is prohibited, such as looking at a naked woman, which is not enjoyed and not prohibited, knowledge of different objects. The same classification applies to work A.G.
 - 3. तद्याथा तृणज्ञलायुका तृणस्यान्त गत्वान्यमाक्रममाक्रम्यात्मान-मुपसप्हरत्येवमेवायमात्मेदप् शरीरं निहत्याविद्या गमेथित्वा-न्यमाक्रममाक्रम्यात्मानमुपसप्हरति ॥

As a leech when arrived at the top of a blade of grass, in order to gain another place of support, contracts itself, so the soul, in order to gain another place of support, contracts itself, after having thrown off this body and obtained (that state of) knowledge (1)

- (1) Which is founded upon impressions as in a dream
 - 4 तद्यथा पेशस्कारी पेजसो भात्रासुपाढायान्यन्नवतर कल्याणतर र रूपं तनुत एवमेवायमात्मेद्र शरीरं निहत्याविद्या गमयित्वा-न्यन्नवतरं कल्याणतर्र रूपं कुरुते पित्र्य वा गान्धर्व वा दैवं वा प्राजापत्यं वा ब्राह्मं वान्येषा वा भूतानाम् ॥

As a goldsmith taking a piece of gold forms an other shape which is more now and agreeable so throwing off this body and obtaining (that state of) knowledge the soul forms a shape which is more now and agreeable either suited to the world of the fore fathers or of the Gandharvas or of the gods or of Prayapati or of Brahma or of the other beings

5 स वा खयमत्सा ब्रह्म विज्ञानमयो मनोम प्राणमन्त्रशुमय श्रोजमन पृथिवीमय आपोमयो वातुमय आकागमयस्तेजोमयोऽ तेनामन काममयोऽकाममय क्रोधमयोऽक्रोधमयो धममयोऽधममय सवमनस्त्रव्यतिद्वमोऽजोमन इति यामारी ययाचारी तम भगति साधुकारी साधुमति पापकारा पापी मवति पुण्य पुण्येन काणा मगति पाप पापेन । अपो सक्ताद्व काममन प्वाय पुरुष इति स याकामो भगति तत्वतुमगति यत्क्रतुमगति तत्कम वुरुते यत्कम वुरुने तत्मिसपचते ॥

This soul (1)—which is Brahman (2) which resembles knowledge (3) mind, life (4) eye (5) ear, earth (6), water air ether light not light (7), desire, not desire (8) wrath not wrath virtue not virtile (9) which resembles all, which is this which is not this—becomes as are its work (10) and conduct. Ho whose works are good becomes good he whose works are evil becomes evil. By holy works one becomes tooly by ovil works, evil. Likewise (others) say (11) this Puruşa has the nature of desire. As his desire so is his resolve as his resolve so is his work, so is his reward (12)

- (1) The soul, which proceeds from the bodily state to another S'
 - (2) Brahman in his true nature
 - (3) Intellect
 - (4) The five vital functions.
- (5) By the perception of colour, and thus it resembles the other organs by the perception of their objects.
 - (6) By the assuming of an earthly body S'
- (7) Light, by assuming the body of a god, not light, by assuming the body of a brute, etc --S'
 - (8) When discovering, that a desire is wrong S
- (9) Through desire and wrath, etc, man becomes victous or virtuous, no action being possible without previous desire, by the performance of good or bad actions he becomes all, as the world in its manifested state is the effect of virtue and vice —S'
- (10) Works, which are expressly enjoined or prohibited, conduct which is not expressly enjoined, or prohibited
- (11) It is true, that vice and virtue are the causes of worldly existence, provided they are preceded by desire, etc. S
- (12) Therefore is desire the root of the whole world S
 - 6. तदेष श्लोको भवति । तदेव सक्तः सह कर्मणैति लिङ्गं मनो यत्र निषक्तमस्य । प्राप्यान्तं कर्मणस्तस्य यत्किचेह करोत्ययम् । तस्माल्लोकात्पुनरैत्यस्मे लोकाय कर्मण इति नु कामयमानोऽन् थाकामयमानो योऽकामो निष्काम आप्तकाम आत्मकामो न तस्य प्राणा उत्क्रायनित ब्रह्मेव सन्ब्रह्माप्येति ॥

Here applies this memorial verse, He who is attached (1) (to worldly objects), obtains by means of

work the object to which his mind is the cause is attached. Having arrived at the last (effect) of the work which he here performs he comes from that world again to this world in consequence of (his) work. Thus he who desires (winders from world to world). But the organs of him who does not desire (2), who has ne desires who is beyond desires, whose desires are satisfied whose desire is the soul do not depart (from the body) (3). Being even Brahman (4) he obtains Brahman (5)

- (1) Has a desire to obtain any object
- (2) For he whe dees not desire dees not act -S
 - (3) There being no cause for it -S
- (4) In this world although yet remaining in the body —S
 - (5) After his death —S
 - 7 तदेष श्लोका भवति । यदा सर्वे प्रमुच्यन्ते कामा येऽस्य हृदि श्लिता । अन्य मत्योऽभृतो भनत्यत्र इहा समरन्त इति । तदानाहिनिल्वयनी वल्मीके मृता प्रत्यस्ता शयात्त्रमेवेन्य्य शरीग्यशेतेऽनायमशरीराऽमृत प्राणो ब्रह्मेव तेज एव सोऽह भगनते सहस्र ददामीति होवाच जनको वेदेह ॥

Here applies this inemorial verse,— When all desires dwelling in the heart (1) have been quitted then the mortal becomes immortal (2) (then) he enjoys here (3) Brahman 'An the slough of a snake as (something) dead is abandoned on an ant hill, so is this body (by the soul) Then this uncorporeal, immortal

- life (4) is even Brahman, even light" (5). "I will give thee, O Venerable, a thousand (cows)," said Janaka, the king of the Videhās
 - (1) In intellect
- (2) The desires which refer to what is not the soul, characterised by ignorance, are death, by separation from death, immortality ensues S
 - (3) In this body -S'.
 - (4) Life means here Brahman, the supreme soul
- (5) The self-shining light of the soul, by which the world is manifested
 - 8. तदेते श्लोका भवन्ति । अणुः पन्था विततः पुराणो मार् स्पृष्टोऽनुवित्तो भयेव । तेन धीरा अपि यन्ति ब्रह्मविदः स्वर्ग छोकमित अर्ध्व विसुक्ताः ॥
- "Here apply these memorial verses (1), "The narrow (2), wide-extended (3), ancient (4) road is touched by me, fully obtained by me On this (road) proceed (5) also the (other) sages who know Brahman, to heaven (6), to (their) place, when liberated from this body.
- (1) The following Slokas are intended to explain more fully the view, obtained in the Mantra Brahmana, that liberation ensues for him whose only object of desire is the soul and who has the knowledge of Brahman S'
 - (2) Narrow from the difficulty in discovering it S'
- (3) Instead of vitata (wide extended) another reading, mentioned by S', is vitara (which causes man to turn from the common road to Brahman)

- (4) Because It is taught by the eternal Sruti -S
- (5) Proceed to obtain liberation the effect resulting from the knowledge of Brahman —S
 - (6) Heaven means here the supreme Brahman -S
 - ९ तिसम्बद्धम्मुत नीलमाद्व पिङ्गल्य हित लोहित च । एप पन्या मलगा हानुविचस्तेनैति त्रलवित्पुण्यकृत्तेनसथ ॥

Hero (in this dissent) (1) (Somo) call it white some blue, some yellow, or green or red (2). The road is fully penetrated by Brahman. On this (road) proceeds he who knows Brahman who has been a door of good (3) whose nature is like light.

- (1) Among those who are desirous of liberation -S
- (2) They consider the road either to be those vessels like Susumma which from the juice they contain (vide p 227 and Chh U 8 6 1) are white etc or to be the same with the path that leads to Aditya But Brahman is different from any of those colours the road which leads to him bears no relation to the world those therefore who proceed by any particular road as by the eye, the head or any other part of the body gain the world of Brahman ote, but not liberation which is only gained by knowledge of Brahman S
- (3) Who has been a door of good thius I translated in accordance with S s correct remark that he has been so in a former time before he attained perfect knowledge as on its attainment every desire has coased to exist.
 - 10 अन्व तम प्रविचानित पेऽविचामुपासते । ततो मूय इव ते तमो य उ विद्याया∨ रता ॥

Those who worship ignorance (1) onter into gloomy darkness (2) into still greater darkness those who are dovoted to knowledge (3)

- (1) Those who worship any objects different from the object of knowledge, that is to say, are those who are engaged in action S'
- (2) Darkness means any place where the nature of the soul is unknown S'
- (3) Knowledge, which has reference to the objects of ignorance, even the knowledge of the three Vedas as they are intended for the performance of work S Vide Vaj S U 9 (B I vol. 15, p 73 note), where the same Sloka occurs
 - 11. अनन्दा नाम ते लोका अन्धेन तमसावृताः । ताप्स्ते प्रेलामि-गच्छन्सविद्वाप्सोऽबधो जनाः ॥

To the so-named blissless (1) worlds, covered with gloomy darkness, go all the people, when departing (from this world) who are ignorant, unintelligent (2).

- (1) This passage is similar to that in Vaj S, 3, where instead of "blissless" the term "godless" occurs.
- (2) Who are incapable of comprehending the soul. S.
 - 12 आत्मान चेद्विजानीयादयमस्मीति पूरुषः । किमिच्छन्कस्य कामाय शरीरमनुसंज्वरेत् ॥

If one knows (1) the soul (2) so as to comprehend it as his own self, then for what desire or for whose wish should he suffer the ills of the body?

- (1) To extol the knowledge of the soul, the text declares that he who has this knowledge is exempt from bodily ills \bar{A} G
 - (2) The supreme soul as his own self.

13 पत्नानुतित प्रसिद्ध का अस्ति निरोगाहा प्रति । स विध-कृत्र हि पत्स्य क्या तस्य टाक प उटाक प्राप्त

He whose soul penetrated (and) illumined by the supreme (Brahman) has entered this (body) which abounds with doubts and perplexities is the creator of the universe for he is the lord of all he is the place of it he is even the place (1)

- (1) One soul of all 5
- 14 रहेन जाणाउथ विकासका चेरावरीच्यां। विज्ञाण । ये तांद्रदुरम् तास्य सरस्यवेद र दुरस्यानियाति ॥

Being here (1) we know perhaps (Brahman) if we do not know him if there beignorance (of him) then great calamity (2) (ensues). Those who know him become immortal again (all) others undergo even unhappiness.

- (1) That one who knows Brahman has obtained highest object of life is not only proved by the Sruti but also by his own experience A G
- (*) Calamity by being subject to endless transmigrations from one body to another —S Vide a similar passage in Kona U 2 J
 - 15 वर्रतालुन चा बार देवमत्तामा है बन भूतकरूलया ततो विद्र राष्ट्रा ।

When a person beholds (his own) soul as god, as the true Ruler of what was and what is to be, then he does not wish to concerl (his self) from him (1)

- (1) From the Ruler, for all persons who perceive themselves different from him wish to conceal themselves from $God\ S'$
 - 16 यस्भादर्वाक्संवत्सरोऽहोिमः परिवर्तते । तद्देवा ज्योतिषा ज्योति-रायहोपासतेऽमृतम् ॥

Adore him, ye gods, after whom (1) the year by rolling days is completed, the light of lights, as the immortal life.

- (1) Ruler, Vide Kath U, 6, 3 and Taitt. U, 2, 8
- 17. यस्मिन्पञ्च पञ्चजना आकाशश्च प्रतिष्ठितः। तमेव मन्य आत्मानं विद्वान्त्रह्मामृतोऽमृतम् ॥

I, the wise, immortal comprehend as the immortal Brahman, the soul upon which the five (kinds of) beings (1) and the ether (2) are founded.

- (1) Viz, the Gandharvas, the fore-fathers, the gods, the Asuras and the Rākṣasas, or the five castes, including the Niṣādas S.
- (2) The ether upon which every thing is woven and rewoven. S'
 - 18. प्राणस्य प्राणमुत चक्षुषश्चक्षुरुत श्रोत्रस्य श्रोत्र मनसो ये मनो विदु: । ते निचिक्युर्वक्ष पुराणमध्यम् ॥

Those who know him as the life of life, the eye of the eye, the ear of the ear (1), (and) the mind of the mind, have comprehended the old, before existing Brahman

- (1) For by themselves without the light of Brahman or all these organs manimate like a tree or a cled of earth —S Vide a similar passage in Kona U 1 2 katha U 6 2 and Taitt U 2 8
 - 19 मनमैयानुब्द्धय नेह नानास्ति किंचन । मृत्यो स मृत्युभागोति य इह नानच प्रत्यति ॥

By the mind is ho to be seen (1) in him there is no variety. Whoever sees variety in him, proceeds from death to death (2)

- (1) Katha U 4 11 Svot 4 17
- (2) Vide a similar passage in Katha U 1 10 and 11
- प्रतिवानुद्धयमेतः प्रमेष ध्रुपम् । विग्ज पर आकाशाद्ज आत्मा महान्ध्रय ॥

In one manner (only) (1) is to be seen (the being) which cannot be proved (2), which is eternal without spot (3) higher than the ether (4), unborn (5) the great eternal soul

- (1) That is to say in an uniform manner without any distinction
- (2) It cannot be proved because it is without difference uniform for proof is only possible by means of another thing but Brahman is one and there is nothing beside him. Here appears to be a contradiction—it cannot be proved and yet it is known that is to say it cannot be comprehended by proof. This objection however has here no force because the prohibition refers to the relation between proof and object of preof concerning common things but has no authority respecting the Sruti.—

- (3) Without virtue or vice S
- (4) The ether signifies unmanifested state of the world, and "higher" either more subtle or more pervading S
- (5) Unborn, by this epithet all other modifications are excluded, because every thing must have first an origin before it can have any relation. S.
 - 21. तमेव धीरो विज्ञाय प्रज्ञा कुर्वीत ब्राह्मणः । नानुध्यायाद्वहुञ्ळ्दान्वाची विग्लापन्य हि तदिति ॥

Knowing (1) him let the wise Brāhmana form his notion after him, let him not meditate on many sounds (2); for words are embarrassing

- (1) Knowing, according to the instruction of the teacher and the Sastra
- (2) Because plurality is forbidden, and it is said "By Om" meditate on the soul S.
 - 22 स वा एप महाजन आत्मा योय विज्ञानमयः प्राणेपु य एपोऽन्तर्हदय आकाशस्तिस्मिन्छेते सर्वस्य वशी सर्वस्येशानः सर्वस्याधिपतिः स न साधुनां कर्मणां भूयान्तो एवासाधुनां कर्नीयानेप सर्विश्वर एप भृताथिपतिरेप भूतपाल एप सेतुर्विद्यरण एपा लोकानामसभेवाय तमेत वेदानुवचनेन ब्राह्मणां विविदिपन्ति यज्ञेन दानेन तपसान नाशकेनेतमेव विदित्या मुनिर्भवति एतमेव प्रश्राज्ञिनो लोकमिन्छन्तः प्रश्राज्ञिनते एतम् स्म वेतत्पूर्वे विद्या सन्त न कामयन्ते कि प्रश्राया करिष्यामो येपा नोऽयमात्माऽय लोक इति ते ह स्म पुत्रेन प्राथ्या वित्तेपणायाश्च लोकपणायाश्च व्युत्यायाय मिसाचर्य चरन्ति या होव पुत्रेपणां सा वित्तेपणा या वित्तेपणां सा लोक-प्रणोमें होते एपणे एवं भनतः । स एप नेति नेत्यत्माग्रह्यां नहि

मृ ।तेऽ || ग्रे| निष्ट शीयतेऽसङ्गा निह् सन्यतेऽमितो न स्यथत न ित्यत्येत हुँदैने न सम्त इसत पाप (अस्प्रिमियत चल्याम क स्वन्त्यिम उ हुँसैन पर्ते साति नैन प्रताकृ । सपत ||

This great (1) unbora soul is the same which abides as the intelligent (soul) is all living creatures the same which abides as other (2) is the heart in his it sleeps it is the subduer of all the (3) Ruler of all, the sovereign lord of all it dees not become are iter by good works nor less by evil work. It is the Ruler of all, the sovereign lord of all beings (1) the Preserver (5) of all beings the bridge (b) the Upholder of the worlds (7) so that they fall not to ruin. In accordance with the werd of the Vedas (8) the Brilimanns (9) desire to comprehead him by significe (10) wift ascetic work (11) and subduing of desires (12) One who knows him thus becomes a Muni (13) Desiring hiai as (their) place the wandering mendicants wander about (14). This is indeed the cruse of the state of wandering (meadicant) that the ancient sages did not desire offspring (15) (thinking by themselves) -What shall we do by menas of offspring Those to whom, (like) us (16) the soul (17) is the (supreme) place lead the life of a religious mendicant, after they have abandoned the desire for a son the desire for wealth and the desire for (heavenly) places, for the desire for a son is the same as the desire for wealth the desire for wealth is the same as the desire for (heavenly) places for both are even desires The soul (18) which is not this ner that, ner aught clse, is intangible, for it cannot be laid hold of, it is not to be dissipated, for it cannot be dissipated, it is without contact, for it does not come into contact, it is not limited, it is not subject to pain nor to destruction, those (19) two do verily not subdue him, therefore (he does not say.) I have done evil, or I have done good. He subdues them both, neither good nor evil deeds agitate him

- (1) Bondage and liberation, together with their causes, have been described in the Mantras, Brāhmanas, and Slokas, again, the nature of liberation has been fully explained. The present section has the object to show the relation which the whole Veda bears to the knowledge of the supreme Brahman. S'
- (2) Ether, the abode of intellect and knowledge, or it may be, according to S', the ether, abiding in the internal organ at the time of profound sleep, that is to say, the supreme soul without attributes, whose nature is knowledge, his own nature. In this his own nature, or in the supreme soul which is called ether, he sleeps
 - (3) Of Brahma, Indra, etc S'
 - (4) From Brahman down to manimate matter S'
 - (5) Of the rules of the castes and orders, etc S
 - (6) Vide Chh U., 8, 4
 - (7) From the earth up to the Brahma world -- S'
 - (8) That is to say, Mantras and Brāhmanas S'
- (9) The Brāhmanas indicate here the three first castes, for there is no difference between them with regard to knowledge $\,S'\,$
- (10) Sacrifice, or ceremonial work in general, although not a direct means of producing the knowledge of Brahman.

is necessary to purify the mind when the mind is so purified knowledge is possible no obstacle opposing it —S

- (11) Ascetic work as the Candrayana says S which is a kind of fasting for the expiation of \sin
- (12) Literally abstaining from food The three first obligations (sacrifice gift and ascetic work) include all the permanent works cajoined by the Vedas and the last (fasting) on abstaining from desires By those means a desire to comprehend the soul is produced ---S
- (13) Muni mananat muni a Yogi who while yet alive has obtained liberation —S
- (14) That is to say they have abandoned all works -S
- (15) Offspring indicates work and the knowledge of the inferior Brahman as the cause of obtaining the three external worlds -S
- (16) Like us who have the true knowledge of the soul —S
 - (17) In its own nature
- (18) If it he admitted that the soul is the place why is there a means required for obtaining it and for what reason is the tato of wandering mendicant necessary since it is said work should not be entered upon? The answer is the soul for whose desire one should enter the state of wandering mendicant has no connection with works Why? It evidently follows from such negations as it cannot be seized Because the soul thus comprehended viz nondent of work cause and effect free from every worldly attribute beyond every desire not possessed of grossness and the like attributes unborn undecaying immortal beyond fear like a lump of rock salt of one uniform nature which is knowledge a self shining light one alone without duality without beginning without end not within not without because this is established by the Sruti and by discussion especially by the conversation between Janaka

and Yājñavalkya, therefore, it is also established, that no work is entered into, if the soul be thus comprehended S.

(19) Sin and virtue

23. तदेतदृचाभ्युक्तम् । एव नित्यो महिमा ब्राह्मणस्य न वर्धते कर्मणा नो कनीयान् । तस्यैव स्यात्पदिवत्तं विदित्वा न लिप्यते कर्मणा पापकेनेति तस्मादेविवच्छान्तो दान्त उपरतिस्तितिष्ठुः समाहितो भूत्वात्मन्येवात्मान पश्यित सर्वमात्मानं पश्यित नैन पाप्मा तरित सर्व पाप्मान तरित नैन पाप्मा तपित सर्व पाप्मानं तपित विपापो विरजोऽविचिकित्सो ब्राह्मणो भवत्येष ब्रह्मलोकः सम्राङेनं प्रापितोऽसीति होवाच याज्ञवल्क्यः सोऽह भगवते विदेहान् ददामि मा चापि सह दास्यायेति ॥

The same (1) is said in the following Rk, "The eternal greatness of the Brāhmana is neither increased by work (2), nor diminished (3) Let him even know the nature of that (greatness), knowing that (greatness), he is not stained by evil work (4) Therefore one who thus knows, who has subdued his senses (5), who is calm (6), free from all desires, enduring (7), and composed in mind (8), beholds the soul in the soul alone, beholds the whole soul, sin does not subdue him, he subdues sin, sin does not consume him, he consumes sin (9) He is free from sin, free from doubt, he is pure, he is the (true) Brahmana, this is the (true) world of Brahman, O king of kings," thus spoke Yajñavalkya "I will give thee, O Venerable, the kingdom of the Videhas, and my own self, to become thy slave."

- (1) The same which has been said in the Brahmana is also declared in a Mantra —S
 - (2) By goed work
 - (3) By earl work
 - (4) Or exalted by good work
 - (5) The external senses -S
- (6) Who has overcome the desires of the internal organ —S
- (7) Capablo of bearing such opposite agents as hunger and thirst heat and cold ete -5
- (8) Having fixed his attention upon one point only -S
- (9) Ho consume sin hy the fire of the knowledge of the soul —S
 - 24 स वा एप महान न आत्मानादो वसुदानो निन्दते वसु य एव वेट ॥

This soul (1) is great unborn, the consumer of food (2) the giver of wealth. Whoever thus knows, obtains wealth

- (1) That is to say the soul who consture has been explained in the conversation between Janaka and Yājūa valkya -S
 - (2) Abiding in all beings consuming every food
 - 25 स वा एप महानज आत्मानराऽमरोऽमृताऽभयो ब्रह्माभय वै ब्रह्मा-भय× हि वे ब्रह्मा भयति य एव वर ॥

This (1) great unborn undecaying immortal fearless soul is Brahman Brahman is verily fearless he who thus knows becomes verily the fearless-Brahman.

(1) The meaning of the whole \bar{A} ranyaka is expressed in the present section S'

FIFTH BRAHMANA (1)

1 अथ ह याजवल्क्यस्य द्वे भार्ये वभूवतुमेत्रेयी च कात्यायनी च तयोई मेत्रेयी ब्रह्मवादिनी वभूव स्त्रीप्रज्ञेय तर्हि कात्यायन्यथ ह याज-वल्क्योऽन्यद्वृत्तमुपाकरिष्यन् ॥

Yājñavalkya then had two wives, Maitreyī and Kātyāyanī Among them, Maitreyī was fond of discussing the nature of Brahman, Kātyāyani wise in the duties of a house-wife Yājñavalkya was desirous of attaining another order superior (to that of house-holder)

(1) The present Brāhmana, with the exception of the first section and part of the fourteenth and fifteenth sections, is a literal repetition of the fourth Brāhmana in the second chapter, vide pp 235-244 Sankara's explanation of this circumstance is ingenious, although somewhat strained We give it here in order that the reader may judge for himself. The nature of Brahman has been determined in the Madhukānda, the principal part of the S'āstra, and also in the Brahmakānda. Again it has been thoroughly sifted by discussion in the Yājñavalkyakānda, which is the principal part as to the method by which that knowledge is acquired. And lastly, it has been declared after full discussion by means of the relation between disciple and teacher in the fourth chapter. The Maitreyi Brāhmana

serves there to show the conclusion after the manner of the logicians who define a conclusion to be 'the repotition of the proposition because the reason has been stated (Nyaya Satras 1 38). The proposition here referred to is that the knowledge of Brahman when accompanied with the renunclation of the world is the means by which immortality is attained.

- 2 मैत्रपाति होताच यानवेल्यच प्रतिन्यन्ता अर्ज्जगल्मारल्यानावृक्ति इन्त तेऽनया कान्यायन्त्रात्त कावागीति ॥
- 'Mnitrey! said Yajaavalkya 'Behold I am desirous of quitting this order for that of a wandering mendiciat therefore let me divide (my property) amongst thee and Katyayani there
 - 3 सा हाताच मैत्रेया यसु म इय भगा मना पृत्रिनी नित्त । प्रणा स्पारत्या न्यार तेनामृताहाॐ नति गति होता त्यानपत्यस्य स् पर्यनोपपरणाता नामित स्वत्र सं जीवित स्थारमृतत्त्वस्य स् नामास्ति वित्तनति ॥

Maitrey I said,— If O Venerable this whole world with all its wealth were mine could I become immortal thereby? Yajaavalkya said, "By ne means Like the life of the wealthy thy life might become by wealth, however, there is no hope of (obtaining) immortality

4 सा होताच मेत्रेया येनाह नामृता स्या किमह तन क्षुया यदव भगनान्वेद तदेव म विज्ञहाति॥

Maitreyi said -- Of what use would be wealth to me, if I did act become thereby immertal Tell me, O Venerable, any (means of obtaining immortality) which thou knowest."

5. स होवाच याज्ञवल्क्यः प्रिया वै खलु नो भवती सती प्रियमदृवद्धः त तर्हि भवत्येतद्ध्याख्याख्याम ते व्याचक्षाणस्य ते मे निदिध्या-सस्वेति ॥

Yājñavalkya said, "Behold, (thou wast) dear to us before (and now) sayest thou what is dear Come, sit down, I will explain to thee (the means of obtaining immortality), endeavour to comprehend my explanation."

6 स होवाच न वा अरे पत्य: कामाय पति: प्रियो भवत्यात्मनस्त कामाय पति: प्रियो भवति न वा अरे जायायै कामाय जाया प्रिया भवत्या-त्मेनस्तु कामाय जाया प्रिया मवति न वा अरे पुत्राणा कामाय पुत्राः प्रिया भवन्त्यात्भनस्त कामाय पुत्राः प्रिया भवन्ति न वा अरे वित्तस्य कामाय वित्त प्रियं भवत्यात्मनस्तु कामाय वित्त प्रिय भवति न वा अरे पशूना कामाय प्रजवः प्रिधा भवन्त्यात्मनस्तु कामाय पश्वः प्रिया भवन्ति न वा अरे ब्रह्मणः कामाय ब्रह्म प्रियं भवत्यात्मनस्तु कामाय ब्रह्म प्रिय भवति न वा अरे क्षत्रस्य कामाय क्षत्रं प्रियं भवलात्मनस्त् कामाय क्षत्रं प्रियं भवति न वा और लोकाना कामाय लोकाः प्रिया भवन्त्यात्मनस्तु कामाय लोकाः प्रिया भवन्ति न वा अरे देवाना कामाय देवाः प्रिया भवन्त्यात्मनस्तु काभाय देवा: प्रिया भवन्ति न वा अरे वेदाना काभाय वेदा: प्रिया भवन्त्यात्मनस्तु कामाय वेदाः प्रिया भवन्ति न वा अरे भूताना कामाय भूतानि प्रियाणि भवन्त्यात्मनस्तु कामाय भूतानि प्रियाणि भवन्ति न वा अरे सर्वस्य कामाय सर्व प्रिय भवत्यात्म-

नम्तु कामाय सर्व प्रिय भनित आत्मा वा अरे ८८८४ श्रोतत्र्यो मन्तत्र्यो निदिष्यासितत्यो मैनेत्र्यात्मनि खल्बरे दृष्टे श्रुते मते विज्ञात इद्रूपस्य निन्तम् ॥

He said,-"Behold, not indeed for the husbands sake the husband is dear (to the wife) but for the arke of the self is dear the husband. Behold not indeed for the wife's anke the wife is dear (to the husband) but for the sake of the self is dear the wife. Behold not for the sons sake the sons are dear (to the parents) but for the sake of the self are dear the sons. Behold not for the property's sake property is dear (to one) but for the sake of self is property dear Behold, not for the Brahman's sike the Brahman is dear but for the sake of solf is dear the Brahman Behold, not for the Kenttra's sake is the Kenttra dear but for the sake of the self is dear the Ksattra Bohold, not for the worlds sake the worlds are dear, but for the sake of the self are dear the worlds. Behold not for the gods sake the gods are dear, but for the sake of the self are dear the gods Behold not for the Vedas sake are the Vedas pear but for the sake of the self are dear the Vedas Behold not for the elements are dear, but for the sake of the self are dear the elements Behold not for the sake of the universe the universe is dear but for the sake of the self is dear the universe. Behold the self is verily to be seen heard minded (and) meditated upon Behold O Maitreyl, by seoing hearing minding knowing the solf all this universe is comprehended

- 7 ब्रह्म तं परादाद्योऽन्यत्रात्मेनो ब्रह्म वेढ क्षत्र तं परादाद्योऽन्यत्रात्मेनः क्षत्रं वेद लोकास्त परादुर्योऽन्यत्रात्मेनो लोकान्वेद देवास्तं परा- दुर्योऽन्यत्रात्मेनो देवान्वेद वेदास्त परादुर्योऽन्यत्रात्मेनो वेदान्वेद भूतानि तं परादुर्योऽन्यत्रात्मेनो भूतानि वेद सर्व तं परादाद्योऽन्यत्रात्मेन सर्व वेदेदं ब्रह्मेद क्षत्रिमिमे लोका इमे देवा इमे वेदा इमानि भूतानीद्र सर्व यदयमात्मा ॥
- "The Brahman should disown a person, who considers the Brahman caste as something different from his self, the Ksattra should disown a person, who considers the Ksattra caste as something different from his self the world should disown a person who considers the world as something different from his self, the gods should disown a person, who considers the gods as something different from (his) self, the elements should disown a person who considers the elements as something different from (his) self, the universe should disown a person, who considers the universe as something different from (his) self. This (own) self is this Brahman, this Ksattra, these worlds, these gods, these elements, is this universe
 - 8. स यथा दुन्दु मेहिन्यमानस्य न बाह्याञ्छ्वाञ्छकुथाद्प्रहणाय दुन्दु मेस्तु प्रहणेन दुन्दुम्याधातस्य वा शञ्दो गृहीत. ॥
- "As a person, when a drum (unseen by him) is beaten, is unable to perceive the sounds proceeding from it (as sounds of a drum), but on the perception of the drum the sound of a drum beaten is perceived.

9 स यथा श्रद्धस्य ध्मायमानस्य न वाधाञ्च्राञ्च्यु अद्भ्रहणाय श्रद्धस्य तु प्रविभ शङ्गध्यस्य वा शञ्जो गृहीत ।।

As a person, when a shell (unseen by him) is blown is unable to perceive the sounds preceding from it (as sounds of a shell) but on the perception of the shell the sound of a shell blown is perceived

- 10 स यथा वाणाये वादामानार्य न वासमञ्जलाञ्च कुषादमहणाय वीणाये तु प्रहणेन वीणाना स्य वा अले गृहीत ॥
- 'As a person, when a flute unseen to him is played, is unable to perceive the seunds proceeding from it but on the perception of the flute the sound of a flute played is perceived.
 - 11 स यगड्याग्नेरम्याहितन्य पृगण्यमा निमिधस्त्येव वा अरङ्स्य महती भृतम्य नि श्वसितमेतद्यत्वये युवेद सामवेदोऽन्नाङ्गित्स इतिलास पुगण विचा उपनिष्य स्पेता सूत्राण्यतुत्याच्यानानि व्याच्यानानीष्टर द्वतमाञ्चित पायितमत्र च लोक प्रथ लोक सत्राणि च भृतान्यस्यर्वतानि सवाणि नि श्वसितानि ॥
- 'As from fire made of damp wood, proceed saleke, sparks etc of various kind thus, beheld the breathing of this great being is the Rg Veda, the Yajur Veda the Sama Veda the Atharva and Angirasa the narratives, the dectrines on creation the science the Upanisads the memerial verses, the apherisms, the explanation of tenets the explanation of mantras—all these are his breathing

- 12. स यथा सर्वासमपाप समुद्र एकायनमेवप सर्वेषाप स्पर्शाना त्वगेकायनमेवप सर्वेषा गन्धाना नासिके एकायनमेवप सर्वेषाप स्तानां जिह्वैकायनमेवप सर्वेषाप स्त्रपाणा चक्षुरेकायनमेवप सर्वेषाप शावनमेवप सर्वेषाप शावनमेवप सर्वेषा संकल्पाना मन एकायनमेवप सर्वासा विद्यानाप हृदयमेकायनमेवप सर्वेषा कर्मणाप हस्तावेकायनमेवप सर्वेषामानन्दानामुपस्य एकायनमेवप सर्वेषा विसर्गाणा पायुरेकायनमेवप सर्वेषामध्वना पादावेकायनमेवप सर्वेषा विद्याना वागेकायनम् ॥
- "As the only site of the waters is the sea, thus the only site of every touch is the skin, thus the only site of every taste the tongue, thus the only site of every smell the nose, thus the only site of every colour the eye, thus the only site of every sound the ear, thus the only site of every determination the mind, thus the only site of every knowledge the heart, thus the only site of every act the hands, thus the only site of every pleasure the organ of generation, thus the only site of every evacuation the anus, thus the only site of every motion the feet, thus the only site of every motion the feet, thus
 - 13. स यथा सैन्वववनोऽनन्तरोऽबाह्यः कृत्ह्यो रसघन एवैवं वा अरेऽयमात्माऽनन्तरोऽबाह्यः कृत्ह्यः प्रज्ञानवन एवैतेम्यो भूतेम्यः समुत्थाय तान्येवानुविनश्यति न प्रेत्य संज्ञास्तीत्यरे ब्रवीमीति होवाच याज्ञवल्क्यः ॥
- "As a piece of salt, when thrown into water, is dissolved into mere water, and none is capable of

perceiving it because from whatever place a person might take (water) it would have the faste of salt (but be no piece of salt), thus, behold this great being which is infinite independent and mere knowledge. Springing forth tope her with the elements (the individual soul) is destroyed when they are de troyed. After death no conscience remains thus O Maitreyi I hold. Thus said Xajanyalkya.

11 ता तेला मैत्रप्रेय मा मगरान्त्रहान्त्रपापीविषय या अहिमन विकासीति त हाला न या १८०६ प्राप्त प्रयोध्यिका हिया अर्थना मनुष्टितिया ॥

Maitrevi said—"With regard to the soul thou hast bewildered me O Venerable (by the saying—After death no conscience remains) I do not comprehend that (soul) Yujaavalkva said—Behold I verily do not create bewilderment behold this soul is indestructible its nature is without variance

15 पत्र हि ईनिया मनित तिरा इता प्रयति तिता इता जिनित तिता इता भनित तिता इता भनित तिता इता भनित तिता इता स्थान तिता इता स्थान तिता इता स्थान तिता इता मनित तिता इता प्रयाति तिता इता विभानित दार त्यान्य स्थान मिन्द्र केन क प्रयत्यन का नितेतानीन पर रचन्य स्थान का मिन्द्र तिन्त का प्रयातिक का मन्त्रीत तत्यान का स्थानितायन स्थानित का मन्त्रीत तत्यान का प्रयानित एप नित नेया माज्योन दि गुलतेत्रामा नि जीयत्य प्रदान नि सामोज्योन व्ययत निवानीया निया का विवानीया का स्थान विवानीया विभानित का विवानीया का स्थान विवानीया विभानित का विवानीया विभानित का विवानीया विभानित विवानीया विवानीया विभानित विवानीय विभानित विवानीय विवान विवानीय विवान विवानीया विभानित विवान विवान विवानीय विवान विवान

शासनासि मेत्रेथ्येतावदरे खल्वमृतत्विमिति होक्त्वा याज्ञवल्क्यो विजहार ॥

"For where there is, as it were, duality, there sees another another thing, there smells another another thing, there tastes another another thing, there speaks another another thing, there hears another another thing, there minds another another thing, there touches another another thing, there knows another another thing, but how does one, to whom all has become mere soul, see any thing, how smell any thing, how taste any thing, how speak any thing, how hear any thing, how mind any thing, how touch any thing, how know any thing? How should he know him by whom he knows this all? This soul is not this, nor aught else, it is unserzable, for it cannot be serzed, it is not scattered, for it cannot be scattered, it is without contact, for it comes not into contact; it is without colour, it is not subject to pain or destruction. How should one know the knower? In this manner art thou instructed. So far, O beloved Maitreyi, extends in truth immortality" Having said thus, Yajñavalkya went to the forest.

SIXTH BRAHMANA

1 अथ वर्गः पौतिमाज्यात्पौतिमाप्यो गौपवनाद्गौपवनः पौतिमा-ज्यात्पौतिमाप्यो गौपवनाद्गौपवनः कौशिकात्कोशिकः कौण्ड- न्यात्कीण्डिन्य **ञाण्डिल्याच्छा**ण्डिल्य कीशिकाच गीतमाच गीतम ॥

Next follows the school commoncing from Pautimishya (1) Pautimishya succeeded Gaupavana — Gaupavana, Pantimashya, —Pantimishya Gaupavana — Gaupavana, Kausika, —Kiusika kamidiava, —kaun dinya Sandilya —Sandilya Kansika and Gautama—Gautama

- (1) Two more lists of teachers are given in this Upanishad i. 4 6 and 6 σ
 - अप्तिचेत्यादाविवरयो गाम्याद्राग्या गाम्याद्राग्या गीतमाद्रीतम सीतवात्सीतव पाराश्चयायणात्पारान्यायणो गाम्यायणाद्राग्यायण उद्यान्ज्ञायनाद्भुद्धाद्रशायनो जावाद्यायनाज्ञायाच्याये गाण्यान्य नायनान्याच्यान्यनायन सीकरायणात्सीकरायेग कायाय णात्कापायण माथकायनात्सायकायन कीशिकायने कीशिक् कायनि ॥

Agnivosya—Agnivosya (1) Gargya—Gargya Gargya—Gargya Gautama—Gautama Saitana—Saitana Pārāsaryāyana —Pārāsaryāyana Gargyāyana — Gargyāyana —Uddālakāyana —Uddālakāyana Jābalā yana —Jābalāyana Mādhyandimāyana —Madhyandināyana, Saukarāyana —Saukarāyana Kaşāyana —Kasā yana Sayakāyana,—Sayakāyana Kausikāyani —Kausikāyani

(1) From Agniverya to Kausikayani the names of teachers differ from those given in 4, 6 2

3. धृतकोजिकाद् वृतकोजिकः पाराज्ञर्यायणात्पाराज्ञर्यायणः पाराज्ञर्यात्पाराज्ञर्यो जात्क्रण्यां जात्कण्ये आमुरायणाञ्च यास्काञ्चासुरायणाञ्चवणेष्ठ्रवणिरोपजड्वनेरोपजड्विनरासुरेरामुरिर्मार
हाजाद्वारद्वाज आत्रेयादात्रेयो माण्टेर्माण्टिर्गातमाङोतमा गोतमाहोतमो वात्स्याद्वात्स्यः जाण्डिल्याच्छाण्डिल्यः कर्णार्यातकाप्यात्केशोर्यः काप्यः कुमारहारितात्कुमारहारितां गालवाद्वाद्ववो विदर्भाकोण्डिन्याद्विटर्भाकोण्डिन्यो वत्सनपातो वाभवाहत्सनपाद्वभवः पथः सोभरात्पन्याः सोभरोऽयास्यादाङ्गिरसादयास्य आङ्गिरस आभूतेस्त्वाष्ट्राद्वामृतिस्त्वाष्ट्रो विश्वरूपात्त्वाष्ट्राद्वि
श्वरूपस्त्वाष्ट्रोऽश्विभ्यामिथनो दधीच आध्ववणाद्वध्यड्डाथर्वणो
दैवादयवाद्वेवो मृत्योः प्राध्वर सनानमृत्युः प्राध्वरसनः प्रध्वर
सनात्प्रध्वरसन एकपेरिकपिविप्रचितिप्रचित्तिच्येष्टेर्च्यष्टः सनारोः सनारः सनातनात्सनातनः सनगात्सनगः परमेष्टिनः
परमेष्ठी ब्रक्षणो ब्रह्म स्वयस्वद्वणे नमः ॥

Ghrtakausika, Ghrtakausika, Pārāsaryāyana, Pārāsaryāyana, Pārāsarya, Pārāsarya, Jātūkarnya, Jātūkarnya, Āsurāyana and Yāska, Āsurāyana Straivani, Straivani, Aupajanghani, Aupajanghani, Āsuri, Āsuri, Bhāradvāja, Bhāradvāja, Ātreya, Ātreya, Mānti, Mānti, Gautama, Gautama, Gautama, Gautama, Gautama, Vātsya, Vātsya, Sāndilya, Sandilya, Kaisorya Kāpya, Kaisorya Kāpya, Kumārahārita, Kumā, rahārita, Gālava Gālava, Vidarbhī Kaundinya, Vidarbhī Kaundinya, Vidarbhī Kaundinya, Vatsanapāt Bābhrava, Vatsanapāt Bābhrava, Pathāh Saubhara, Panthāh Saubhara, Ayāsya Āngirasa, Ayāsya Āngirasa, Ābhuti Tvāstra, Ābhuti Tvāstra, Visvarūpa Tvāstra, Visvarūpa Tvāstra,

the two Asvins,—the two Asvins, Didhii Atharvana — Didhyanu—Atharvana, Atharva Daiva —Atharva Diva Mṛtyu Prādhvamsina,—Mṛtyu Prādhvam sana Pridhvamsina —Pridhvamsina Ekarai —Ekarai, Vipricitti —Vipracitti Vyasti —Vyasti, Sānaru — Sināru Sinītini —Sanātana Sināga,—Sinīga, Para mesthi —Paramesthi, Brahman (1) —Brahman is the self existent salutation to Brahman

(1) Paramosthi denotes Virit and Brahman Hiranya grabha —S

FIFTH CHAPTER

FIRST BRAHMANA

1 ॐ पूर्णभेदः पूर्णमिद पूर्णात्पूर्णमुद्रच्यते ॥ पूर्णस्य पूर्णमादाय पूर्णभेवाविज्ञाप्यते ॥ ॐ ३ ख ब्रह्म ख पुराण वायुरं खिमिति ह स्भाह कौरव्यायणीपुत्रो वेदोऽय ब्राह्मणा विदुर्वेदेनेन यद्वेदितव्यम् ॥

Infinite (1) is that (2), infinite is this. From the infinite one proceeds the infinite one. On taking the infinity (3) of the infinite one, there is left infinity.

Om is the ether (4), is Brahman. The ether (5) exists of old, the ether is the source of the wind, thus said the son of Kauravyāyani. That (Onkāra) is the Veda. The Brāhmanas know (vidur) that by this (name) one knows (Veda) all that is to be known (Veditavya).

(1) In the preceding four chapters the knowledge of Brahman in his independent nature has been explained, in the present chapter the modes of meditation on the soul in its various relations are set forth. These modes not at variance with the performance of work, lead to a higher and higher state of existence, and effect the gradual liberation of the soul from the world. The first of them is the Omkāra, as being the most eminent, after which follow the commands of restraint, liberality, and compassion. S'

- (2) That (adah) refers to the imperceptible Brahman who is all pervading and independent of any relation this (idam) to Brahman as conceived under relations 'Infinite Porna the literal meaning of which is full and which S explains by not finite all pervading It is finite (or full) he continues as pervaded by the supreme soul, not by the individual soul since the latter is involved in relations The infinite—considered as effect proceeds from the infinite—con idered as cause—S
- (3) Taking the infinite literally the infinite one and the sense is comprehending the one iden ical nature of Brahman by the omission of all relative attributes
- (4) According to S Brahman is the subject and Kha (the ether) the predicate of the sentence
- (5) The ether (Kha) contains two meanings as ancient it represents the supreme soul and as the source of the wind it represents the inforior Brahman The same applies to the sound Om the representative of Kha—S

SECOND BRAHMANA

मिल्रा प्राजापत्या प्रजापतो पितिर झहाचनमुर्द्धेन मनुन्या असुरा उपित्वा झहाचर्य देवा अन्तुनवीतु नो भवानिति तेम्यो हैतदक्षरमुवाच द इति व्यक्तासिष्ठा ३ इति न्याज्ञाभिनोति होचुर्दामन्तेति न आत्येत्योमिति होवाच याज्ञासिष्ठेति ॥

The three fold offspring of Prajāpati gods men and Asuras followed as religious students their father Prajāpati. The gods having finished their time of learning said (to Prajāpati),— Tell us O Venerable (our duty). He proclaimed to them the syllable Da,

- "Do you comprehend?" They answered, "We do comprehend Restrain your desires, hast thou said to us." He said, -"Om! you have fully comprehended"
 - 2 अथ हैन मनुष्या अचुर्ववीत नो भवानिति तेभ्यो हैतदेवाक्षरमुवाच द इति व्यज्ञासिष्टा २ इति व्यज्ञासिष्मेति होचुर्दत्तेति न आन्थेत्योमिति होवाच व्यज्ञासिष्टेति ॥

Then the men said to him, "Tell us, O Venerable, (our duty)" He proclaimed to them the letter Da "Do you comprehend?" They answered, "We do comprehend. Be liberal, hast thou said to us" He said, "Om! you have fully comprehended."

3. अथ हैनमसुरा अचुर्बवीत नो भवानिति तेभ्यो हैतदेवाक्षरमुवाच द इति व्यज्ञासिष्टा २ इति व्यज्ञासिष्मेति होचुर्दयध्विमिति न आत्थेत्योमिति होवाच व्यज्ञासिष्टेति तदेतदेवैषा देवी वागनुवदति स्तनियत्नुदं द द इति दाम्यत दत्त दयध्विमिति तदेतत्त्रय २ शिक्षेद्मं दानं दयामिति ॥

Then the Asuras said to him, "Tell us, O Venerable, (our duty)" He proclaimed to them the letter Da "Do you comprehend?" They answered, "We do comprehend Be clement, hast thou said to us." He said "Om! you have fully comprehended" The same is repeated by a divine voice with the force of thunder, wz, the syllables Da, Da, Da, meaning, Be restrained $(d\bar{a}myata)$, be liberal (datta), and be clement (dayadhvam) Therefore let one learn the triad of restraint, liberality and clemency.

THIRD BRAHMANA (1)

1 एप प्रजापितयहृदयमेतद्रक्षेतत्सर्व तदेतत्र्यक्षार्र्द्धविभित्त ह इत्येकमक्षरमभिद्धरन्थस्मे स्वाधान्ये च य एव वेद द इत्येकमक्षर ददयस्मे स्वाधान्ये च य एव वेद यमित्येकमक्षरमेति स्वग लोक य एव वेद ॥

This Prajapati (2) is the heart (hrdaya) (3) this Brahman (4) this all Hrdaya' consists of three syllables. The first syllable is Hr. To him who thus knows the senses and the rest (5) perform (abhiharanti), (their work). The second syllable is Da. The senses and the rest bestow (dadati) (power) on him who thus knows. The third syllable is Ya. He who thus knows proceeds, (cti) to heaven (his) place

- (1) Restraint liberality and elemency are the highest of all medes of adoration for he whose passions are subdued who is not desirous of gain and who is merciful is in possession of all those modes. In the proceeding two Brahmanas the meditetion on Brohman without attributes has been explained the present shew the elevated places, obtained from mediteting on Brahmen es endewed with attributes.
 - (2) The creater, of all beings -S
 - (3) The intellect obiding in the heart -S
- (4) Brahman from his growing (brhattvat) and from his being the soul of all —S
- (5) The rest according to S means the object of the senses as sound ete

FOURTH BRAHMANA

1. तहै तदेतदेव तदारा सत्यमेव स यो हेत महद्यक्ष प्रथमज वेद सत्यं व्रह्मेति जयतीमॉल्लोकान् जित इन्वसावसद्य एवमेतं महद्यक्षं प्रथमजं वेद सत्य ब्रह्मेति सत्य होत सत्य ब्रह्मेत

This (1) (Brahman) was even truth (2). Whoever knows that he, the great, the venerable, the first-born, (3), is the true Brahman, conquers (his enemy), as (the true Brahman conquers the worlds), and destroys him (also), for Brahman is truth.

- (1) In the text the demonstrative pronoun "tat" is three times repeated. According to S. the first refers to Brahman, described by the name of the heart in the preceding Brahmana, the second connects it with "etat" which is to enunciate some other predicate of Brahman, and the third "tat" indicates the new predicate
- (2) Truth, Sachcha tyachcha mūrtancāmurtanca satyam Brahma pancabhūtatmakam, S'
- (3) Born before every other being which has a worldly existence. S'

FIFTH BRAHMANA (1)

1. आप एवेदमग्र आसुस्ता आपः सत्यमसृजन्त सत्य ब्रह्म ब्रह्म प्रजापित प्रजापितिर्देवापस्ते देवाः सत्यमेवोपासते तदेतत्त्र्यक्षरप् सत्यमिति स इत्येकमक्षरं तीत्येकमक्षरं यमित्येकमक्षर प्रथमोत्तमे अक्षरे सत्यं मध्यतोऽन्तं तदेतदमृतमुभयतः सत्येन परिगृहीतप् सत्यम्यमेव भवति नैनं विद्यापसमन्तप् हिनस्ति ॥

Water (2) was at first this (world) (3) Water created truth Truth is Brahman (4) Brahman (created) Prajapati (5), Prajapati the gods The gods adoro oven truth The name of sitya (truth) consists of three syllables. The first syllable is Sa the second syllable 'Ti and the third syllable "Ya The first and the list syllables (Sa and Ya) are truth (6) the middle is falsehood (anita) falsehood is on either side encompassed by truth there is (therefore), a prependerance of truth Talsehood does not hurt him who (thus) knows

- (1) This Brahmana has the object of extelling the truth Brahman —S
- (2) Water indicates here the unmanifested state of the world before its creation together with the creater therefore the seeds of all creation —S
 - (3) The world in its manifestation -S
- (4) The first horn Hiranyagarbha or the Sutrat ma -S
 - (5) Or the Virat —S
- (6) Because these two syllahlos occur neither in the word mrtyu (death) nor in the word antia while the middle syllahle ta is found as well in 'mrtyu as in antia —S
 - विश्वतस्थमसी स आदित्यो य एप एतिस्मन्मण्डले पुरुणो यथाय दक्षिणेऽक्षन्पुरुपस्तावेतावन्योन्यस्मिन्प्रतिष्ठितौ रिश्मिभिरेषा ऽस्मिन् प्रतिष्ठित प्राणैर्यममुन्मिन्स यदोल्क्षमिण्यन्भवति अद्भवेतन्मण्डल पश्यति नैगमेते रश्मय प्रयायन्ति ॥

That truth (1) is Aditya the Purusa (dwelling) in that orb and also the Purusa, (dwelling) in the right eye. They abide in each other. The former abides through his rays in the latter (2), and the latter in the former through his senses. When he quits the body, he beholds that pure orb (3), the rays do return to him

- (1) This section exhibits the meditation on the true Brahman in the several localities. S'
- (2) The sun assists man by the manifestation of objects, and man the sun by perception S'
 - (3) The moon S'.
 - 3. य एष एतस्मिन्मण्डले पुरुषस्तस्य भूरिति ज्ञिर एक रिश् एकमेतदक्षरं भुव इति बाहू द्वौ बाहू द्वे एते अक्षरे स्वरिति प्रतिष्ठा द्वे प्रतिष्ठे द्वे एते अक्षरे तस्योपनिषदहरिति हन्ति पाप्मान जहाति च य एवं वेद ॥

Bhū (the earth) (1) is the head (2) of the Purusa, dwelling in that orb, there being one head and also one syllable, Bhuvah (the atmosphere) the arms, there being two arms, and also two syllables, Svah (the heavens) the foundation (3), there being two foundations and also two syllables. His representative name is Ahar (4) (day), for whoever thus knows, destroys (hanti) and relinquishes (jahāti) sin

- (1) This section replies to the question, which are the sacred names and the corresponding parts of the body of the Purusa whose name is "Satya," in that orb S'
 - (2) The head from its excellence S'
 - (3) Denoting "foot".
- (4) Ahar is here derived from the root "Ha" meaning either to destroy, or to relinquish

4 योऽय टिन्निणेऽसन्नुरुनस्तस्य भूगिति जिर एक्-शिर एक्-भितद्वर भुव इति बाह हो नाट हे जते अक्षरे खरिति प्रतिष्ठा प्रतिष्ठे हे हे जते अक्षरे तस्योपनिषदह्गिति हन्ति पाप्मान जहाति च य एव नेद् ॥

Bhu is the head of the Purusa dwelling in the right eye—there being one head and also one syllable—Bhuvah the arms,—there being two arms and also two syllables—Syah the foundation,—there being two foundations and also two syllables. His representative name is Aham (1), for whoever thus knows destroys and relinquishes sin

(1) The derivation of Aham is here the same as that of Ahar

SIATH, BRAHMANA

मनोमयोऽय ५०३। भा सल्यस्तिस्मन्तहृदये यत्रा ब्रीहिवा यत्रा या स एप सबस्येजान स्वस्याविपति स्वतिम्द्र प्रजास्ति यदिद किंच॥

The Puru-a who resembles (1) mind is the true light (2) (he abides) within the heart (in size) like a grain of rice or barley (3) He is the Ruler of all the sovereign lord of all he overrules whatever exists in this universe

(1) S explains the affix maya in manomaya not by Svarupa (of the same nature with the mind) but

by "object of the mind," because comprehended either in or by the mind

- (2) Because the mind manifests all, and everything is an object of the mind S'
 - (3) Vide Katha, U, 3, 11, and Svetasvatara U, 3, 13.

SEVENTH BRAHMANA

1 विधुद्रक्षेत्राहुर्विदानादिधुद्विद्यत्येन पाप्मनो य एव वेद विधुद्रक्षेति विद्युद्धेय बहा ॥

It is said, that "vidyut" (lightning) is Brahman, for vidyut is derived from vidānāt, (tearing asunder) (1). Whosoever thus knows, that Brahman is vidyut, tears asunder the sins of that (soul), for vidyut is even Brahman

(1) From tearing as under the darkness, for by destroying the darkness of the clouds, lightning is manifested S'

EIGHTH BRAHMANA

1 वाच घेनुमुपासीत तस्याश्चत्वारः स्तनाः स्वाहाकारो वषद्कारो हन्तकारः स्वधाकारस्तस्य द्वौ स्तनौ देवा उपजीवित्। स्वाहाकारं च वषद्कार च हन्तकारं मनुष्याः स्वधाकारं पित्रस्तस्याः प्राण ऋषमो मनो वत्सः॥

Let one meditate on speech (under the semblance of) a mileh cew. Her feur udders are the werds Svaha Vasat Hanta and Svadha (1) Two udders, the words Svaha and Vasat feed the gods the word Hanta (feeds) men and the word Svadha the ferefathers. Her bull is life her young one the mind.

(1) Ghee is offered to the gods by proneuncing Svähä and vasat rice to men by saying Hanta and Svadhä to the forefathers by saying Svadhä

NINTH BRAHMANA

1 अवमित्रियक्षानरो याऽयमन्त पुरुषे येनटमन्न पच्यते यदिद्यमते सस्येप घोषो भन्नति यमतत्र्यणाविषयाय शृणोति स यदोत्क्रिमिन्यन्त्रमति नेन घोष्ट शृणोति ॥

The fire whose name is Vaisvanura is that fire in the midst of the body by which all the feed that is eaten is digested. From this (fire) arises a noise which one hears on closing his ears. When he (1) quits the body he does not hear the noise.

(1) The individual who experiences pain or pleasure in the body -S

TENTH BRÄHMANA (1)

1 यदा व पुरुगेऽस्मात्येकात्येति स वायुमागच्छति तस्मे स तत्र विजिहीते यना रनचकस्य ख तेन स ऊन्त्र बाकमते स आदित्यमागच्छित तस्में स तत्र विजिहीते यथा लम्बरस्य ख तेन स ऊर्ध्व आक्रमते स चन्द्रमसमागच्छित तस्में स तत्र विजिहीते यथा दुन्दुभेः ख तेन स ऊर्ध्व आक्रमते स लोकमागच्छस्यजोकमहिम तस्मिन्यसति शाश्वतीः समाः ॥

When the Purusa (2) proceeds from this world (to another), he comes to the air. The air opens there as wide for him as the aperture of a chariot-wheel By this (aperture) he ascends, (and) comes to the sun. The same opens there for him as wide as the aperture of a Lambara (3). By this he ascends, and comes to the moon. The same opens there for him as wide as the aperture of a small drum. By this he ascends, and comes to the world (4), where there is no grief, where there is no snow (5), there he dwells endless years (6).

- (1) In this Brähmana, the fruits, consequent upon the abovementioned kinds of meditation, are stated S'
- (2) The Purusa who has the knowledge before described. S'
- (3) A kind of musical instrument, probably a large drum.
 - (4) To the world of Prajāpati S'
- (5) Grief denotes mental pain, and snow pain, arising from the body S'
 - (6) Many Kalpas of Brahmā. S'.

ELFVI NTH BRAHMANA

1 एतई पन्म तथा यहनाधितन्न्यते प्रम हिंच लाक जयित य एव नश्तर्द परम तथा य त्रात्रस्य हम्मित परम हेच लाक जयित य एव वेश्तर्द पग्न तथा य प्राम्मानम्याद्वित परम६ हेच लाक जयित य प्रा यद ॥

The greatest pain surely is that which one endures from sickness. Whoever this I now gains the highest world. The greatest pain surely is (to think) that they carry one after death to the forest. Whoever thus knows gains the highest world. The greatest pain surely is (to think) that they lay the (body of the) deceased in the fire. Whoever thus knows gains the highest world.

TWILLTH BRAHMANA

1 अन्न ज्ञालत्येक आहुन्तन तम पृथित या अम्मृत प्राणात्माणां

प्रातन्येक आहुन्तन तम द्वारणित व प्राण क्तरे-आदेते

ह त्यव द्वत एकमामृथ भूत्वा परमता गच्छतस्तद्ध स्माह्

प्रातृ पिता कि न्यिद्वम विदृषे सामु दुर्यो किमेवालम

असाषु दुर्यामिति च ह स्माह पाणिना मा प्रातृद्ध कन्द्येनवारेक माभूष भूत्वा परमता गच्छनीति तस्मा उ देतदुवाच वीत्यन व वि अने हामानि सवाणि भूतानि ग्रिटानि गमिति प्राणा व र प्राण हामानि मवाणि भूतानि ग्रमन्ते सप्ताणि ह वा अस्मिन्मृतानि विज्ञान्ति मवाणि भूतानि ग्रमन्ते य ण्व वेद ॥

up n son who knows the Ukthn, and 14 firm Whoever thus knows gains the same anture (2) and the same place with the Ukthn

- (I) Uktha is the principal mantra in the rite called Mahayrata The Uktha is the principal rite and life is also first among the other functions—S
- (2) S explains Sijuja by Identity of body organs and consciousness. The Siyujya and Salokath are two of the five kinds of liberation which are specified in the Sri Bhagayata 3 29 it Salokya Sarsti Samipya Sarnpya and Flatya. Itale Sabda k. D.
 - 2 यद्य प्राणो व यद्य प्राणे होमानि सवाणि भूतानि युज्यन्ते युज्यन्त हास्न सवाणि भूतानि श्रेष्ट्रयाय यनुम सायुज्यः सन्त्रामा जवति य व्य येद्र ॥

The Ynjus is verily life—therefore (let one meditate on) the Ynjus—for in life are all these beings united (yujvante)—With him who thus knows are all beings united for the sake of his superiority—Whoever thus knows—obtains the same anture and the same place with the Yajus

त्रे साम प्राणो व साम प्राणे हीमानि सवाणि भृतानि सम्यश्चि सम्यश्चि हार्सम् सवाणि भृतानि श्रेट्याय फलपन्त साम साउन्य सटोक्ता जयति य एव वेद ॥

The Sama is verily life therefore (let one meditate on) the Sama for in life meet all these beings together (Samyaña) For him who thus knews meet all these beings together for the sake of his superiority, Wheever thus knows, obtains the same nature and the same place with the Sama

4 अत्र प्राणो व अत्र प्राणो वे अत्र हि त्रायते हैन प्राणः क्षणितोः प्र-अत्रमत्रमाप्तोति अत्रस्य सायुज्य सलोकता जयति य एव वेद ॥

The K-attra is verily life (therefore let one meditate on) the K-attra, for life saves (trayate) this (body), when it is wounded (K-anitoh). Whoever thus knows, gains the K-attra which is Attra (1), and obtains the same nature and the same place with the K-attra

(1) Attra means, according to S' what is not preserved by another (na trayate anyena kenacit, iti attram). Keattra which is Attra seems to denote one who is not preserved by any body else that is to say, who preserves himself

FOURTEENTH BRÄHMANA (1)

1 म्मिरनारिक चौरित्यष्टावक्षराण्यष्टाक्षर ह वा एक गायत्र्ये पदमेतद् हेवान्या एतत्स यावदेष त्रिष्ठ छोकेषु नावद्र जयति योऽस्या एतदेव पद बेद्र ॥

Bhumi (earth), Anteriksi (the atmosphere), Dyau (the heavens) are eight syllables (2), the first foot of the Gayatti consists of eight syllables, this (foot) of the Gayatti is that (nature of the earth, of the atmosphere and of the heavens). Whoever thus knows the (first) the sile Gayatti, conquers all that is in the times order.

- (1) S gives the following introduction to the fourteenth Brahmana. The meditation upon Brahman as endowed with many fictitions attributes such as the heart etc. has been explained the present Brahmana is to set forth the meditation upon him represented by the Gayatri
 - (2) See a similar play with letters Chh U 1 3 6-7
 - 2 ऋचा यज्<िप मामानीश्रणप्रक्षराज्यष्टाक्षर ह वा एक मायन्य पदर्गतदु हैवास्या एनत्स यानताय प्रयी निद्या तानद नयति याऽस्या एतरेच यद पेर ॥

The Reah Yajunsi (and) Samani are eight syllables the second foot of the Gayatri consists of eight syllables this (foot) of the Gayatri is that (nature of the three Vedas) Whoever thus knows conquers ill that is conquerable by the knowledge of three Vedas

3 प्राणाऽपानो न्यान इस्रष्टात्रक्षराण्यद्याभर ह वा एक गावन्त्रं पदमेतदु हैवाल्या एतत्स यानिदद प्राणि तावद्ध जयित वोऽम्या एतद्व पद वेदाधाम्या एतद्व तुरीय दगत पद परो ग्जा व एव तपति वर्द्ध चतुत्र तत्तुरीय दगत पदमिति ददश इन रोप परोग्जा उति सवमुत्रेनय रज उपयुपरि तपत्येव< हैव श्रिया यशमा तपति याऽम्य एतदेव पद वेद ॥

Prana (the vital air which goes forward) Apana (the vital air which desconds), (and) Vyana (the vital air which equalises) these are eight syllables—the third foot of the Gayatri consists of eight syllables—this (foot) of the Gayatri is that (nature of the three vital airs) Whoover thus knows the third foot of the (Gayatri) conquers—all that has his Again the turiya (the

fourth), the Dars'ata foot of the Gāyatrī, is the Paro Rajā (1), which sheds rays What is (commonly called) Caturtha (the fourth), is (the same as) the "turīya" It is, as it were, beheld (dadrs'a), hence it is called the Dars'ata foot (It is called) Paro Rajā, because it sheds rays upon all the dust-born creatures of the universe. Whoever thus knows that (foot of the Gāyatrī), is radiant with power and glory

- (1) Paro Rajā, Āditya or the sun, the representative of Brahman
 - 4 सेषा गाय>येतस्मि स्तुरीये दर्शते पदे परोरजिस प्रतिष्ठिता तहैतत्सत्ये प्रतिष्ठित चक्षुर्वे सत्य चक्षुर्हि वे सत्यं तस्माद्यदिदानी हो विवदमानाविधातामहमदर्शमहमश्रोषिति य एवं श्रूथादहमदर्शमिति तस्मा एव श्रद्धयाम तहै तत्सत्य बले प्रतिष्ठित प्राणो वे बलं तत्प्राणे प्रतिष्ठित तस्मादाहुर्बल्थ सल्यादोजीय इत्येव वेषा गाय>यध्यात्मं प्रतिष्ठिता सा हैषा गया स्तंत्रे प्राणा वे गयास्तत्प्राणा स्तंत्रे तद्यद्वया स्तंत्रे तस्माद्रायते ॥ अन्वाह तस्य प्राणा स्वायते ॥

This Gāyatrī (1) is founded upon the fourth, the Dars'ata foot, the Paro Rajā This (fourth foot) is founded upon truth. The eye is verily truth, for (that) the eye is truth (is eyident). Hence, if at present two have entered upon a dispute (one saying), I have seen, the other, I have heard, then we believe him, who has said, I have seen. Truth is founded upon power, life is verily power. Upon this life (truth) is founded.

Therefore it is said, power is stronger than truth (2) In the same manner the Gayatri is founded upon that which bears a relation to the soul for this (Gayatri) preserves (tattre) the Gayas, the vital organs (Pranas) are the Gayas, therefore because it preceives the Gayas (gayamistattre) it is called Gayatri. The Savitri which he (3) teaches, is this (Gayatri) it preserves the life of him to whom he his tangent it

- (1) This Gayatri with its three feet representing the world in its twofold state as being endowed with form and as being without form -S
 - (2) Tele Chia U 7 8
 - (3) The teacher
 - गार्द्रनामक चाहित्राचनुतुमक्तचातुनामनुत्वतद्वाच । तुन् ती न तथा शुपाद्रावत्रामच चाहित्राचनुत्वाचित्र वा अप्ये विद्रतिन प्रति हिति न देव सहायत्र्या एक च न वर प्रति ॥
- Some (1) call this Savitri Anustin (saying) Speech is Anustin we repeat that speech is Anustin. Let none do so let him call the Gavatri Savitri. If one who thus knows receive even many (gifts) (2) with he would not receive so much as is equal to one foot of the Gayatri.
 - (1) Some followers of Veda schools
- (2) 'Sans gift at the time of investiture when the pupil begs for presents
 - 6 स य इमार्श्यांटाचान्यूणान्यतिगृह्यायात्तोऽस्या प्यत्य्यम पद-मामुयात्य यायतीय त्रयो विद्या यन्तावतप्रतिगृह्योयात्सोऽस्या ॥ ॥

एतद्दितीय पदमाप्रुयाद्य यावदिद प्राणि यस्तावतप्रति-गृह्णीयात्सोऽस्या एतचृतीय पदमाप्रुयादथास्या एतदेव तुरीय दर्शत पद परोरजा य एष तपति नैव केनचनाप्य कुत उ एतावतप्रतिगृह्णीयात् ॥

If one receive the three worlds, full (of all their riches), he would obtain (no more than is equal to) the first foot. Again if one receive as much as the science or the three Vedas extends, he would obtain (no more than what is equal to) the second foot. Again, if one receive as much as all that has life extends, he would obtain (no more than what is equal to) its third foot. Again, the fourth Darsata Paro Rajā foot of the Gāyatrī is never by any one obtainable (1). Hence how could he receive (an equivalent) which extends so far?

- (1) Obtainable by any wealth which may be given
- 7. तस्या उपस्थान गायत्र्यस्येकपदी द्विपढी त्रिपढी चतुष्पद्यपढिस निह पद्यसे नमस्ते तुरीयाय दर्शताय पदाय परोरजसेऽसावढो मा प्रापदिति यं द्विष्यादसावस्मै कामो मा समृद्धीति वा न हैवास्मे स कामः समृद्धयते यस्मा एवमुपतिष्ठतेऽहमदः प्रापमिति वा ॥

This plaise of this Gāyatrī is given in the following Mantia — Thou ait of one foot (1), of two feet, of three feet, and of four feet, for thou art not obtained Salutation to thy fourth Darsata Paro Rajā foot May this (enemy of thine) (2) not accomplish this (work) (3).

ı

If (one who thus knows) hates any body (and makes against him this invocation)—this (man is my enemy), may his wish not be accomplished, then the wish of the latter will verily not be accomplished, if he make against him the invocation—'I have obtained his wish

- (1) The first foot representing the three worlds the second representing the knowledge of the three Vodas the third representing all living creatures
- (2) Thomy sin The sentence is olliptical but the above sonse appears to be intended which is corroborated by the explanation given by the Upanisad itself
 - (3) By which he socks to harm thee
 - 8 एतद वे तजनको वैदेहा बुडिर माधतराधिमुवाच यन्तु हो तहायत्रीविदन या अय का प्रहत्तोभूतो वहत्तीति मुख्य त्या अय का प्रहत्तोभूतो वहत्तीति मुख्य त्या अप का प्रवास त्या अप्रिय मुख्य विह वा अपि बहिवाप्रावस्थाद्यति सवमेव तत्सदहत्येव हेवैविवापाप बहिव पाप बुरुते सवमेव तत्सप्याय शुद्ध यूतोऽजरोऽमृत समनति ॥

Janaka the king of the Videhas thus addressed Budila the son of Asvatarasva — (If) thy saying that thou knowest the Gayatri (be true) then why hast thou become an elephant to carry (ine)? He said — I did not know the mouth of the Gayatri, O king of kings." Its mouth is fire Even much wood thrown into fire is consumed by the same in like manner one who thus knows although committing many sins consumes

them all, becomes clean and pure, and is without decay and immortal.

FIFTEENTH BRAHMANA

1. हिरण्मयेन पात्रेण सत्यस्यापिहित मुख । तत्त्वं पूपन्नपाष्ट्रणु सत्यधर्माय दृष्ट्ये । पूषत्रेकर्षे यमसूर्यप्राजापत्य व्यूहर्श्मीन्समूह तेजो यत्ते रूपं कल्याणतमं तत्ते पश्यामि योऽसावसौ पुरुषः सोऽहमस्मि । वायुर्शनलममृतमथेदं भस्मान्तर शरीरम् । ॐ ३ कतो स्मर कृतर स्मर । अग्ने नय सुपथा राये अस्मान्विधानि देव वयुनानि विद्वान् । युयोध्यस्मज्जुहुराणभेनो मृथिष्ठा ते नमउक्त विधेम ॥

Open, O Pūsan, the mouth of truth, concealed in the golden vessel (1), to (me who have been) devoted to true piety, for the sake of beholding (the truth) O Pūsan (2), thou sole Rsi (3), Yama, Sūrya, son of Prajāpati, do withhold thy rays, diminish thy splendour, that I may behold thy most auspicious form. I, that Purusa, am immortal. (Let) my vital air (join) the wind then (let) my body, when reduced to ashes, (join) the earth. Om! Kratu, remember (my) acts, Remember, O Kratu, remember my acts, remember! Guide (me), O Agni, by the road of bliss to enjoyment, O god, who knowest all dispositions, deliver (me from) crooked sin. Let us offer thee our best salutation (4)

(1) S'ankara thus explains this passage. He who has performed both acts of knowledge and rites, prays to the

sun at the time of his death holding a golden vessel in his hands. As a valuable thing is concealed in a vessel so Brahman who is denoted as truth (vide 5 4) and who abide in the resplendent orb of the sun is concealed from him whose mind is not concentrated.

- (2) Pusan from Po anat, because he upholds the world
- (3) Rei from Darsanüt the sole beholder or from r to go Sürya oküki caratiti Yama jagatah saihyamanam tvatkriam —S
- (4) The whole passage is nearly identical with Vajasaneya S U 15-18

SIXTH CHAPTER

FIRST BRAHMANA

1. यो ह वै ज्येष्ठ च श्रेष्टं च वेद ज्येष्ठश्च श्रेष्ठश्च स्वाना भवित प्राणो वै ज्येष्ठश्च श्रेष्ठश्च ज्येष्ठश्च श्रेष्ठश्च श्रेष्ठश्च स्वाना भवत्यि च येषा बुभू- षति य एव वेद ॥

Whoever (1) knows what is oldest and best (2), becomes the oldest and best among his own. Life is verily what is oldest and best. Whoever thus knows, becomes the oldest and best amongst his own and also amongst others, should he wish so

(1) It has been declared that life is the Gayatri For what reason again is the Gayatri respresented by life, and not by speech or other functions? The answer is, because life is the oldest and best, not so speech, etc. The present chapter has the object to determine, how life has those attributes. Or the connection of this with the preceding chapter may also thus be stated. The meditation upon life in preference to the other organs has been declared, life being the Uktha, Yajus, Sama, etc. The meditation upon life which in the former chapter has been enunciated merely as to its principal qualities, is here continued, although it is not meant to conclude this meditation. This chapter, as is evident even from the name of "compilation" which it bears has rather the object to enumerate the special fruits, not mentioned before, which result from a meditation on

life — This introduction of S is thus prefaced by A G. The Orikara the trail of restrain liberality at I elemency the creditation upon what is B alman and what is not Brahman the frait of uch a meditation the place sained the cby at 1 the alloration of Adits a and the other deaths have been set forth in the fifth chapter. The fixth chapter has the object to explain e pictuilly the meditation on that which is not Brahman to there with the fruit resulting from P and all operating economies of which the brain and has the first.

(a) Life Chhant to 5 2 4 Hr A to 4 1 3

अंगर १ विच्या विश्वासम्बद्धाः स्थापाः । प्रतिकारिकः । प्रतिकारिकः ।

Whoever I no so the best foundation (1) becomes best founded amons his own Spreech is verily best founded. Whoever thus I nows become best founded minorest his own and also among tothers should be with so.

(1) Vasistha means alther best founded or best clothed of which i the effect from a superior power of speech 5 lide Ch 5 2 4 Br A 4 1 2

> यात्म प्रतित्व वर प्रतिति तत्र प्रीतित्व दर्श स्पुर प्रतित्व त्युवादि तत्र व दृष्टि प्रतितित्व प्रतित्वित्व तत्र प्रतिन्द्रित्व वर्षाः

Whoever knows the best standing place is best placed he stands firmly on what is even and uneven. The eve (1) is verily a firm standing place for by the eye he stands firmly on what is even and uneven Whoever thus knows, stands firmly upon what is even and uneven.

- (1) Br Ā, 4, 1, 4
- 4. यो ह वै सपढं वेढ सप हास्मै पद्यते यं काम कामयते श्रोत्रं वै संपच्छोत्रे हीमे सर्वे वेढा अभिसपन्नाः सपहास्मै पद्यते य कामं कामयते य एवं वेढ ॥

Whoever knows what is treasure, obtains whatever he desires. The ear (1) is treasure, for in the ear all the Vedas are treasured. Whoever thus knows, obtains whatever he desires

- (1) Br A 4, 1, 5.
- 5 यो ह वा आयतन वेदायतनप्रस्वाना भवत्यायतन जनाना मनो वा आयतनमायतनप्रस्वाना भवत्यायतनं जनाना य एव वेद ॥

Whoever knows the place of refuge (1) becomes a place of refuge amongst his own Mind (2) is verily the place of refuge. Whoever thus knows becomes a place of refuge amongst his own

- (1) For the mind is the place, upon which the organs and their objects are dependent, the objects of the senses being enjoyed by the soul through the mind S'
 - (2) Vide Br A., 4, 1, 6
 - 6. यो ह वै प्रजापति वेद प्रजायते ह प्रजया पशुमी रेतो वै प्रजापतिः प्रजायते ह प्रजया पशुमिर्य एव वेद ॥

Whoever knows Prajāpati (the lord of creation), becomes rich in offspring and in cattle. The seed is

the source of creation. Whoover thus knows creates off-print, and becomes rich in cattle

7 त हम प्राया अहर श्रेमम वित्र माना जल नामुन्याहाचु का ना वित्रष्ट हित तलावाच यहिनन्य उत्कान्त इन व्याग पापीया मन्यते स यो जिन्न नित्त ॥

The vital organs (1) disputing about their superior ity went to Brahman (2), and speke to him — Who amongst us is best founded. He said — He amongst you is best founded by whose departure the body is thought to suffer most.

- (1) Speech and the rest S lide Chh U 5-15
 Prasna U 2 2-4 where the dispute among the organs is
 also described
 - (2) Brahman means here Prajapati
 - 8 वा नेधनानं सा सनत्त्वर प्रोव्यागत्वीनाम कानम्बन्धः महत जीवतु मिति त हालुपमा बन्डा अनदन्तो बामा नागन्त प्राणेन पृश्य न्तधनुषा त्रुप्पन्तः श्रोत्रेण विद्वार सा मनमा प्रजानमाना रेतनंत्रमणावित्मति प्रभिवदा ह बाक् ॥

Speech departed Returning after the absence of a year it sud. How could you live without me? They said — As dumb people who do not speak by speech breathing by the vital breath seeing by the eye hearing by the ear thinking by the mind and begetting children so have we lived — Then speech re entered (the bedy)

9 चनुर्होचनाम तत्सनत्मर प्रोत्र्यागत्योत्राच कत्मननकः। मदते जीवितु मिति ते होन्नुनथा अन्या अपश्यन्तक्षनुषा प्राणन्त प्राणन वदन्तो वाचा शृण्वन्तः श्रोत्रेण विद्वार्सो मनसा प्रजायमाना रेतसैवमजीविष्मेति प्रविवेश ह चक्षुः॥

The eye departed Returning after the absence of a year, it said, "How could you live without me?" They said "As blind people, who do not see by the eye (live), breathing by the vital breath, speaking by the organ of speech, hearing by the ear, thinking by the mind, and begetting children, so have we lived" Then the eye re-entered (the body)

10. श्रोत्र होच्चक्राम तत्सवत्सर प्रोध्यागत्योवाच कथमशकत महते जीवितुमिति ते होचुर्यथा विधरा अश्रण्वन्त. श्रोत्रेण प्राणन्तः प्राणेन वदन्तो वाचा परयन्तश्चक्षुषा विद्वारसो मनसा प्रजाय-माना रेतसैवमजीविष्मेति प्रविवेश ह श्रोत्रम् ॥

The ear departed Returning after the absence of a year, it said, "How could you live without me?" They said, "As deaf people, who do not hear by the ear (live), breathing by the vital breath, speaking by the organ of speech, seeing by the eye, thinking by the mind, and begetting children, so have we lived" Then the ear re-entered (the body)

11. मनो होच्छत्राम तत्संबत्सर प्रोष्यागत्योवाच कथमशकत महते जीवि-तुमिति ते होचुर्थथा मुग्वा अविद्वार सो मनसा प्राणन्तः प्राणेन वदन्तो वाचा पश्यन्तश्चक्षुषा शृण्वन्तः श्रोत्रेण प्रजायमाना रेतसैवमजीविष्मेति प्रविवेश ह मनः ॥

The mind departed. Returning after the absence of a year, it said, "How could you live without me?"

They said,—'As idiots who do not think by the mind this breathing by the vital breath speaking by the organ of speech seems by the eye, hearing by the enr, and begetting children so have we lived. Then the mind re entered (the body)

12 रेता हारकार तन्त्रस्तः प्रश्यापत्यायात्र पत्रकातन महत् जीव तुर्मित त राजुक्या हात्रा अप्रतायमाना स्तरा प्राणन्त प्राणन न न्ता यात्रा ५ वन्तश्चेषा शृज्यन्त श्रात्रण विद्वारमा मन नरमनारि मी प्रविषय ह स्त ॥

The organ of generation departed Returning after the absence of a very it said — How could you have without me? They said — As impotent people who do not beget children (live) breathing by the vital breath speaking by the organ of speech sceing by the eye hearing by the car and thinking by the mind, so have we have Then the organ of generation recatered (the body)

13 अत्र ह प्राण एक ियन्यया मात्रपुर्य मैन्यत्र पट्टाणण हुन्स पृद्धवय् हैव सन्यागान्तव्यत् त हाचुगा मगव एक मीन वै हाद्यामन्त्यक्त जीतितृमिति तम्या मे विष्ट दुरुति तथित ॥

Then the vital breath being about to depart as a great noble horse born in the Stadhu country raises its hoofs, so it shook tho e vital organs (from their places). They said — Do not depart O venerable. We cannot hive without thee. If I am such then offer sacrifico (1) to me. (They answered) — Be it so.'

(1) Or as S explains it tribute

14. सा ह वागुवाच यहा अहं विसष्ठास्मि त्वं तहिसष्ठोऽसीति यहा अह प्रतिष्ठास्मि त्वं तत्प्रतिष्ठोऽसीति चक्षुर्यहा अहर् संपदस्मि त्वं तत्सपदसीति श्रोत्र यहा अहमायतनमस्मि त्व तदायतनमसीति मनो यहा अह प्रजातिरस्मि त्व तत्प्रजातिरसीति रेतस्तस्यो मे िक्मन्न िक वास इति यदिट किचाश्वम्य आकृमिम्य आकीट-पतङ्गेम्यस्तत्तेऽन्नमापो वास इति न ह वा अस्यानन्न जग्ध भवित नान्नं परिगृहीत य एवमेतदन्नस्थान्न वेद तिहृद्वाप्रसः श्रोत्रिया अशिष्यन्त आचामन्त्यशित्वाचामन्त्येतमेव तदनमन्म्न कुर्वन्तो मन्यन्ते ॥

Speech said, "That I am founded, is, because thou art founded" The eye said, "That I am a standing place, is, because thou art a standing place" The ear said, "That I am a treasure, is, because thou art a treasure" The mind said, "That I am a place of refuge, is, because thou art a place of refuge" The organ of generation said, "That I am a source of procreation, is, because thou art a source of procreation" (Life said), "If I am such, what then is my food, what then is my foundation?" (They said), "All this whatsoever, horses, worms, small insects, locusts and so on, is thy food, the waters are thy dwelling place" (1). He who thus knows, does not eat (any food) which is not to be eaten (2), nor does he take (any gift) which is not to be taken (3) Therefore those acquainted with the Vedas who thus know, sip water when commencing to eat, and sip water (again) after they have eaten, thinking, that (thereby) they have clothed the naked (life)

- (1) Or thy garment
- (2) He is not guilty of any fault by cating food which is prohibited
- (3) Thus I rendered anannam in accordance with Sahkara's explanation. It is the same term which in the first half of the sentence is translated by not to be eaten.

SLCOND BPARTIANA

1 भ्यारतुर वा कारणय प्रकारण परिकारणात्र साथानाम वैसर्गि प्रकार विचित्रपास समुगक्त्यास्तुवार पुलार वे सी मुस्तार विच्यामानुतियन्त्री विच्यामिति स्वास्त्र ॥

Svetal (tu (1) Aruneva (2) came to the as embly of the Pane'd is the came to Pravidiana, the son of fivala who was attended by his contriers. Seeing him he saluted from by the words— Is at thou. O wouth (3)? He answered. Ah wes O friend. (1) Art thou instructed by thy father? He said. Om (I am)

(1) All that has been omitted in the former chapters of the Upani ad i to be mentioned in this part the khilakanda. At the end of the eventh chapter he who has been a ldieted during his life to be het in how le he made to the performance of rite and so in the approach of death for the road of Anni with the worls. And he am contine good path. By the qualification of good the existence of many path is indicated and the roads themselves are paths towards the acquirement of the effects resulting from worls. They succincilly show the whoe result of wordly endeavours be they works flowing from more natural.

knowledge or from scriptural knowledge. Although, therefore, natural sin has been explained in the words "threefold is the offspring of Piajapati," and also its effects in the words "He has not to perform this work," and although the consequences of scriptural knowledge have been set forth at the end of the topic on "the obtaining of the nature of the three-fold food" and at the commencement of the knowledge of Brahman, as implied in the injunction to abstain from those consequences, yet it has merely been said, that by work alone the world of the forefathers and by knowledge and by work, accompanied with knowledge, the world of the gods is gained, but by what means either is to be obtained has not been stated. To exhibit them and to give finally a succinct view of the whole meaning of the Sastra, is the object of the present Khilakanda S' The present narrative, with the modification of some words, is essentially the same with Chh U, 5, 3

- (2) \overline{A} runeya, the son of \overline{A} runı, who is the son of \overline{A} runa S
- (3) The address of the king is merely Kumara, of which the last syllable is Pluta (three times the length of a short vowel), to indicate contempt, says S
- (4) Svetaketu returns the salutation of the king by the simple syllable, Bho' with Pluta, which, according to S, is not a particle suited in addressing a king
 - 2 वेत्य यथेमा प्रजा: प्रयत्यो विप्रतिपद्यन्ता ३ इति नेति होवाच वेत्यो यथेमं छोकं पुनरापद्यन्ता ३ इति नेति हैवोवाच वेत्यो यथासौ छोक एवं वहामि: पुनः पुनः प्रयद्भिनं संपूर्यता ३ इति नेति हैवोवाच वेत्यो यतिथ्यामाहृत्या इतायामाप: पुरुषवाचो मूत्वा समुत्याय वदन्ती ३ इति नेति हैवोवाच वेत्यो देवयानस्य वा पथ: प्रतिपद पितृयाणस्य वा यत्कृत्वा देवयान वा पन्यानं प्रतिपद्यन्ते पितृयाण वापि हि न ऋपेर्वच. श्रुत । हे सृती अश्रुणव पितृणामहं देवानामुत मत्यीना । ताम्यामिदं विश्वमेज-

रक्काति अन्तरमा पितर ज्ञार विति क्रिक्त प्रकार ने बटीत रुवात ॥

Day thou know how the creatures who depart this life proceed on different roads? He said - I do Dist thou know how they return to this world? He said - I do not know Do t thou know hos many who have quitted as am and again this world no more return to it? He said - I do not Dot thou know at which surther the waters become the words of man and rice to speak? He -aid - I do not I now Dist thou know the me ins of obtaining the road which is called Devay on or the road called Pitryana (that is to say dost thou know) hy which work the road to the world of the gods or of the forefathers is obtained? It is heard (also) the words of the Bsi (1). I heard of two roads, the one (2) of the forefathers and the other of the gods (either of which must be proceeded on) by mortals. As distant as is he father from the mother (3) (distant is the one road from the other) I do not know anything of all this and he

- (1) Of the 'lantra -S
- (*) Leading to the world of the forefathers
- (3) I ather and mother denote heaven and earth the two halves of the mundano egg -- S
 - 3 अपन चलत्यापमन्त्रता के उनाट्य वसति धुमार प्रदृत्व स आजमान पिता तरहावो क्री बात किए ना मकानुपान्तिए।

नवोचिदिति कथर सुमेध इति पञ्च मा प्रश्नान् राजन्यबन्धुर-प्राक्षीत्ततो नैकचन वेदेति कतमे त इतीम इति ह प्रतीकान्युदा-जहार ॥

Then he invited him to sit Not heeding the proffered seat the youth hastened away. He went to his father and said to him, "Hast thou not before declared us to be instructed (in all science)?" "What then, O youth of subtile mind?" "The man whose companions are kings, asked me five questions, of which I did not know one" "Which are they?" "These," and he mentioned them one after another

4. स होवाच तथा नस्त्वं तात जानीथा यथा यदहं किच वेद सर्वमहं तत्तुम्यमवोच प्रेहि तु तत्र प्रतीत्य ब्रह्मचर्य वत्स्याव इति भवानेव गच्छित्विति स आजगाम गौतमो यत्र प्रवाहणस्य जैवलेरास तस्मा आसनमाहृत्योदकमाहारयाचकाराथ हास्मा अर्व्य चकार तर् होवाच वर भगवते गौतमाय दक्ष इति ॥

He said, "Thou must know, O beloved one, that I told thee all which I know myself Up then Going there, let us perform the service of a Brahma student (to the king)" "Do thou go, O Venerable" Gautama went, where Pravāhana, the son of Jīvala held his residence. (The king) bringing a seat for him, had (also) water brought, then he made the oblation according to rite. He said to him, "We grant thee a boon (1) O respected Gautama"

(1) By which is meant a gift of cows, horses, etc

s.

5 स हाताच प्रतिचातः च एव प्रशं सं तु हुना स्यान्त वा प्रसापपाना म प्रशिति ॥

He said,—'(I accept) the boon which thou hast prome ed me. I xplain to me the word which thou hast said before to the youth

6 प्रशासन विद्यार स्थाप स्थाप स्थाप अस्ति ॥

He said 'That is a boon concerning gods name one concerning men

7 प्रधाप विषय हानि दिख्यस्यायास गोक्रमाना ता आप्र प्रधापना पश्चिपन्य मा अ भवान्यदा स्त्रान्ध्यक्ति स्त्र प्रभवकात्र्यात्रपृति ए च गीपन शिर्व स्थान त्यु स्वर् परस्पति यापाद राव द्वर द्वयित स

He said. Thou knowest well. I have enough of gold of cows and horses female slaves dependants and garments. Do thou not withhold from us the gift which is great, permanent, and extends (to many generations). He said. Verily according to rate thou desirest. O Gantama (to obtain knowledge from me). He said. I approach there as pupil according to the rate. (1) With vords others also approached of old (2) (their teachers). He resided there through the mere name of a respectful gift.

⁽¹⁾ Enjoined by the Sastra -S

- (2) Brāhmans went to Ksatriyas, or Ksatriyas to Vaisyas for acquiring knowledge, only with words, and not with presents.
 - 8. स होवाच यथा नस्त्वं गौतम मापराधास्तव च पितामहा यथें यं विद्येत: पूर्व न कस्मि×श्चन ब्राह्मण उवास ता त्वह तुभ्यं वक्ष्यामि को हि त्वैव बुवन्तमईति प्रत्याख्यातुमिति ॥

He said, "Do not hold us guilty, O Gautama, as thy forefathers (held not guilty my forefathers) That this knowledge in former times was not possessed by a Brāhmana thou knowest thyself But I will explain it to thee, for whoever could refuse it to one who thus speaks

9. असी वै लोकोऽग्निर्गीतम तस्यादित्य एव सिमद्रश्मयो धूमो-ऽहरिचिदिशोऽङ्गारा अवान्तरिदशो विस्फुलिङ्गास्तिसमन्नेतिसमन्त्री देवाः श्रद्धा जुह्वति तस्या आहुत्यै सोमो राजा सभवति ॥

That world (1) is the fire, O Gautama. The sun Aditya is its fuel, his rays the smoke (2), the day the flame (3), the quarters the coals (4), the within lying quarters (5) the sparks The gods (6) offer faith to this fire. From this offering king Soma springs forth (7)

- (1) The king answers first the fourth question, because, says S, on its solution also the other questions are solved. That world, viz, the heavens
 - (2) As likewise rising from the fuel S'
 - (3) Being alike through manifestation S'
 - (4) Resembling them by their bringing to rest S

- (5) Flying off from the other quarters like the sparks from fire -S
 - (6) Indra and the rest -S
- (7) He is ling of the forefathers and of the Brahmanas -S
 - 10 पत्तन्या वातिर्गीतम तस्य मत्रत्मा एव मिन्न्न्नाणि पूमा निगुर्गचरत्रानित्याम प्रादुत्त्वा निन्मुरियान्ति-पिनेतिन्यात्री द्वा साम्धरात्रान ब्रुद्धति तस्या आहुर्त्य प्रिं सभवति ॥
- Or Parjanyn (1) is fire—O Gantaina the year (2) is its fuel the clouds the smoke (3) the lightning the flame (4) the thunderholt the coals (1) the thunder claps the spark (6). The gods offer kin, Soma to this fire. From this offering run springs forth.
 - (1) Parjanya the accord locality of the offering is the tutelary doity of rain -S
- (2) The two offerings of the fire acrifice vi the offerings in the morning and in the evening exist in this world in a manifested form as do also the necessary appli ances of the sacrifice at the sacrificial fire the wood the coals and the sparks of the fire the things which are offered as milk ghee etc. When they rise to the other world to heaven or their unmanifested state they exist according to their subtile nature as do also the e appliances Again at the time of manifestation or at the creation of the world the coromonial work is changed by assuming the state of the fire of the atmosphere etc. The same changes undergo also at present the work called fire sacrifice. In this manner the whole world is the effect of the invisible changes of the two offerings of the fire sacrifice Those six necessary appliances will be mentioned afterwards for the sake of extelling the two offerings but here where the consequences resulting from the work of the sacrifices are

to be explained, the object is to set forth the doctrine of the five fires as the cause of obtaining the northern path for the enjoyment of the fruit derived from special work The organs of the body in their relation to the soul are here the offering priests of the fire-sacrifice Considered in their relation to the gods, they are Indra and the other gods who are the offering priests for the fire of heaven, etc They offer the fire-sacrifice for the sake of the fruit, derived from it When they have enjoyed the whole fruit, they perform the same sacrifice again manner the organs are called gods. In this our present state, also, any offering, as milk, etc., dependent on the rite of the fire sacrifice, which has been thrown in the sacrificial fire and consumed by it, enters in its invisible, subtile form, together with the sacrificer, this world further in the form of smoke, the atmosphere, and from the atmospheres the heavens These are the subtile waters, the effect of offerings, the part of the fire-sacrifice, bearing the name of faith, which at the world of the moon cleate another body for the sacrificer, and when entering the heavens, are offered Therefore the waters which are parts of the firesacrifice and the cause that the sacrifice obtains another body in the world of the moon are called faith. They bear the name of waters, because the greater part of their composition is water. The fire-sacrifice however, is merely a representative of all the other Vedic rites, and what has been said about the former, applies also to the latter

- (3) They are smoke, either from their being produced from smoke or from their similar appearance S'
 - (4) Both having the power of manifestation --S'
 - (5) Both being alike extinguishable and hard S
 - (6) Both flying off and being alike frequent S
 - 11. अय वै लोकोऽभिगोंतम तस्य पृथिन्येव समिदग्निर्धूमो रात्रिरिचिश्चन्द्रमा अङ्गारा नक्षत्राणि विस्फुलिङ्गास्तिस्मन्नेतिस्मन्नग्नौ देवा वृष्टि जुह्वित तस्या आह्रत्या अन्नर् संभवित ॥

This world (1) is fire O Gautama. The earth is its fuel (2) fire the smoke (3) night the flame (4) the moon the coals (5) the stars the sparks. The gods offer run to this fire. From this offering food springs forth.

- (1) This world the place where living creatures are born and have their enjoyment ---
- (2) For by the earth furni had with the means of enjoyment for innumerable living creatures this world is nourished $-\vec{S}$
- (3) It 1 fire as rising from the earth in the same mainer as smoke from fire -\$
- (4) The night is the flame because as the flame has its origin from the connection of fuel with fire so the night from the connection with its fuel it the earth the darkness of the night being called the shadow of the earth S To which A G adds for darkness is the place of Rithu and this is the shadow of the earth
- (5) The meen is the coals from similarity of origin for as from the name coals are produced so the meen is produced in the night. Or because both are alike extinguishable —S
 - 12 पुर ॥ वाहितातम तस्य न्यातमेव सिन्द्र्याणो घृमा वागर्नि धनुष्ट्राग श्रोत्र विस्तृति झास्तिम्मनेतिस्मिन्नत्री देवा अन जुद्धति तस्या ब्याहृत्य रेत सभवति ॥

Man is fire O Gautama. His open mouth is the fuel (1) breath the smoke (2) speech the fine (3), the eye the coals the earthe sparks. The gods offer food in this fire. From this offering seed springs forth.

- (1) For through the mouth man is lighted up, ie, enlightened with regard to speech study of the Vedas, etc. S.
 - (2) Both rising equally upwards S.
 - (3) From their like power of manifestation S.
 - 13 योषा वा अभिगोंतम तस्था उपस्य एव सिम्छोमानि धूमो यो-निर्राचिर्यदन्तः करोति तेऽङ्गारा अभिनन्दा विस्फुलिङ्गा-स्तस्मिन्नेतस्मिन्नग्नौ देवा रेतो जुह्वति तस्या आहुत्ये पुरुषः संभवति स जीवति यावज्ञीवल्यथ यदाम्रियते ॥

Woman is fire, O Gautama, her haunch the fuel, the hairs on the body the smoke (1), the organ of generation the flame (2), cohabitation the coals (3), the fits of enjoyment the sparks. The gods offer seed in this fire. From this offering man springs forth (4). He lives as long as he lives (5). When he dies

- (1) Both rising equally upwards S'
- (2) From likeness of colour -S.
- (3) Both equally extinguishing S'
- (4) In this manner the waters, bearing the name of faith, are gradually offered in the fires of heaven, of Parjanya, of this world, of man, and of woman, and having assumed gradually a glosser and grosser shape, they are the cause of the creation of man. And thereby the fourth question, viz, "Dost thou know, at the offering of which sacrifice, the waters becoming the worlds of man, rise to speak?" is decided, viz, they become so, on the performance of the fifth offering in the fire which is woman, when the waters become seed. S'
- (5) As long as the fruit of the work continues, which had been the cause of his having assumed his present body S'

14 अञ्चनमञ्जये हरन्ति तस्याग्निरेवाग्निगनित मिन्निनित्ना घूमोऽ चिरचिरङ्गारा अङ्गाग निम्पिन्द्रा निस्पुलिङ्गान्तिरमित्रेतिरानन्त्री दवा पुरुष जुद्धति तस्या आहुर्त्य पुरपो भास्यग्यण सभनति॥

Then they (1) take him (2) to the fire his fire becomes fire his fuel fire his smoke this flume flame his coals coals his spirks sparks. The gods offer man in this fire. From this offering man in radiant splendour springs forth

- (1) The Riviks or priests performing the last rites -S
- (2) The deceased who in this case is himself the offering \mathbb{S}
 - 15 ते य एवमेतदिदुर्थे चामी अरण्ये श्रद्धार सन्यमुपासत तेऽचिरिमसभन्न्यचिपोऽदरत् आष्ट्रमाणपक्षमाप्यमाणपक्षा-चान्यणमानानुरङ्गदिन्य एति मासेभ्यो दवलाक देवरोका दादित्यमादित्याद्वतुत तान्त्रेयुतान्युर्या मानस एत्य ब्रह्मनेकान् गमयति तेषु ब्रह्मगेके परा परावता वसन्ति तेषा न पुनगर्गति ॥

Those (1) who have this knowledge (2) and those who in the forest (3) meditate with faith on truth (4), obtain the flame (5) from the flame the day from the day the light half of the moon from the light half of the moon from the light half of the moon the six months when the sun ineves to the north from these menths the world of the gods from the world of the gods the sun from the sun the world of the lightning. Those who have obtained the world

of the lightning, are removed by the Purusa created by the mind (6) to the Brahma worlds (7) In those Brahma worlds exalted they live infinite years. For them, there is no return (to this world) (8).

- (1) The present section replies to the first question and to part of the fifth, viz, "Dost thou know, in what way the creatures, departing this life proceed on different roads?" and "Dost thou know the means of obtaining the road which is called Devayana"?
- (2) Those who thus know The knowledge does not refer to one of those fires only, but to the five fires together, as is evident from the Chha U, where (5, 10, 10) the knowledge of the five first is expressly mentioned with reference to the same topic The knowledge concerns the nature of those first and not the comparisons, which are only made for the sake of extolling the fire-sacrifice But how are those who thus know? not the householders in general, for those among them who have not the knowledge of the five fires, are to gain the worlds of smoke as the fruit, etc, resulting from sacrifice, gifts and austerity, (16) Not those who have retired to the forest, nor religious mendicants, for they are mentioned in the passage of the text immediately following, "those who in the forest," and the knowledge of the five fires has connection with the work of the householders, and not with that of hermits. or religious mendicants Nor lastly the Brahmacaris, because their entering upon the northern path is proved by the Smrti Therefore the words "those who know," refer to the house-holders who have a knowledge of the five fires
- (3) Those who in the forest, viz, those who have retired from the world to the forest (the vanaprasthas,) and also the religious mendicants (Parivrajakas)
- (4) Who meditate on truth, that is to say on truth, that is to say on Brahman in the form of Hiranyagarbha,

not such as meditate with faith simply. As long as the househelders have not the knowledge of the five fires or of Brahman se leng after the fifth offering in the gradual order of these offerings has been performed they are again born from the fire of woman and en their return to this world they perform again ceremonial work. By means of this worl they ge again in the fradual procession from one world to another up to the world of the forefathers and from there backwards in the rever e order to this world. Here being again born from the fire of woman the same circle is to be described like the continual rotation of a water wheel - \$

- (5) Flame means here the intelar, derly of the fire and so the terms the day the light half of the moon the tutelary derties of the day etc
 - (6) By Brahman -S
- (7) The Brahma worlds the plural refers either to different regions in the Brahma world which is only one or to the difference of the rewards derived from different modes of meditation —S
- (8) That to say there is no return for them during the present duration of the world but they return of course on a new creation as absolute liberation from transmigration is only the eliest frem the knowledge of Brahman while the knowledge here in question is merely a knowledge of the five fires or of Brahman in the form of Hiranyagarbha This view is o'ear from the whole doctring of this Upanisad and does not require any further proof but S wastes much ingenuity to prove it from the form of language of another passage where the not return has the addition to this world.
 - 16 अ र ये यहेन दानन तपमा अक्ताचयन्ति ते धूमपिममभवन्ति धूमाडाति र रात्रिपक्षीयमाणपक्षमपक्षीयमाणपक्षायान्वणासान्द-क्षिणाटिस एति मासेम्य पितृलोक पितृलोकाचन्द्र ते चन्द्र

प्राप्यानं भवन्ति ता स्तत्र देवा यथा सोम राजानमाप्याय-स्वापक्षीयस्वेत्येवमेना स्तत्र भक्षयन्ति तेषा यदा तत्पर्यवैत्यथे-ममेवाकाशमिनिष्पद्यन्त आकाशाद्वायु वायोर्वृष्टि वृष्टेः पृथिवी ते पृथिवी प्राप्यानं भवन्ति ते पुनः पुरुषाग्नौ हूयन्ते ततो योषाग्नौ जायन्ते छोकान्प्रत्युत्थायिनस्त एवमेवानुपरिवर्त-न्तेऽथ य एतौ पन्यानौ न विदुस्ते कीटाः पतङ्गा यदिद दन्दराक्षम् ॥

Again (1), those who conquer the worlds by sacrifice, gifts and austerity (2), obtain smove (3), from smoke night, from night the dark half of the moon, from the dark half of the moon the six months when the sun moves to the south, from those months the world of the forefathers, from the world of the forefathers the moon Having obtained the moon, they become food As (the offering priests) consume (again and again) king Soma, saying, do increase, and do decrease, so the gods consume them there (4) When that (fruit of their works) ceases, then they obtain the ether, from the ether the air, from the air rain, from rain the earth Having obtained the earth, they become food (5) Again they are offered in the fire of man, hence they are born in the fire of woman Proceeding from world to world, they return in this way again and again. Again, those who do not know those roads, become worms, locusts and gnats (6)

⁽¹⁾ Those householders, who have not the know-ledge of the five fires

- (2) S says that gifts and austerity do not refer to such as are enjoined by the Vedas as they would be included in sacrifice
 - (3) The deities of smoke etc
- (4) As the offering priests consume again and again the Soma juice so the performers of rites who are the supporters of the gods by sacrifices etc on their obtaining new bodies in the Soma world are turned back again and again to this world for the performance of troublesome work by the gods from whom they receive such rewards as are in correspondence with their work—S And thoroby is answered the second half of the fifth question
 - (a) This is the reply to the second question
 - (6) The answer to the third question

THIRD BRAHMANA

1 स य कामपेत महत्प्राप्तपामित्युद्दगयन आयूनमाणपक्षस्य पुण्याहे द्वादशाहमुपस्त्वती सूत्वीद्धम्बरे क्रूसे चमसे वा सर्वोपवं फलानाति समृत्य परिममुद्ध परिलिण्याप्तिमुपसमावाय परिस्तीया धृताज्य सूर्यस्त्रेय पुरसा नक्ष्रेण मन्त्र सनीय जुहोति— यावन्तो देवास्त्विय जातवेदिस्तयक्षो प्रन्ति पुरुपम्य कामान । तेम्योऽह मागधेय जुहामि ते मा तृता सर्वे कामस्तपयन्तु खाहा । या तिरक्षी निपचतेऽह विवरणी इति । ता त्वा घृतस्य वार्या यने स्राधनीमह्र स्वाहा ॥

Whoever desires (1) to obtain greatness, (has to perform the following rite)

At the time when the sun

moves to the north on an auspicious day of the light half of the moon, the twelfth day of his performing the vow named Upasad (2), after he has gathered and mixed together all kinds of herbs and fruits in a shellshaped vessel or in a spoon, either made of the wood of the conglomerate fig-tree, after he has sprinkled with water (the place of offering), placed the cow-dung, lightened the fire spread (the kus'a grass), cleaned the covered ghee, and taken the mixture at the time of a male star, he performs the offering (3), (saying), "To all the gods of crooked mind who under thy control, O Jataveda (4), obstruct man's desire, do I offer a share (of the sacrifice). Satisfied, let them satisfy me with all desires Svaha to the goddess of crooked mind who under the thought that she is the upholder (of all) has taken refuge to thee, to this deity who is the accomplisher of all, I offer a part of the ghee, Svaha!

- (1) The ultimate effect, derived from knowledge and work, has been declared. Knowledge is independent of any other thing, but work depends both upon the wealth of gods and upon that of man. For the sake of work, therefore, wealth must be acquired, and this by means unaccompanied with sin. For the acquirement of wealth the ceremony, called Mantha, is ordained, in order that greatness be obtained, for wealth is the consequence of greatness.
- (2) The vow which is called Upasad is part of the Votistoma rites, and consists in limiting the food for a period of twelve days taking the first day as much milk as is contained in one udder of a cow, the second as is contained in two, the third in three, and the fourth in four, then on the fifth again taking three, and so down to one, when again one is added on each successive day.

- (3) Then while efforing ghee he speaks the following Mantras —S
 - (4) Fire
 - उचेष्ठाय स्वाहा ग्रेष्ठाय स्वाहेत्यप्ती हुत्वा मन्ये स५ स्त्रममनगरित प्राणाय स्वाहा विस्तृष्य स्वाहत्यप्ती हुत्वा मन्ये स५ स्त्रममनगरित वाच स्वाहा प्रतिष्ठाय स्वाहत्यप्ती हुत्वा मन्ये स५ स्त्रममनगरित वाच स्वाहा प्रतिष्ठाय स्वाहत्यप्ती हुत्वा मन्ये स५ स्वमवनयित श्रामाय स्वाहाऽयतनाय स्वाहेत्यप्ती हुत्वा मन्ये स५ स्वयमनगरित मनस स्वाहा प्रतात्य स्वाहत्यप्ती हुत्वा मन्ये म५ स्वयमनगरित रेतसे स्वाहत्यप्ती हुत्वा मन्ये स५ स्वयमनगरित ॥

Svihi to the eldest Sviha to the best! '(1) with these words offering to the fire he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. Svaha to life Sysha to what is firmly founded! With these words offering to the fire he drops the remainder (of the chee) into the churning vessel Svaha to speech Svaha te the standing place! with these words offering to the fire he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel Svalia to the eye Svalia to the trea sure! with these words offering to the fire he drops the remainder of the ghee into the churning vessel Svaha to the car, Svaha to the place of refuge ' with these words offering to the fire he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel 'Svaha to the mind, Sviha to the source of procreation! with these words effering to the fire, he drops the remainder

(of the ghee) into the churning vessel "Svāhā to the seed!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel

- (1) The eldest and the best is life Compare this passage with 6, 1, 1 6
 - 3 अप्रये स्वाहेल्यग्नौ हुत्वा मन्थे स्रस्वमवनयित सोमाय स्वाहेल्यग्नौ हुत्वा मन्थे स्रस्वमवनयित भूः स्वाहेल्यग्नौ हुत्वा मन्थे स्रस्वमवनयित भुवः स्वाहेल्यग्नौ हुत्वा मन्थे स्रस्वमवनयित भूम्वि स्वः स्वाहेल्यग्नौ हुत्वा मन्थे स्रस्वमवनयित भूम्वि स्वः स्वाहेल्यग्नौ हुत्वा मन्थे स्रस्वमवनयित ब्रह्मणे स्वाहेल्यग्नौ हुत्वा मन्थे स्रस्वमवनयित क्षत्राय स्वाहेल्यग्नौ हुत्वा मन्थे स्रस्वमवनयित भविष्यते स्वाहेल्यग्नौ हुत्वा मन्थे स्रस्वमवनयित स्वाहेल्यग्नौ हुत्वा मन्थे स्रस्वमवनयित सर्वाय स्वाहेल्यग्नौ हुत्वा मन्थे स्रस्वमवनयित प्रजापतये स्वाहेल्यग्नौ हुत्वा मन्थे स्रस्वमवनयित प्रजापतये स्वाहेल्यग्नौ हुत्वा मन्थे स्रस्वमवनयित प्रजापतये स्वाहेल्यग्नौ हुत्वा मन्थे स्रस्वमवनयित ।।

"Svāhā to the fire!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel "Svāhā to Soma!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel "Svāhā to the earth!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel "Svāhā to the atmosphere!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel "Svāhā to the heavens!" with these words

offering to the fire he drops the remainder (of the glice) into the churning vessel Svaha to the earth, to the atmosphere and to the heavens! with these words offering to the fire he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel 'Svaha to the Brahman l with these words offering to the fire he drops the remainder (of the gheo) into the churming vestel Sigha to the Keattra with these words offering to the fire he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. Svaha to the past I with these words offering to the fire he drops the remainder (of the gheel into the charning vessel. Sigha to the future 1 with these words offering to the fire he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel 'Syaha to the universel with these words offering to the fire he drops the remainder (of the shee) into the churning vessel. Syalia to all! with these words offering to the fire he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel Swaha to Prajapati with these words offering to the fire he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the charning vessel

> 1 वर्गनमभिष्रगति अमर्रास -५७/नि पूर्णमि प्रम्त ग्रमस्येजमभमिति हिंकनमिति हिंकियमाणमस्युद्री ग्रमस्युद्रायमानमिति श्रावितमिति प्रत्याश्रावितमस्योद्र मदीसमिति विभूगनि प्रभृगस्यन्तमित ज्योतिगित निगनमित्त स्वर्गोऽसाति ॥

Then he touches that (mixture saying), Thou art moveable (1) thou art resplendent (2) thou art full (3)

thou art unshaken (4), thou art the one pervader of this (universe), thou art proclaimed (5), thou art proclaimed again (6), thou art sung (7), thou art sung again (8), thou art sounded (9), thou art resounded (10); thou shinest in the cloud (11), thou art pervading, thou art powerful, thou art food (12), thou art splendoui (13), thou art destruction (14), thou art identity"

- (1) Moveable, because life is moveable, and thou art of the same nature as life \overline{A} G
 - (2) Because fire, the same as thou, is so \(\bar{A}\) G
 - (3) In thy nature as Brahman A G
 - (4) In thy likeness of the sky A G
- (5) By the Prastota at the commencement of the sacrifice. \bar{A} G
 - (6) By the same in its middle. A G
- (7) By the Udgata at the commencement of the sacrifice \bar{A} G
 - (8) By the same in its middle A G.
 - (9) By the Adhvaryu A G.
 - (10) By the Agnīdhra Ā G
- (11) According to \bar{A} G's explanation, Literally, in what is most
- (12) Food, represented by Soma, all things to be enjoyed \bar{A} G
- (13) Splendour as represented by fire, in its nature as consumer \bar{A} G
- (14) Destroyer, being the cause of destruction of all things according to their relation to the soul and to the deities \bar{A} . G

5 अर्बनसुबर त्यापः स्यामः हि ते महि सहिराजयाताऽविषति संसार सर्वेशकाऽचिति कमित्रिति ।

Then he ruses that (mixture signal) — Thou thinkest (of all) we think of the greatness for he is king lord and sovereign. Let him the king and lord, make me a sovereign

७ अर्थनतात्राकि सत्यित्रवेषय त्युवाता प्रतायत मधु ६एन्ति मिन्यव माध्यान सन्त्याप्रवीः । स्याहा भगा ६५००० धीषि एमु नाम्भुताप्रवा गमुत्रापार्थिवर गन् मधु दीरस्तु न विता सुत्र स्याहा थिया या न प्राय सान्यमुमात्री वनस्पित्राम् । अस्तु सुव माध्यीगाया भवन्त्य न्याहित सर्वी च सावित्रामन्याह सवाध मधु मत्रीहिषे ४ स्य भूयास भूमुत स्य स्याहित सर्वाच्य पाणी प्रश्लास्य अवनेनाक्षि प्रायदिस्य मुपित्रवे निकासभुण्डिकास्यह सञ्चामित्रपुर्वरीक भूपासमिति पर्येतमय अवनेनाक्षिमासीना वर्षण वपति ।

Then he eats (1) that (mixture and with the words)— Let us reflect on the adorable light of Savita (viz) May the vinds convey impriness may the rivers drop inappiness may the herbs be of sweet juice to us 'Svaha to the earth! let him take the first morse! (With the words),— Let us reflect on the adorable light of savita (viz) May the night yea even the morning dawas (bring us) happiness, (may be fraught with happiness) the dust of the earth. May the heavens, our father, (bring us) happiness 'Svaha to the

atmosphere!" (let him take the second morsel) With the words, "(Let us reflect on the adorable light of Savitā) who quickens our understanding, (viz) May Vanaspati (bring) us happiness, may the sun (bring) us happiness, may his rays (bing) us happiness Svāhā to the heavens!" let him take the third morsel And having repeated the whole Savitri and all the benedictions (2), he says at the close (of the rite), "May I become this all, Svāhā to the earth, the atmosphere and the heavens!" Then having sipped (water) and cleaned his hands, he touches the fire with his thigh, his head turned towards the east (Then) in the morning dawn he adores Aditya (with the Mantra), "Thou art the one lotus of the quarters, may I become the one lotus of men" As he (before) approached the fire, so he (again) approaches it with the thigh, and after being seated, he mutters the school (3)

- (1) He shall gradually take three morsels With the first morsel he shall recite the first foot of the Gāyatrī and the first sacred word (Bhū), with the second the second foot and the second sacred word (Bhuvah), and with the third the third foot and the third sacred (Svah) After he has muttered the three sacred words, he should clean the vessel, in which he has dropped the remainder of the ghee, and drink this in silence S'
 - (2) He eats the fourth morsel A G
 - (3) The succession of teachers and disciples
 - 7 तर्हेतमुद्दालक आरुणिर्वाजसनेयाय याज्ञवल्क्यायान्तेवासिन उक्तवोवाचापि य एनर शुष्के स्थाणौ निपिश्वेज्ञायेरञ्छाखाः प्ररोहेयुः पलाञानीति ।

biddlika Aragi having explained this fraction to his dreiple Annavallika of the Majame a school, and—'Whoever pair at on advitemal (xlll a) has branches reasonable for h

रु व्यक्ति सारम्या र व्यवस्य स्थान विक्रित स्थापना स्

Indically of the Lagranger had beside explained this (mixture) to his despite Mathida Pathaga and — Wholese pours it or a destruit form (will real fix branches rise and its leaves sounk forth."

प्रमुद्धित्वाक् यद्वय पुरुष र प्रतिवयद्वाकार स्वत प्रति ।
 प्रति प्रति ।
 प्रति ।
 प्रति ।
 प्रति ।

Madhala Pangya having explained this (mix ure) to his disciple Cala Blugavit I said — Wheeve pours it on a dry trunt, (will see) its branches record its leaves spring forth

प्रतिक के के स्थानी विकास के विकास के विकास के स्थानी विकास क

Call Bragnetti, having truth this (mixture) to his disciple, Jandi Tynshana exid — Whoever poun it on a dry trunk, (will see) its branches rise and lileaves spring forth. 11. एतमुहैव जानिकरायस्थूणः सत्यकामाय जाबाळायान्तेवासिन उद्यत्वोवाचापि य एन८ शुष्के स्थाणौ निषित्रेजायेरञ्छाखाः प्ररोहेस् पळाञानीति ।

Jānaki Āyasthūna having explained this (mixture) to his disciple, Satyakāma Jābāla, said, "Whoever pours it on a dry trunk, (will see) its branches rise and its leaves spring forth."

12. एतमु हैव सत्यकामो जाबालोऽन्तेवासिभ्य उक्त्वोवाचापि य एन४ शुष्के स्थाणो निषिञ्चेज्ञायेरञ्छाखाः प्ररोहेयुः पलाज्ञानीति तमेतन्नापुत्राय वानन्तेवासिने वा ब्रूयात्।

Satyakāma Jābāla having explained this (mixture) to his disciples, said, "Whoever places it on a dry trunk, (will see) its branches rise, and its leaves spring forth. Let none teach it to one who is not a son, or a disciple"

13. चतुरोदुम्बरो भवत्योदुम्बर स्वृव ओदुम्बरश्रमस औदुम्बर इध्म ओदुम्बर्या उपमन्यन्यो दश ग्राम्याणि धान्यिन भवन्ति ब्रीहियवास्तिलमाषा अणुप्रियङ्गयो गोधूमाश्च मसूराश्च खल्वाश्च खलकुलाश्च तान् पिष्टान्दधिन मधुनि वृत उपिञ्चत्याल्यस्य जुहोति।

There should be four things made of the wood of the conglomerate fig-tree, the Sruva (1), the Camasa (2), the sacrificial wood, and the two churning vessels, there should be ten kinds of cultivated seeds, viz., rice, barley, sesamum seed, kidney beans, millet (3), panick

seed wheat lentil pulse and we'ch. When they are ground down and sprintled with curdled mill honey and ghee, he shall offer the charified butter.

- (1) A kind of ladle with which the glace is taken when offered to the fire
- (2) and her kit tof latte to be put in the water used to the exemice
- (3) Any a so of corn of very small seed which is called China in itencali

LOURTH DRAIL IA A

1 को है। सुनातं पुरिशं स्व प्रस्थित कापाद्रयामारुस्य अतः ति पुचानि पुचाना क्लोनिक तत्त पुरस्य च ।

Verily of created things here earth is the essence of earth water of water plants of plants flowers of flowers frints of frants man (Purusa) of man semen

2 म ह प्रजाविभिभाजक हत्या ने प्रतिय कल्पवानीति स नियम १६ने ताम प्रशुप्तम उपान्त तस्तात्तित्वमध उपानि न प्रत प्राय तावाणनात्मन एव मशुपारवत्ते निवासन्य १५६1

Prajapati ('Lord of creatures') bethought immself Come let me provide him a firm basis! So he created woman When he had created her, he revered her below Therefore one should revere woman below He stretched out for himself that stone which projects With that he impregnated her

3. तस्या वेदिरुपस्थो छोमानि वर्हिश्चर्माधिषवणे समिद्रो मध्यतस्तौ सुष्को स यावान् ह वै वाजपेयेन यजमानस्य छोको भवति तावानस्य छोको भवति य एव विद्वानधोपहासं चरलासार स्त्रीणार सुकृत वृड्केऽथ य इटमविद्वानधोपहास चरत्यस्य स्त्रियः सुकृतं वृङ्के ।

Her lap is a sacrificial altar, her hairs, the sacrificial grass, her skin, the soma-press. The two lips of the vulva are the fire in the middle. Verily, indeed, as great as is the world of him who sacrifices with the Vajapeya ('Strength-libation') sacrifice, so great is the world of him who practises sexual intercourse, knowing this, he turns the good deeds of women to himself. But he who practises sexual intercourse without knowing this women turn his good deeds unto themselves.

- 4 एतद्ध स्म वै तिद्धद्वानुद्दालक आरुणिगाहैतद्ध स्म वै तिद्वद्वानाको मौद्रल्य आहेतद्ध स्म वे तिद्धान्कुमारहारित आह वहवो भर्या ब्राह्मणायना निगिन्द्रिया विस्तुकृतोऽस्मालोकात्प्रयन्ति य इदमिवद्वाप्सोऽघोपहासचरन्तीति वहु वा इदप् सुप्तस्य वा जाप्रतो वा रेतः स्कन्डित ।
- 5 तदिमभूगेदनु वा मन्त्रयेत यन्मेऽच रेतः पृथिवीमस्कान्त्सीद्यदोषः धीरप्यसरचदप इदमहं तदेत आददे पुनर्भा मेत्विन्द्रयं पुनर्श्तेजः

पुनभग पुनरप्रिधिष्यया य**ास्यान** कल्पन्तामित्यनामिकाङ्गुष्ठा-भ्यामादायान्तरेण स्तनौ वा भुवौ वा निमृज्यात् ।

This verily indeed it was that Uddalaka Āruņi knew when he said

This verily indeed it was that Naka Maudgalya knew when he said

This verily indeed, it was that Kumaraharita knew when he said 'Many mortal men Brahmans by descent go forth from this world impotent and devoid of merit namely those who practise sexual intercourse without knowing this

(If) even this much semen is spilled whether of one asleep or of one awake 5 then he should touch it or (without touching) repeat

What semen has of mine to earth been spilt now Whate er to herb has flowed whate or to water—

This very semen I reclaim I Again to me let vigor come I Again my strength again my glow I Again the altars and the fire Be found in their accustomed place!

Having spoken thus he should take it with ring finger and thumb and rub it on between his breasts or his eye brows

७ अथ यशुदक आत्मान पञ्चेत्तदिममन्त्रयेत मिय तेज इन्द्रिय यशो इत्रिणर सुकृतिमिति श्रीह वा एपा छोणा यनमञोद्वासास्त्रस्मान्मञे द्वासस यशस्विनीमिकान्योपमन्त्रयेत । Now, if one should see himself in water, he should recite over it the formula. 'In me be vigor, power, beauty, wealth, merit!'

This, verily, indeed, is loveliness among women when (a woman) has removed the (soiled) clothes of her impurity. Therefore when she has removed the (soiled) clothes of her impurity and is beautiful, one should approach and invite her

त सा चेदस्मै न दशात्काममेनामवक्रीणीयात् सा चेदस्मै नैव दशात्काममेना यष्ट्या वा पाणिन। वोपहत्यातिक्रामेदिन्द्रियेण ते यशसा यश आदद इस्ययशा एव भवति ।

If she should not grant him his desire, he should bribe her. If she still does not grant him his desire, he should hit her with a stick or with his hand, and overcome her, saying. 'With power, with glory I take away your glory!' Thus she becomes inglorious.

8. सा चेदस्मे दधादिन्दियेण ते यशसा यश आदधामीति यशस्विनावेव भवतः।

If she should yield to him, he says. 'With power, with glory I give you glory!' Thus they two become glorious

9. स याभिच्छेत्कामयेत मेति तस्यामर्थ निधाय मुखेन मुख्र संधायोपस्थमस्या अभिमृज्य जपेदङ्गादङ्गात्संभविस हृदयाद्धि जायसे। स त्वमङ्ग मायोऽसि दिग्धविद्धामित्र मादयेमामम् मयीति। The wonder whom one may desire with the thought, May she enjoy love with mol—after coming together with her joining nouth with mouth and stroking her lap he should mutter

> Thou that from every limb art come That from the heart art generate Thou art the essence of the limbs I Distract this woman here in me As if by poisoned arrow pieced I

10 अत्र यामिच्छेत्र गम द्यीतित तस्यागर्व निष्टाय मुखेन मुप्पर मधायामिनाण्यापान्यांशिन्ध्येण ते रेतला रेत आदट इन्यरेता एव भवति ।

Now, the woman whom one may desire with the thought. May she not conceive off-pring!—after coming together with her and joining mouth with mouth he should first inhale then exhale and say. With power, with semen I reclaim the somen from you! Thus she comes to be without seed.

11 बार यामिक्टोइर्गातित तस्याम र निराय मुद्रेन मुख्य संधाया-पान्यामिमाण्यात्रिन्द्रयेण ते रेतमा रेत बादधामीति गर्मिण्येव भनति ।

Now the woman whom one may desire with the thought 'May she conceived —after coming together with her and joining mouth with mouth, he should first exhale, then inhale and say With power, with semen, I doposit somen in youl Thus she becomes pregnant

12. अथ यस्य जायाये जारः स्थात चेद्द्विष्यादामपात्रेऽग्निमुपसमाधाय प्रतिलोमप् ग्रात्विस्तीत्वी तस्मिन्नेता. शरभृधीः प्रतिलोमाः सिप्षाक्ता जुडुयान्मम समिद्धेऽहोषीः प्राणापानी त आद्देऽसाविति मम समिद्धेऽहोषीः पुत्रपशूप्त आददेऽसाविति मम समिद्धेऽहोषीः पुत्रपशूप्त आददेऽसाविति मम समिद्धेऽहोषीराशापराकाशो त आददेऽसाविति स वा एष निरिन्द्रियो विसुकृतोऽस्माल्लोकात्प्रैति यभेव विद्वान्बाह्मणः शपति तस्मादेविवच्छ्रोत्रियस्य दारेण नोपहासिमच्छेदुत ह्येवित्परो भवति ।

Now, if one's wife have a paramour, and he hate him, let him put fire in an unannealed vessel, spread out a low of reed arrows in inverse order, and therein sacrifice in inverse order those reed arrows, their heads smeared with ghee, saying

'You have made a libation in my fire! I take away your inbreath and out-breath (prānāpānau) you, so-and-so!

You have made a libation in my fire! I take away your sons and cattle you, so-and-so!

You have made a libation in my fire! I take away your sacrifices and meritorious deeds—you, so-and-so!

You have made a libation in my fire! I take away your hope and expectation you, so-and-so!

Verily, he whom a Brahman who knows this curses he departs from this world impotent and devoid of merit. Therefore one should not desire sport with the spouse of a person learned in sacred lore (s'rotriya)

who knows this for indeed he who knows this becomes superior

13 अथ यस्य जायामातः चिन्देत्त्र्यह कश्सेन पिवेटहतत्रासा नना यूपटा न यूपल्य सहन्यात्त्रियात्रान्त आक्र्य बीहीनवचातवेत् ।

Now, when the monthly siekness comes upon any one's wife, for three days she should not drink from a metal cup nor put on fresh clothes. Neither a low caste man nor a low caste woman should touch her At the end of the three nights she should batho and should have rice threshed.

14 म य इच्छेरपुत्रो में द्युको नायेत यदमतुनुनीत सर्वमाधुरियातिति क्षीरीदन पाचियत्वा सर्पिनमन्त्रमशीयातामीक्षरी जनियति ।

In case one wishes That a white son he born to me! that he may be able to repoat a Veda! that he may attain the full length of hife!—they two should have rice cooked with milk and should cat it prepared with give. They two are likely to beget (him)

15 अथ य इच्छेत्प्रमे मे कपिल पिङ्गलो जायेत हो बेदाबनुहुवीत सवमानुग्यातित दथ्योदन पाचित्वा सर्पि-मन्तमश्रीयातामी धरी जनवित्वे !

Now in ease one wishes, 'That a trwny son with reddish brown eyes be born to me! that he may be able to recite two Vedas! that he may attain the full length of hife!—they two should have rice cooked with sour mulk and should eat it prepared with ghee They two are likely to beget (him)

16. अथ य इच्छेत्पुत्रो में श्यामो लोहिताक्षो जायेत त्रीन्वेदाननुब्रुवीत सर्वमायुरियादित्युदौदनं पाचिथित्वा सर्पिष्मन्तमश्रीयातामीश्वरी जनियत्वे ।

Now, in case one wishes, 'That a swarthy son with red eyes be born to me! that he may be able to repeat three Vedas! that he may attain the full length of life!' they two should have rice boiled with water and should eat it prepared with ghee. They two are likely to beget (him)

17. अथ य इच्छेद्दृहिता मे पण्डिता जायेत सर्वमायुरियादिति तिलौदन पाचियत्वा सर्पिष्मन्तमश्चीयातामीश्वरी जनयितवै ।

Now, in case one wishes, 'That a learned (panditā) daughter be born to me' that she may attain the full length of life!' they two should have rice boiled with sesame and should eat it prepared with ghee. They two are likely to beget (her)

18, अथ य इच्छेत्पुत्रो मे पण्डितो विगीतः समितिगमः शुश्रूषिता वाच भाषिता जायेत सर्वान्वेदाननुबुवीत सर्वमायुरियादिति मार्सौदनं पाचियत्वा सर्पिष्मन्तमश्रीयातामीश्वरौ जनियत वा औक्षेण वाषभेण वा।

Now, in case one wishes 'That a son, learned, famed, a frequenter of council-asemblies, a speaker of discourse desired to be heard, be born to me'that he be able to repeat all the Vedas' that he attain the full length of life!' they two should have rice boiled with meat and should eat it prepared with

ghee They two are likely to beget (him) with ment either vent or beef

अधानिप्रातस्य स्वानीपाकारताज्य चिहत्वा स्वानीपाकस्थापवात जुङ्गान्यप्रथे स्वाहानुगनेषे स्वाहा द्वाय सिवित्रे सन्यप्रसवाय स्वाहति हृस्वावन्य प्राथाति प्रारयतान्या प्रवच्छित प्रशालय पाणा उ पात्र पृथित्वा तनेना त्रिरम्युसन्यतिष्ठाता विश्वावनाड न्यांगिच्छ तर्वु वी म जाया प्रया महति ।

Now toward morning having prepared melted butter in the manner of the Sthalipaka' he takes of the Sthalipaka and makes a libation saying 'To Agni hail! To Anumati hail! To the god Savitri (Enlivenor! the sun) whose is true procreation (satya prasava) hail! Having made the libation he takes and eats. Having eaten he offers to the other (i.e. to her). Having washed his hands he fills a vessel with water and therewith sprinkles her thrice, saying

Arise from hence Vivavau! Some other choicer maiden seek! This wife together with her lerd—

20 अर्जनामिषयतेऽमोऽह्मस्मि सा स्वर्माः स्वमस्यमाऽह सामाह्मस्मि करत्व पीग्ह पृज्वि। त्व सावहि मरस्माजहे सह रेतो द्यावहे पुरसे पुत्राय निचय इति ।

Then he comes to her and says

This man (ama) am I that woman (sa) thou!
That woman thou this man am I

Pot of cooked food

I am the Saman, thou, the Rg! I am the heaven, thou, the earth!

Come, let us two together clasp!
Together let us semen mix,
A male, a son for to procure!

21. अयास्य ऊरू विहापयित विजिहीथा द्यावापृथिवी इति तस्यामर्थ निष्ठाय मुखेन मुखर सधाय त्रिरेनामनुलोमामनुमार्षि । विष्णुयोनि कल्पयतु त्वष्टा रूपाणि पिर्ञतु । आसिञ्चतु प्रजापतिर्धाता गर्भ दधातु ते । गर्भ धेहि सिनीवालि गर्भ धेहि पृथुष्टुके । गर्भ ते अश्विनौ देवावाधता पुष्करस्रजौ ।

Then he spreads apart her thighs, saying. 'Spread yourselves apart, heaven and earth!' Coming together with her and joining mouth with mouth, he strokes her three times as the hair lies, saying

Let Visnu make the womb prepared! Let Tvastr shape the various forms! Prajapati let him pour in! Let Dhatr place the germ for thee!

O Sinivali, give the germ,
O give the germ, thou broad-tressed dame!
Let the Twin Gods implace thy germ
The Asvins, crowned with lotus-wreaths!

22. हिरण्मयी अरणी याभ्या निर्मन्थतामिश्वनौ । त ते गर्भ हवामहे दशमे मासि सूतवे । यथाऽग्निगर्भा पृथिवी यथा द्यौरिन्द्रेण गर्भिणी । वायुर्दिशा यथा गर्भ एवं गर्भ दधामि तेऽसाविति ।

With twain attrition-sticks of gold, The Asvin Twins twirl forth a flame, 'Tis such a germ we beg for thee, In the tenth month to be brought forth As earth contains the germ of Fire (agm)
As heaven is pregnant with the Sto m (indra)
As of the points the Wind (mu) is germ
I on so a germ I place in thee
Shand so i

2) मोजन्त्रानिहरुमुश्विष्या यात्र पुरित्य धनविद्वयी प्रया । त्या संगोप प्राप्तिम नगर्या । इन्द्रस्थाय प्रया कृति कृत्य प्रविषय । जिल्ह्य विकित्स विभाग नायगधनकी ।

When she is about to bring forth he sprinkles her with water saying

Like as the wind doth agitate A lotus pend on every side So also let thy fetus atir I at it come with its choron

This fold of Indras has been made With barricado enclosed around O Indra cause him to come forth— The after birth along with babe i

21 जातऽप्रिमुपसपावायाद्ग आधाय कश्म पृथ्यात्मध्यात स्त्रीय पृथ्यात्मस्यायात ज्ञुक्षयन्त्रितस्य प्रयासमेध्यात स्त्रे गृह । अस्योवस्या मा च्य्येयसीत् प्रत्या च पञ्चिष्य स्वाहा । मधि प्राणाश्यस्यि गतना जुङ्गीत स्याहा । यस्त्रसमान्यगैरिच पद्मा न्यूनीत्यक्षरम् । अप्तिश्वत्यिष्टम्ब्यश्चित्रक्षरम् सुदृतं करातु न स्याहति ।

(When the child is born) let him light a fire and placing it on his lap, and taking curdled milk mixed with clarified butter in a goblot, he offers repeatedly of the curdled milk and clarified butter, (snying) — May

I, magnified (by this son) in this house, support a thousand (men) When he has obtained offspring, let there be no loss of prosperities in offspring and in cattle Svāhā! I offer with my mind to thee my vital airs. Svāhā! May the wise Agni who fulfils all desires right for us any work which ought not to have been done, or any work which ought to have been done in this rite"

25 अथास्य दक्षिण कर्णमिनिधाय वाग्वािति त्रिरथ दिधमधुनृतर संनीयानन्ति तेन जातरूपेण प्राश्चित मूस्ते दधामि भुवस्ते दधामि स्वस्ते दधामि भूभुवः स्वः सर्व त्विय दधामिति ।

Then, putting (his mouth) near the child's right ear, he mutters three times, "Speech, speech!" Then, taking curdled milk, honey and clarified butter together with unmixed gold, he feeds it (saying), "I give thee the earth, I give thee the atmosphere, I give thee the heavens I give thee all, earth, atmosphere and heavens"

26 अथास्य नाम करोति वेदोऽसीति तदस्य तद्गुह्ममेव नाम भवति ।
Then he gives him the name "Veda," which is his

Then he gives him the name "Veda," which is his secret name.

27 अथेन मात्रे प्रदाय स्तनं प्रयच्छित यस्ते स्तनः झझयो यो मयोभूर्येन विश्वा पुष्यिस वार्याणि यो रह्नधा वसुविचः सुदत्रः सरस्वित तिमह धातवेऽकिरित ।

Then giving him to his mother, he makes him take the breast (saying), "O Sarasvati, enter for the suckling (of the child) into this (breast of the mother) thy here—which is fen sult the support (of all creatures) the pixer of jowels the—nower of wealth and the liberal door—by which their cause hat occording that is defeated.

25 का, ना राजा रियम्पाइ है सिराजनम् स्वास्त्री प्रस्ता स्वास्त्री स्वास्त्री

Then he address the childs nother with his Manes. Thus are withy of peace there are like Mai tox right. These he he he note the rous noteing (an) he can the selection as Thou indeed excellent thy father than indeed excellent the property of a Bodinana who has the knowledge charles the highest goal by property face and the plory of a Bodinana.

FIFTH REALDEN A

1 क्रथ पश्चा वीतिमार्गाष्ठ्य कार्यावर्गाष्ट्रवारकारावर्गाष्ट्रवा गीर्गानुस्ति तृत्र्य भारद्वार्गपुत्रकादार्गपुत्र पारासरी पुत्रारपाराम्गपुत्र की. च तीतुत्रारीयन्तरनीषुत्र पारासरी पुत्रारपारद्वारीपुत्र कान्याय पुत्रारकारवायनीषुत्र कीदिस्ति। पुत्रात्भौिश्वभीपुत्र आलम्बीपुत्राच वैयाव्रपदीपुत्राच वैयाव्रपदीपुत्रः काण्वीपुत्राच कापीपुत्राच कापीपुत्रः ।

Now the school The son of Pautimāsī succeeded the son of Kātyāyanī, the son of Kātyāyanī the son of Gautamī, the son of Gautamī the son of Bhāradvājī, the son of Bhāradvājī the son of Pārās'arī, the son of Pārās'arī the son of Aupasvastī the son of Pārās'arī, the son of Pārās'arī the son of Kātyāyanī, the son of Kātyāyanī the son of Kaus'īkī, the son of Kaus'īkī the son of Kaus'īkī the son of Vaiyāghrapadī, the son of Vaiyāghrapadī the son of Kānvī and the son of Kāpī,

2. आत्रेयीपुत्रादात्रेयीपुत्रो गौतमीपुत्राद्वीतमीपुत्रो भारद्वाजीपुत्रः पाराक्षरीपुत्रात्पाराक्षरीपुत्रो वात्सीपुत्राद्वात्सीपुत्रः पाराक्षरीपुत्रात्पाराक्षरीपुत्रो वार्कारुणीपुत्रो वार्कारुणीपुत्रो वार्कारुणीपुत्रो वार्कारुणीपुत्रो वार्कारुणीपुत्रो वार्कारुणीपुत्रो वार्कारुणीपुत्रो वार्कारुणीपुत्राद्वाक्ष्मणीपुत्राद्वाक्ष्मणीपुत्राद्वाक्ष्मणापुत्रो आल्भ्यायनीपुत्राद्वाल्भ्यायनीपुत्रो आल्भ्यापुत्रो वार्यन्तीपुत्रो आल्भ्यापुत्रो माण्डूकायनीपुत्रो आण्डूकीपुत्रो माण्डूकायनीपुत्रो माण्डूकीपुत्रा माण्डूकीपुत्रा माण्डूकीपुत्रो माल्ड्कीपुत्रा स्थीतरीपुत्रो माल्ड्कीपुत्रा क्रीखिकीपुत्रो साल्डकीपुत्रो साल्डकीपुत्रो साल्डकीपुत्रो साल्डकीपुत्रा क्रीखिकीपुत्रो साल्डकीपुत्रो साल्डकीपुत्रा क्रीखिकीपुत्रो पुत्रः प्राचीनयोगीपुत्रः साजीवीपुत्रात्साजीवीपुत्रः प्राक्षीपुत्राद्वा सुरिवासिनः प्राक्षीपुत्र आसुरायणादासुरायण आसुरेरासुरिः।

The son of Atreyī, the son of Atreyī the son of Gautamī, the son of Gautamī the son of Bhāradvājī,

the son of Bharadyan the son of Parasart-the son of Parasari the son of Vatsi -the son of Vatsi the son of Parasart -the son of Parasari the son of Varkarunithe son of Virlaruni the son of Varkaruni-the son of Varkarum the son of Artibbage,—the son of Artabhact the son of Sannal -the son of Sannal the son of Sinkrif -the son of Sankrif the son of Thurbayani the son of Thunk's and the son of Thunkt -the son of Il mild the son of Livanti, -the son of Javanti the son of Mandakas unt -the son of Mandakayani the son of Mandal I -the son of Mandal I the son of Sandil -the son of Singilt the son of Righlituri —the son of Righlituri the son of Bhalukt,—the son of Bhalukt the two sons of kraunciki-the two sons of Krauncila the son of Vindablifts—the son of landablifts the son of Karsa key! - the son of Karankey! the son of Pracing ou! the son of Pracing ogt the son of Sangar -the son of Similal the son of Prasni-the son of Prasni Asura vana,-Asurayana Asuri - Isuri,

> शायन्त्रस्याया । तल्लय उद्दार्श्व अन्तर्भावत्रण उपयोग । त्रिवा पुत्रे कुत्रियाजयवा । वाजयवा निरायण यायामिलावा-म्याध्यागाऽमिताद्वापगणादमिता वाणगणो हित्तात्कत्यपाहित मृत्यप दिल्वात्व वपानिकृत्य कर्यप कृत्यपातृष्ट्वी ४ तत्र नधुविवाचा वागम्मिण्या आम्मिण्यानियादित्यानीमानि शुक्रानि यनुरूषि याजमनयेन यात्रव्ययेनात्यायन्त ।

Yajnavaikya — Yajnavaikya Uddalaka,—Uddalaka Aruna,—Aruna Uphyodi,—Upaved Kusri,—Kusri Vājas'ravas, Vājas'ravas Jihvāvān Bādhyoga Jihvāvān Bādhyoga Asita Vārsagana, Asita Vārsagana Harita Kas'yapa, Harita Kas'yapa S'ilpa Kas'yapa, S'ilpa Kas'yapa Kas'yapa-Naidhruvi, Kas'yapa Naidhruvi, Vāk Vāk Ambhinī, Ambhinī Āditya. These Mantras of the white Yajur, which are derived from Āditya, have been declared by Yājñavalkya of the Vājasaneya school.

4. समानमा साजीवीपुत्रात्साजीवीपुत्रो माण्डूकायनेर्माण्डूकायनिर्माण्डव्यान्माण्डव्यः कोत्सात्कोत्सो माहित्थेर्माहित्थिर्वामकक्षायणाद्धामकक्षायणः शाण्डिल्याच्छाण्ल्यो वात्साद्धात्स्यः कुन्नेः
कुन्निर्यञ्चवस्रो राजस्तम्बायनाद्यज्ञवचा राजस्तम्बायनस्तुरात्कावषेयात्तुरः कावषेयः प्रजापतेः प्रजापतिर्बह्मणो ब्रह्म
स्वयमुब्रह्मणे नमः।

From (Prajāpati until the son of S'ānjīvī is the same (succession to teachers) (1) The son of S'ānjīvī succeeded Māndūkāyani, Māndūkāyani Māndavya, Māndavya Kautsa, Kautsa Māhitthi, Māhitthi Vāmakaksāyana, Vāmakaksāyana S'āndilya, S'āndilya Vātsya Vātsya Kus'ri, Kus'ri Yajnāvacas Rājastambāyana, Yajnāvacas Rājastambāyana Tura Kāvaseya, Tura Kāvaseya Prajāpati, Prajāpati Brahman. Brahman is the self-existent. Salutation to Brahman!

(1) In all the Vajasaneyi schools.



